

The how's and why's of Nobiasity and its foundation



A religion

A philosophy

A worldview

A self help guide

A moral guide

Nobiasity = theory and unification of it all

Religion, philosophy, worldview, self-help, moral are its 5 pillars

Notes:

Tabula rasa and salvation

Easter 2010: It was the breaking point between the old and this universe for me

More reporting of own failure and excusing others here (tbd)

Oxygen

Looking at the cross wrong

Other docs

Quran teaching works similar like science teaching in 2 ways – the quran itself, and, sadly “shut up and calculate”

Committing violence or cruelty in Gods name the worst

Topic racism, antisemitism, homophobia etc.

Problems that are inside rather than outside

Own influence on causing Ukraine war

Free communication is necessary for an healthy society

Otherwise people can not relate - neither with each other nor with the authorities

Then its not a community, but a abusive relationship

Also Tbd:

It's the hardest thing to do, trimming down ideas to the essential parts. Good luck with it!

You jump from one idea to the next without developing any of them in any serious capacity, making your writing disjointed and incoherent.

(Especially about the worldview diagrams part) => hopefully better now?

People didn't believe Galileo for religious reasons, not because of his method and his conclusions were not based on some spirit telling him something. So, there is no equivalence to be made here. It's not like that later on, people started believing that earth is spherical out of nowhere. People saw evidence for it and then rejected the flat earth theory. If you believe that your work is true, then you would have to provide some basis, reasoning if not evidence, for your readers to even take it seriously which is not there in the book. => better?

Rewrite philosophy stuff in a different, better working text style

Here's what I recommend; try using figurative language in a more direct way


Special Thanks:

千夜 QYS3 – Artist on <https://www.pixiv.net/>


The expert group helping this project (need to ask still who wants to be named here)

My friends and family, also my discord friends


The why's of Nobiasity and its historic foundation



The philosophic and religios foundation of Nobiasity and theologic/religios syncretism



The how's to improve with Nobiasity – Personal, Natinal, Global



A#Contents

A#	The why's of Nobiasity and its historic foundation	13
A# 1)	Preface	13
A# 2)	Introduction	15
A# 3)	Around Easter 2010	15
A# 3) a.	My memories	16
A# 3) b.	A new beginning.....	16
A# 4)	What happened Easter2010/The facing	16
A# 4) a.	Easter 2010 aftermath/And the ignorance	16
A# 4) b.	Even earlier hints/ The time before	17
A# 4) c.	Events/What happened since	18
A# 4) d.	Dreams/Weird states of mind/ The dream.....	18
A# 4) e.	Self-help/Start Improving.....	20
A# 4) f.	Spiritual Journey/ The Journey	21
A# 4) g.	Weird behavior of People/The opposition	21
i.	Threats	21
ii.	Discord/youtube/online mobbing	22
iii.	IRL.....	22
iv.	The idols and evangelicism	22
v.	Representation of evil.....	23
A# 4) h.	Absurdity in politics in 2006-2022 and before.....	23
A# 4) i.	The improvements through facing challenge with God	31
A# 4) j.	Africa	31
A# 5)	Result and consequences.....	31
A# 5) a.	Shortly before easter 2010	31
A# 5) b.	Easter 20010 – the event	31
i.	The event	31
ii.	Getting drugged by friends	32
iii.	Indoctrination attempt – and the idol	32
iv.	Blue pill / Red pill	32
v.	The refusal.....	32

vi.	Reaching out to god	32
vii.	The answer.....	32
viii.	Leaving through the door	32
A# 5) c.	Around easter 2010	32
i.	World ending.....	32
ii.	Interrogation, spirit council (possibly at the wrong position in the chain here, have to evaluate)	32
iii.	Test scenario-a final exam	32
iv.	The new world negotiations with god =>	34
1.	Complaining a lot	34
2.	Why can't we all be gods – metaphysics	34
3.	Naruto idea “Truly understand each other”	34
4.	Physical changes	34
5.	No violence, things being deep, masks suck, no going back.....	34
6.	Futa stuff (:-\)	34
7.	Electronics/internet more in focus etc	34
8.	I disliked religion; about Bible corruption, and other Scripture	34
9.	Can't all religions be true then?	35
v.	You won (sigh).....	35
vi.	Walking through hell/purgatory	35
vii.	The meeting with God.....	35
viii.	The new world after the meeting	35
ix.	Help him, spirits forced to join	35
x.	Changing the soul/spirit.....	35
A# 5) d.	The time after – a new life and more negotiations with god	36
i.	A new life, and the zoom shock	36
ii.	Conscious start from the breaking point	36
iii.	Negotiations – Nonsense from me	36
iv.	The Roadway and the codex	36
v.	Negotiations – Continuing life normally, figuring stuff out along the way.....	36
vi.	Negotioations - Religions	36
vii.	Negotioations - Prophet.....	36
viii.	The fire in me	36

ix.	Negotiations – A world respecting quality more	36
x.	god and question of bow / don't bow	36
xi.	Negotiations - "All good"	36
xii.	Negotiations - A logic approach to faith	36
A# 5) e.	Consequences	36
i.	All my horrors came true	36
ii.	Piecing self back together	36
iii.	Memories of interrogation and hypnosis	36
A# 6)	Resume – The why's of Nobiasity	37
B#	The philosophic and religious foundation of Nobiasity and theological/religious syncretism	38
B# 1)	Easter 2010	38
B# 2)	Religious syncretism	38
B# 2) a.	Judaism	38
i.	The Arc and Evolution	38
B# 2) b.	Christianity	38
i.	Christians fighting each other as the current main threat to the world.....	38
ii.	The number of the beast	40
iii.	More about Christianity	46
	You are not supposed to believe in Jesus as much as believe for Jesus.....	48
B# 2) c.	Islam.....	48
B# 2) d.	Hinduism	48
B# 2) e.	Buddhism	49
B# 2) f.	Conclusion.....	49
B# 3)	Religious foundation	49
B# 3) a.	Torah	49
i.	Concept:.....	49
ii.	Content:	49
B# 3) b.	Bible	52
i.	Concept:.....	52
ii.	Content:	52
B# 3) c.	Quran	52
i.	Concept:.....	52
ii.	Content:	52

B# 3) d.	Manusmriti, Vedas, Bhagwad Geeta.....	52
i.	Concept:.....	52
ii.	Content:	52
B# 3) e.	Pali Canon	52
i.	Concept:.....	52
ii.	Content:	52
B# 4)	Philosophy.....	53
B# 4) a.	Society Critism:	53
B# 4) b.	Worldview:.....	55
iii.	We are the universe=> we are (client)nodes, communicating with each other	55
iv.	If you have a brick wall, what good is it without cement!.....	56
v.	There is "me" and "the universe" (we are the universe).....	57
vi.	Spiritual and material brain	58
vii.	venn diagram (we are the universe).....	65
viii.	Quantum Fields – senses Potential.....	67
ix.	Summary	68
x.	Shizo-affective disorder	68
xi.	Soul imprint.....	68
xii.	Dreams and forming of universe	69
xiii.	Posted by Elon Musk on Twitter:.....	75
xiv.	Why can't we humans think in bigger picture?	76
xv.	About gods/how did we develop from primeval soup:	76
B# 5)	More theory	86
xvi.	Leveraging of Theories and clockworks:	88
xvii.	Nodes as prioritiezers:	90
xviii.	Physicalism vs. Panpsychism:.....	90
xix.	Logic, God and the universe:.....	91
xx.	Logic in rocks:.....	92
xxi.	Definition of God and gods:	92
xxii.	Sophisticated Statistics:	92
xxiii.	Decay and degrees of freedom:.....	93
xxiv.	Is there no self?:.....	93
xxv.	We are the universe:.....	93

xxvi.	Life circle:	95
xxvii.	Where is God:	96
xxviii.	Overview of concepts:	97
xxix.	About good and evil/Problem of evil/Don't blame God, understand the issues.....	101
xxx.	About "hell" and the devil.....	105
xxxi.	Swarm logic and entanglement :	106
xxxii.	“Absolute truth”:.....	106
xxxiii.	Range precision:.....	108
xxxiv.	Someone asks:	108
xxxv.	What happened Easter 2010	109
B# 5) c.	Scientific topics:	110
i.	hypothesis about bias in genetic mutations, evolution with a goal or direction.	110
ii.	hypothesis about spirits existing and ways to prove that.	111
iii.	hypothesis about quantum fields related to human senses.	114
iv.	others, i.e. “3 conscious modes”	115
v.	quantum superposition:.....	115
vi.	Materialization by the brain:	116
vii.	More capable Math:	117
B# 5) d.	Proof of God.....	117
i.	Generally	117
ii.	(Moral Realism if God exists? No. Not necessary.).....	118
iii.	Spirit proof and Occams razor	118
iv.	Discussion about Consciousness	118
v.	Experiment for proof of “God does control superposition collapse”	131
vi.	The ultimate.....	132
vii.	Likelihood Naturalism vs Panpsychism	132
B# 5) e.	More Philosophy.....	133
i.	Morals/Moral systems:	133
ii.	On atheism.....	135
	See Gnosticism in combination with atheism.....	135
iii.	Abortion	136
iv.	Net of inclination:	137
B# 6)	Easter 2010	137

B# 7)	The Whats of Nobiasity.....	138
C#	The how's to improve with Nobiasity – Personal, National, Global	139
C# 1)	Easter 2010	139
C# 2)	Global How's of Nobiasity.....	140
C# 2) a.	Justification Judaism	140
C# 2) b.	Justification Christianity.....	140
C# 2) c.	Justification Islam.....	140
C# 2) d.	Justification Hinduism	140
C# 2) e.	Justification Buddhism	140
C# 2) f.	Justification Atheism	140
C# 3)	National How's of Nobiasity.....	140
C# 3) a.	Economy.....	140
C# 3) b.	Draw the line/some no go's	140
C# 3) c.	When to take measures	141
C# 3) d.	Morality.....	141
C# 3) e.	Where did we derail.....	141
C# 4)	Personal How's of Nobiasity	142
C# 4) a.	3 basic methods	142
C# 4) b.	Status evaluation.....	142
C# 4) c.	Guidelines example.....	142
C# 5)	Resume:	142
D#	Jargon/Glossary.....	142

A# The why's of Nobiasity and its historic foundation

A# 1) Preface

Many people, for different reasons, replace their personal(!) religious illusion with the general atheist illusion. For example they might find what seems like contradictions in their faith, or the people that thought their religion turn out to not be great.

To then conclude that God ain't real and that the teachings are nonsense alltogether –

It is like telling a kid the stork does not bring the children. The kid then thinking "children aint real".

In that sense, if you think about it there were a lot of prophets, and gods or idols in the past. Nowadays there seem to be none. How come? Simple answer you find some in mental health hospitals or services, others in the underground. Its the logical answer.

What would you expect? Jesus got crucified in the past, because the world was sick. Is the world not sick today. Nowadays he could be in a padded cell.

Jesus got crucified, because he challenged the existing power structures of the time.

As he would today.

Shortly before easter 2010.

I return from being in a student flat-sharing community, and reminiscence:

I went all out. I studied diligently. I tried to associate with new people with my best efforts.

While my studying bared fruits, my socializing efforts did go in vain.

“Why do I fail at making friends”.

While driving back to my parents with my last bit of luggage – well almost, the subtenant of the student flat-sharing, who I got the room from for a semester, was on time. I was not on moving out.

The days before I just starred holes in the air in my room and was like “damn”.

I ended up leaving a bedside table there for picking up later.

My Fiat Punto, dangerously overloaded, I drove back, as mentioned, to my parents.

So I will be back to living in the basement there. Not promising, that this would increase the chances on a girlfriend, especially with my social ineptitude.

Burned out from my efforts, feeling the closing up on the last straw. Since there is more. A lot more in the background.

What it was? I’m wasn’t sure back then. This was also the old universe version. But apparently:

The world ended.

Then I have a discussion with god. Apparently he opposed me or something, maybe as far as I remember. Because I did to poorly before. I’m not sure exactly, but I tried so hard, and didn’t reach my goals. But I didn’t give up, till the end and after.

Because of that, it seems, I got to decide some things. I was getting a new chance. The main thing was, I’m a introvert, the old world was quite anti-introvert, what’s up with that.

We talked about many more things during the negotiations, which came later and spanned over right away up to into some years? We agreed that I try to find solutions along the way. I focus on the negotiations later.

Also:

(To be elaborated later)

-why can't we all be gods

-Naruto idea "Truly understand each other"

-futa stuff (:-\)

-electronics/internet more in focus etc

-I disliked religion/Bible corruption

-Can't all religions be true then

We talked a lot more actually, but those things became quite apparent.

I insisted my life should just continue from where I left off.

We met the other one, the new world was created, and some spirits had to be convinced to become inhabitants of the new world.

And I woke up, thinking nothing special happened, shortly after easter 2010.

A# 2) Introduction

This document is for me about the bulk of my life work, the task I received from God. The purpose of the document is to lay out ways to World Peace, Freedom, Sovereignty, Fairness, Life Quality.

A# 3) Around Easter 2010

After thinking nothing special happened, shortly after Easter 2010, I continued my life as I was used to. It was far from normal. Hanging around in my Room in my parents Houses basement and gaming or watching Anime, sleeping to long. I did a fair amount of sport back then though.

The rest of the time I worked on my diploma thesis. Unlike most places outside Germany back then, A Diploma ranked between Bachelor and Master in rating of education qualification, and still is.

Some people seemed to be talking behind my back about me.

Increasingly, I started to feel bad even more, in turmoil. It wasn't for long that, only some weeks, that it happened.

A# 3) a. My memories

One day I woke up in my room in my parents basement in the morning. A very special light shone through the basement room window. A bit reminiscent of a specific Simpsons episode.

I had the epiphany that I should shape the world (still not remembering more). I thought when I drove to the airport I would get crucified abroad and get what I wanted. The time on the roadway was weird because everyone made place for me immediately and the radio seemed to be about me.

Getting close to the airport I backed down getting afraid. I spent a night in the car on the back seats after driving around more. The next day I slept over in a hotel room in Munich, I also threw away my Wallet afterwards, getting angry at capitalism.

I left my car behind a bit later, continuing to wander.

I kept writing notes of my thoughts on a memo pad. I called it the “codex”. But I assume it was mostly weird confusing stuff.

At some point when the mood of others seemed to swing against me, I asked “do you want to find the solution without me” looking at a houses window. Everyone seemed to look down on me.

Shortly afterwards I took a taxi back home.

I were supposed to be at work continuing my diploma thesis some of those days.

Back at home my parents paid the taxi. I uttered weird stuff against religions, then went back to my room. I wanted to starve myself to death, continuing to write my weird memo pad notes.

I was brought to the district hospital where I spent 6 weeks. They took the memo pad notes.

A# 3) b. A new beginning

It still went downhill a bit, I uttered stuff about the mind/brain being an infinite state machine and that “it’s all the senses there is”.

I reconsidered then a few days after I was put in the district hospital, to live and do what I'm supposed to. I started to write on a writing pad, a new attempt.

After the 6 weeks I returned home continuing my diploma thesis.

A# 4) What happened Easter2010/The facing

At this point I considered myself some random insane person.

Why does no one want to talk about what really happened during those events though?

A# 4) a. Easter 2010 aftermath/And the ignorance

The information I received from others about what happened: “Nothing”. “Nothing happened”. Can I get therapy? Back then the answer was “no, you have to do most yourself”(From the district hospital).

Ironically people seemed to be eager to try to get me to take therapy after I fixed myself and didn't need it anymore.

So I had no clue what to do, scraping by as used to, gaming, watching anime, working, but also doing less and less sport. People seemed to disregard me. I didn't have much self-value at all, thinking I was some random insane person, that is also a smug douchebag and weirdo.

A# 4) b. Even earlier hints/ The time before

This dragged on for some years even. At times I got very confused about "hints" that were not supposed to exist in what was my naturalist worldview back then. Sometimes the hints accumulated to an extent, in which I started to doubt my naturalist delusions, but it brought about horror back then inside me.

Today I understand the hints go a long way. Basically from the start, my birth and before. Some of it explains the horrors. The horrors of the thought of me being normal, being an illusion. The horrors of being treated by any normal standards being an illusion. The horrors, of having to excel to continue to scrap by, and trust an unknown agent for any safety at all.

I did consider this a fact all along for the most part, yet I clinged to illusion, tried hard to not be aware.

Then what made this a fact? I was IT. Did I take the short straw in my childhood? In my last life? Both?

Either way: Cloudy nebulous memory connected to following religion class in elementary school – simplified: "Abraham and Isaac. God tells Abraham to sacrifice Isaac. Abraham sacrifices Isaac to God. Then God uses that to brag to someone about it". The religion class being shocked about it still, she goes on a bit later "God is all good. Only God is good".

Still confused, the audience asks what that nonsense is all about. "God is good per definition". Huh? "God is good per definition". :-/

Most classmates seem to have bought it. I get asked my opinion. I'm like: No?

She asks me how I explain this then?

Is God evil then? I say "No?"

I answered something along the lines of "I consider myself to be too stupid to understand it right now, and I don't think you understand it either".

Nebulous memory a bit later: "Jesus is good though. Talking to the class: Is your classmate not a good person". :-/

I didn't want any of this. I wanted an average normal decent life. And I refused to not get it.

Consequently it led to another nebulous memory not that much later.

"Neither Jesus nor God is good." "Talk about sacrificing". Me: "Excuse me, how am I deserving this? *angry and scared, fearing*. "I should be treated like everyone else is".

Talk to classmates: "We go on with it, just because it might become still". Me: "Hello? Why the different standards". Then "No, we go on with it, just because it might become still". :-/

The result was for me, extreme disregard of pretty much anything, clouded in a lot of fear, covered by illusion conciously.

My “normal average life” received ok treatment for a couple of years, it wasn't great even in the meantime due to what hid in the shadows. Then it just went downhill more, and I was mobbed and disregarded more. I wasn't exactly a great person either. And I continued to degenerate.

It seemed that there were multiple attempts to indoctrinate me after I left the church and officially claimed to be atheist. Even attempts of drugging me and indoctrinating me. Even before the breaking point.

A# 4) c. Events/What happened since

After Easter 2010 and the district hospital, it was not a up and down so much as a down and back for some years. Going downhill till some awakening to some truth, then back to the illusion.

What did the hints entail usually?

Truth. In the illusionary ocean. But falsely regarding the illusion as true, it seemed confusing, scary and irritating. The hints amounted to unwieldy by my means.

In example, being not in control over my mind yet, regarding an overweight woman as “fat” in thought only, having her respond “I don't like it yet”.

Thinking a mean thing about a foreign co-worker resulted in an immediate response that my software project had a reproducable error, that only occurs in language setting of the foreign co-workers language.

Privacy was/is an illusion as well, nonexistent in effect. Ecchi thoughts or media consumption in illusory privacy, resulted in multifaceted responses.

A# 4) d. Dreams/Weird states of mind/ The dream

*Experience of the universe ending

I ride my bike on the streets in the night. Around the time of easter 2010.

Flashback: I see an truck coming. Being very dissatisfied with my life, and not having a clear mind, I think of killing myself.

But -and thats very crucial I think- I decided against killing myself still. I was like I don't care however shitty my life is, whatever whoever expects of me, I will just go on and try my best.

Something might have pushed me into it then/ took control over me or similar.

I see the truck, maybe, not sure.

Then walking in the night, the universe seems to fade out. Some spirits that seem familiar urge me to follow them. I turn around and walked away the other way.

Then I get desperate with the universe disintegrating and called out for God again.

You see why dropping the illusion was hard for me – was this real then?

*Walking through hell (or rather purgatory actually)

Not really walking, but I managed to break out of it more or less eventually. A cylindrical dark and hot space, I describe as “feeverly sauna”.

You see why dropping the illusion was hard for me – was this real then?

*Assumed Nightmares of horrors

In these past realistic feeling experiences I lie in my bed in my parents basement – unable to move. I'm definite it was not actually my parents basement, just a optical representation. A swarm of black dots seems to be attacking me, representing “evil”. I try to fight it off, only being able to use power contemplation.

One time I noticed scorched smell lying in the basement bed, but was able to move my hands. I noticed a hand next to my head. Grabbing for the hand I noticed the skull of my head was open. I was able to touch the brain. Now suicidal, I tried to murder myself by ripping the brain out. Hands choked me, and I let go of the brain.

Later I wake up, my head seems alright, but my brain hurts a little.

You see why dropping the illusion was hard for me – was this real then?

Flashback: Talk to god: “It will not work”. “Why?!?”. “They will kill you or stop you otherwise”. “What? But you are god. Give me the required ability so that it works out”. “It will be difficult”. “Make sure it works out”. “It will be very difficult for you”. “I don’t care, make sure it goes well then” (like 5 times)

*The dreams of meeting the universe leader – god

After meeting him on easter 2010 exactly whilst the event happened -first contact-, there were the negotiations at a later date. Both of which we will focus on later.

*Dreams of meeting Yahweh – God

Didn't talk much here, god did the talking. I felt a bit intimidated I think. Possibly we met twice. One time in some Heaven like environment. It seems God seemed to be opposed to the plan for a little while, but then agreed to it quickly after looking into it a bit.

*Dreams of fighting

This is my assumption, since no one ever seems to clarify things of big importance to me, since meeting god and God;

But it sure seemed like there was some sort of championship, fights for becoming a champion. Somehow I got thrown into that. I wasn't fond of having to fight. It seems I ended up at the top of the championship.

A lot of shady methods were used in those fights by some,

I did try to fight fairly as much as somehow possible.

It seems there were multiple of those Championships over the past years. I was confused over “whats the point of all that”

Eventually, they seemed to leave me alone with that nonsense.

*Dreams of conflict

Conflict of many kinds in those dreams *shrugs*.

Different worlds at war, many challenges,

And getting choked up to after waking up

*Dreams of being attacked

Sometimes multiple attackers, with knives for example...

*One or more Heaven

I think to have seen 2 “areas” of this or those...

One seemed like a classic representation to me, the other was feeling sort of “futuristic”.

Classic representation as in some garden, some pillars, a classic themed building...

Further away some woods..

*Nowadays

Nowadays I don't dream much graphically....

A# 4) e. Self-help/Start Improving

I didn't seem to be getting anywhere. No wonder, if you sharpen masks, and polish your flags rather than that, what those stand for.

It took the steps, that seemed like a humongous leap – of just declaring what I really was. Including all the fails of matter.

As long as you act as an performing actor, upholding what you feel like you should be viewed as, what are you to expect to become?

Do you not believe, what you expect?

Do you not become, what you believe?

You become what you believe of yourself – a decal of a human.

This hard truth I had to face, and make the decal human by having it inherit my humanity. So I came out with it all.

So I might become.

And then I DID start to improve.

A# 4) f. *Spiritual Journey/ The Journey*

It was just a matter of time, till I set up to my journey.

I was diagnosed schizoaffective disorder back in the district hospital. As I understand it, schizoaffective disorder is merely a term meaning, that your mind has the characteristics of influencing your surroundings and being influenced by them – through will, contemplation and belief.

I am supposed to take certain medication, which is supposed to suppress these effects for a large part.

Understandable, since it means a lot of potential trouble to self and others to have these characteristics.

At some point I got careless and forgot to take medication a couple of times, triggering the spiritual journey.

I walked around typing onto my smartphone notes whatever came to mind, and it happened procedurally. Increasingly the thoughts formed into a discussion with the higher.

It had some deep lessons. The hole thing is listed in my spiritual journey doc.

The listing consists of many important topics, I don't want to get into specific ones here. But it was what founded the base of my worldview.

A# 4) g. *Weird behavior of People/The opposition*

In all of those: Not giving me any meaningful information

i. Threats

Denunciation attacks

1. Attempts in Dubai to get me involved with clothing-piracy-mafia
2. In new york, attempts to get me arrested making me participate in street preaching
3. At my workplace, a couple of times
Once a try, to frame me with safe stealing
4. Attempts to mindfuck me into joining a cult by a high ranking sect member at my door

Attempts on my life

1. Multiple poison attacks
2. Attempts to snipe me
3. Possibly knife attacks

Especially on those attempts on my life Yahweh saved me

I don't want to describe those points a whole lot.... :-\

*ii. Discord/youtube/online mobbing***YouTube:**

Hypnosis-attacks

Devaluation of self

Misguiding beliefs

Discord:

Insults

Mobbing

Misguiding beliefs

Threats

Tribalization attempts

A whole lot of challenges to solve

Quora, etc:

Denunciation

iii. IRL

A whole lot of “you can't do x”

And attempts to make me not uphold my own values

i. Dreams/on the mind

Throwing me into fighting

Shady methods used at that

Multiple sudden attacks of multiple actors

Devaluation

iv. The idols and evangelicism

I was evangelical till around 2004 officially, then I left the church.

Tbd indoctrination, and later evangelicism and abuse of faith

v. Representation of evil

As someone who brings the new era, the representation of those who want to uphold the status quo, and go to any lengths for that, crossing the moral lines

A# 4) h. Absurdity in politics in 2006-2022 and before

Those who rig the game

Imo a spineless thing is to

-instigate a war, and have your friends fight for you, threatening them behind the scenes, because you want to stay out of trouble, but want to exert even more power on the world, suppressing and abusing it

-and try to murder christ and eat his corpse to undo your sins

On the other hand,

Drawing a line to somebody approaching you with the will to enslave or destroy you, seems to be less spineless

It was the year 2003 in the old universe. Things seemed to be overall going ok still, although not quite for me. But that is unrelated here. Edward Snowden, an US NSA agent leaked confidential documents to England and their news agencies, and shortly after to wikileaks and its founder Julian Assagne. The confidential documents apparently showed shocking truths about US politics. German news pages, I'm German so I visited those back then, spoke very highly about our European democracy and news press freedom.

Snowden then had to flee from UK, due to increased pressure on UK from US. German people all around wanted to give Snowden Asyl, for his heroic deeds for democracy and freedom from tyranny, but German politics seemed to already get under pressure as well and decided against it. In the end he fled to Russia. There were razzias of different planes, but he knew what he was doing apparently and arrived safely in Russia. Snowden the hero, he would have received the death sentence by us law possibly(!).

Assagne and wikileaks released more documents in the meantime, i.e. about war crimes.

In other parts of the world, in 2004, there were detailed reports about israeli war crimes in Gaza on Palestinians, for example by using napalm in densely populated areas. This shows press freedom was still functioning. The evidence was described as unambiguous and obvious.

Then wikileaks released more documents, for example about bad behavior of us politicians, and/or diplomats, calling the German Chancellor Angela Merkel a hag(or similar) behind her back after shacking her hand.

At this point it seems the old universes doom got sealed, since us politics seems to have decided for their status, and power, over any real virtues. Things started to move in the wrong direction.

Around this times there were IP bans for wikileaks, based on us domain name service providers. German news, still talking very highly about wikileaks, mentioned the rising danger for democracy and press freedom.

Soon after that the criticisms of wikileaks started. "Manipulated documents?". While the page probably became accessible again.

Parallel to that Assange got trialed for sexual abuse of a woman. Evidence: The woman saying/asserting so.

In the meantime Iran elected a new president, 2005, Mahmoud Ahmadinejad

Not a rich or powerful person, a man out of the normal population.

He got criticized for being "anti western".

After a rather dense, unrestrained speech against Israel – I need to mention iranian nuclear ambitions were being strongly and strictly surveilanced by the International Atomic Energy Agency – israeli agents killed Iranian nuclear scientists, that they got information on their identity from the atomic energy agency apparently. The big example was, Israeli agents driving on motorcycles using remote detonator magnetic mines on the taxicabs, driver and other people in it included.

One of the last rise ups of press freedom in the west.

Shortly afterwards, there were reports of Iran leaving the supervision of the International atom energy agency. One would expect to news to mention the entanglement with Israeli assassination of Iranian nuclear scientists, but it never got mentioned. Instead they marked the leave as arbitrary.

2007 European missile defense program

This was about radar and missile facilities in eastern Europe. It was argued officially that this is ment to be as defence from the Iranian nuclear program. Russia, having snowdens insight and more, was was very upset with this.

Officially, Russia was a friend at this time.

2008 – Georgian Ossetian conflict

Georgia invaded Ossetia and shot at their own population with tanks and did different war crimes. This was reported exactly once in Germany news agencies.

This seemed to have been the last rise up of press freedom in the west.

After that press freedom was history. News agencies now only reported about the later Russian intervention.

Then it was all downhill with press and democracy. There were reports of how news agencies have gotten the order to delete older news articles.

In the meantime US politics developed their plan for world domination.

Russia, the new enemy, due to Snowden and Russian attempts to go against western nuclear arsenal before their doorsteps.

A serious dispute began in March 2005 over the price of natural gas supplied and the cost of transit. During this conflict, Russia claimed Ukraine was not paying for gas, but diverting that which was intended to be exported to the EU from the pipelines.

In winter 2009 the European gas blackout happened. Europe got its gas from Russia through pipelines through Ukraine. Biden's son, Hunter Biden was the person in charge against corruption of Ukrainian gas companies.

The gas crisis of 2009 began with a failure to reach an agreement on gas prices and supplies for 2009. Ukraine owed a debt of \$2.4 billion to Gazprom for gas already consumed, and Gazprom requested payment before the commencement of a new supply contract.

Resulting in gas blackout in Europe.

Resulting in Europe becoming more sceptical of Russia as economic and political partner.

And the world ended in a way.

Rebooted, God had quite the task at hand. Stopping this, this time, while, at the same time, here we now have the lower part of the selection. Atheists and unbelievers, who think he is bad.

He approached me with also saying "they think I'm bad". I didn't.

I reappeared around Easter 2010.

Well the world was quite into that, what ended it before....

Even more than before.

The bad stuff stopped being reported, but there is all reason to assume a nuclear arsenal was placed all over Eastern Europe by the US.

In 2018 things really became to roll.

On 4 March 2018, Sergei Skripal, a former Russian military officer and double agent for the British intelligence agencies, and his daughter, Yulia Skripal, were poisoned in the city of Salisbury, England.

With "Russian nerve gas".

1. Russian elections started apparently on 18 March 2018

2. Putin declared he wants to get reelected in December 2017
3. Would Russia use “Russian nerve gas” instead of, lets say a sniper under these circumstances if the official western stance was true
4. Or was it a coup of the west against Putin?

The media handling on the event was extremely biased against Russia, with balant lies even.

Lots of more sanctions happened against Russia from the west.

Us politics continued to advance their world domination plans since then.

Read Trump's phone conversation with Volodymyr Zelensky

Updated 0841 GMT (1641 HKT) September 26, 2019

President Donald Trump repeatedly pushed for Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelensky to investigate former Vice President Joe Biden, and his son, Hunter, during a July 25 phone call, [according to a transcript of the conversation](#) released by the White House.

Read the transcript here:

UNCLASSIFIED

Declassified by order of the President
September 24, 2019

Trump seemed to have tried to smooth the waves between the west and Russia, but he had to face all kinds of denunciation and the *impeachment because of it*.

About the instigation of the Russian-Ukrainian war by the US politics.

Which Trump tried to undo.

The instigation of US politics new proxy war.

proxy war

noun

a war instigated by a major power which does not itself become involved.

"the end of the Cold War brought an end to many of the proxy wars through which the two sides struggled to exert their influence"

Tbd elaborate more media manipulation and ministry of truth in US afterwards

Tucker Carlson on Ministry of truth

<https://youtu.be/e4inJSblCUY>

Hunter Biden laptop story

<https://youtu.be/LTiRIEccCE>

???Zelensky coup by us???

Also child marriage politics etc.

Us politics, but especially the Biden regime tries to rewrite history and current events in their favor, not caring about truth. They try to invalidate proof from Snowden, Wikileaks, the Hunter Biden Laptop story and much more, not with facts, but with disinformation and manipulation on all ends.

They try everything to keep control over Twitter and co.

Us politics had lost it completely it seemed.

Way before that, but only up to some decades back, there was bad stuff also:

Assassination attempts on Iranian leaders for nationalising oil industry,

Bolivian and other South American tax theft and installment of governments by us, for economic abuse and gas theft,

The “war on terror for oil and power”, shacking the whole Islamic world, for us global dominion economic and political,

“Cultural export” into cultural imperialism,

Etc.etc.

But it was less absurd still.

Tbd

*when Europe gave up self-determination and became the longer arm of US-misdoings



When I studied in around 2008 our professor explained to us, that students are not rated by what is correct/in accordance with reality, but they are rated by repeating correctly the teaching of their teachers.

He brought an example of a law case, in which the student corrected the wrong teaching of his teacher and wrote the correct things in his exam, and was graded badly for it. The court gave the professor right. It's what the law says, what students learn must not be correct, but what the teachers say, and what they say is according to the ministries, etc.

Imo it's misleading that history class is called history class, since it's just made up stories by the ruling class to excuse their behavior in remotely believable ways.

I still find it amazing how us politics tried and tries to undermine and get rid of assagne who wanted to bring a little bit of truth in the game.

The other side

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=rpbSDJIMTQE>

[YouTube](#)

[WION](#)

[Putin the great? Putin Compares himself to conquering monarch Peter...](#)

European countries are friendly with each other and support each other for a long time.

If someone threatens to attack friends of you do you stay neutral?

Its a fact that the man who attacked Eastern Europe compares himself to Peter the great.



(Map of the time of Peter the great)

They argued for peace, until Putin started attacking a European country. Now they want to make sure he doesn't take half of Europe.

You don't seem to understand that European countries, unlike other parts of the world, got over past conflict and are now partners and friends.

Sadly Ukraine has to take the toll for two imperialist countries fighting each other. But I don't think Europe can stand by and watch while Russia is already attacking parts of Europe and declares intent to take back a big part of Europe, that has no interest in becoming part of Russia.

Chatter1

EU is no longer caring about what's best for European citizens. It's caring for what USA wants.

Russia just started this invasion. Europe/US/NATO are responsible for this war.

<https://youtu.be/qG2G5sC7qWA>

*Abby Martin speech

(^^WATCH THIS very interesting)

Mina_Nobias

I doubt many people in Europe wanted any of this nonsense. I sure liked how we denied to take part in the Iraq war nonsense and how our press freedom still worked 15 years ago. The trash politics afterwards - not so much.

The us manipulation is why I would exclude USA from those decision makings.

You can't reasonably exclude an area from the talks, which is allies and has member states threatened (Europe)

Its not like Europe is the 5th wheel here.

Imagine China would hypothetically start attacking Asian countries, but not India yet. China would then hypothetically say Asia is great China? You can guess what I get at. Does it not involve India as rightful diplomatic Country in the talks?

Imo Europe+Ukraine on one end, Russia on the other And India as mediator is a very fair deal for Russia and India for a start. You cannot throw Ukraine into the lions den alone after they got attacked by the Predator and due to some scheming.

Chatter1

It was about Russia's security vs American hegemonic desires.

- There was no threat to Europe's security because of Russia
- Russia even tried for many years to have peace deals with Ukraine that would have benefitted Ukraine economically while allowing EU. It was EU and NATO that never wanted Russia to be part of any economic deals with Ukraine. They blocked those deals.
- Ukraine could have flourished by taking deals from both sides but it didn't.

Ukraine is not an angel in all this. Read what was happening in their country in the last decade.

Mina_Nobias

How about agreeing to a 2 level Diplomacy deal.

Tbd

The bracelets

So the bracelets were supposed to show support for world peace and those other values, Freedom, Sovereignty, Fairness, Life Quality

So basically show opposition against the path the Biden regime took.

The point of the bracelets is to make that visible to everyone

A# 4) i. The improvements through facing challenge with God

A# 4) j. Africa

A# 5) Result and consequences

Blurry Memorys that go back a bit more:

-more truth in it that you think

-preaching all over the place

A# 5) a. Shortly before easter 2010

I returned from having a student residence in a student flat-sharing apartment. The next semester was about my diploma thesis. So I was doing it at a company instead of the University of applied science. I moved back home.

One of my fellow students, which I actually became friends with, invited me to different outdoor activities. Lately we had this thing were we were eating very hot food, putting sudden death hot sauce on it. I liked eating hot.

Another acquaintance I knew I met while running in the woods. He was collecting mushrooms. I noticed that he had psychedelic mushrooms in his basket. But after getting confused by it a bit, I did not give it much more thought. The acquaintance was lately around sometimes when I was out with my fellow student.

A# 5) b. Easter 20010 – the event

i. *The event*

So it was easter 2010. I got invited to my acquaintance's house by my fellow student for a easter party. Another old friend of me was also there, I said "You know my fellow student?". Apparently he did somehow. So we were at this easter party, searching for

beer bottles of different brands, instead of easter eggs. Then did some Karaoke, I tried to get over myself and actually sang as well.

It was getting late. I was a good deal drunk. Then my friends wanted to go indoors. We went down to the basement of this house.

They said “Let’s drink spicy tea” or similar.

ii. Getting drugged by friends

So they soon had their tea ready. It sure was spicy, or rather just very hot, probably sudden death sauce. I drank half. Then I noticed something was fishy.

Was it drugged? Again?

Flashback: tbd

iii. Indoctrination attempt – and the idol

iv. Blue pill / Red pill

v. The refusal

vi. Reaching out to god

vii. The answer

viii. Leaving through the door

A# 5) c. Around easter 2010

i. World ending

ii. Interrogation, spirit council (possibly at the wrong position in the chain here, have to evaluate)

iii. Test scenario-a final exam

Here is the truth, do you want to read it? “No”

You wrote it yourself... “ok then”

iv. The new world negotiations with god =>

A# 5) c. (iv) The negotiations

1. Complaining a lot
2. Why can't we all be gods – metaphysics
Resulting in decentralized mind powers (Telepathy and mind matter change) to an extent
3. Naruto idea "Truly understand each other"
4. Physical changes
5. No violence, things being deep, masks suck, no going back

=>COVID

6. Futa stuff (:-\)
I was into that :-\
Gender dysphoria, also and mostly as a countermeasure to enforced gender norms were the result.
7. Electronics/internet more in focus etc
8. I disliked religion; about Bible corruption, and other Scripture

I don't exactly remember my weird reasoning, but I wanted parts of the Bible worsened back then. Probably due to some personal advantages in my mission. A lot of the disvalue of gay people in there is probably due to this.

But I'm supposed to solve this problem of disvaluation.

On the other hand I wanted the bible to show accurate truths. A lot of inaccuracies probably got fixed.

Then the story of Abraham and Isaac got changed. Because I hated the original so much....

Other Scripture:

The Quran got largely “fixed”, that's what our negotiations resulted in, but the fixing was in a subtle way, that is not easy to understand.

Yahweh seemed to have appreciated this change quite a bit.

The reason for not making it obvious is to have the same situation again at Easter 2010 that the old universe had overall (I think)

Otherwise there would be all kinds of incompatibility causing a mess

9. *Can't all religions be true then?*

The universe leader, god, was a bit surprised at my request to have all religions true.

After mentioning literally “all” being correct doesn't really work, we settled to mainly considering the “big ones” and Judaism.

Asking which one should “come out on top” I selected Hinduism in the end I think....

Kinda weird to ask that of the Christian god...

In the end he said to this... hm ok this does actually work out great (A bit surprised)

We talked a lot more actually, but those things became quite apparent.

v. You won (sigh)

vi. Walking through hell/purgatory

vii. The meeting with God

viii. The new world after the meeting

ix. Help him, spirits forced to join

x. Changing the soul/spirit

A# 5) d. The time after – a new life and more negotiations with god

- i. *A new life, and the zoom shock*
- ii. *Conscious start from the breaking point*
- iii. *Negotiations – Nonsense from me*
- iv. *The Roadway and the codex*
- v. *Negotiations – Continuing life normally, figuring stuff out along the way*
- vi. *Negotiations - Religions*
- vii. *Negotiations - Prophet*
- viii. *The fire in me*
- ix. *Negotiations – A world respecting quality more*
- x. *god and question of bow / don't bow*
- xi. *Negotiations - "All good"*
- xii. *Negotiations - A logic approach to faith*

A# 5) e. Consequences

- i. *All my horrors came true*
- ii. *Piecing self back together*
- iii. *Memories of interrogation and hypnosis*


A# 6) Resume – The why’s of Nobiasity

What happened easter 2010?

Political dependencies, giving up of press freedom...

Many parts of Europe didn't participate in Iraq, but later...

tbd



TBD

B# The philosophic and religious foundation of Nobiasity and theological/religious syncretism

B# 1) Easter 2010

Where it all began – and ended.

We should restate this question at the end of the section.

B# 2) Religious syncretism

B# 2) a. Judaism

i. *The Arc and Evolution*

The Arc is a metaphor.

A metaphor for “shipping out of a place and into a new one”.

A new Universe.

B# 2) b. Christianity

i. *Christians fighting each other as the current main threat to the world*

Difficult Question:

Why do some Christian countries try to erase each other?

I don't know how to state it differently.

Germany, USA, Russia

In the last 100 years imperialism was mainly a problem of Christian countries also.

Other big players in history on the eastern front of Hinduism and Buddhism didn't do much in regards to driving wars

Japan wasn't hindu or buddhist in 2nd ww it seems (Shinto religion)

My guess would be, a lot of Christians don't really follow Jesus spirit.

Rather they look at the situation and try to gain.

This seems to be true for all the actors that are driving this war between Christian countries.

USA(a Christian country) wanted to flatten or enslave Russia(a Christian country). In Ukraine(a Christian country) there seem to have been racism problems between groups.

Russia seems to want to flatten or enslave Ukraine, which was driven to war by USA.

My solution

You are only Christian if you follow Jesus spirit.

You are not Christian if you try to gain due to abuse of others.

Religion in Ukraine is diverse, with **a majority of the population adhering to Christianity**. A 2018 survey conducted by the Razumkov Centre found that 71.7% of the population declared themselves believers.

[Religion in Ukraine - Wikipedia](#)

The U.S. government estimates the total population at 142.3 million (midyear 2020 estimate). A poll conducted in September by the independent Levada Center found that **63 percent of the population identified as Orthodox Christian** and 7 percent as Muslim, while 26 percent reported having no religious faith.

12 May 2021

[2020 Report on International Religious Freedom: Russia - State Department](#)

The Shinto Directive was an order issued in 1945 to the Japanese government by Occupation authorities to abolish state support for the **Shinto religion**. This unofficial "State Shinto" was thought by Allies to have been a major contributor to Japan's nationalistic and militant culture that led to World War II.

[Shinto Directive - Wikipedia](#)

Original (saying from a Muslim scholar?)

"If a man's patience is stronger than his whims and desires, then he is like an angel, but if his whims and desires are stronger than his patience, then he is like a devil. If his desire for food, drink and sex is stronger than his patience, then he is no better than an animal."

My take

If a man's patience is stronger than his whims and desires, then he is like an angel, but if his whims and desires are stronger than his righteousness, then its the mark of the beast.

Secularism, separation of church and state also works better if the politicians cannot abuse faith.

Tbd: verify

ii. *The number of the beast*

Is 666 the number of the beast, a newer invention, that has nothing to do with Christian roots?

First, the Author of this Scripture never met Jesus it seems.

Then [1] Papyrus 115 (which is the oldest preserved manuscript of the Revelation as of 2017), as well as other ancient sources like Codex Ephraemi Rescriptus, give the number of the beast as $\chi\iota\varsigma$ or $\chi\iota\varsigma$, transliterable in Arabic numerals as 616 ($\chi\iota\varsigma$), not 666

This number 666 seems to be rooted in Christian Scripture roots less than Santa clause and Christmas trees.

Also: 666 in Roman numerals is DCLXVI. To convert 666 in Roman Numerals, we will write 666 in the expanded form, i.e. $666 = 500 + 100 + 50 + 10 + 5 + 1$ thereafter replacing the transformed numbers with their respective roman numerals, we get $666 = D + C + L + X + V + I = DCLXVI$.

616 seems to refer to the roman emperor Nero who persecuted Christians

The fire that began in the shops at the Circus Maximus on the night of July 18, AD 64 raged for nine days, burning itself out on the sixth and then suspiciously flaring up again on the estate of Tigellinus, Nero's praetorian prefect (Tacitus, *Annals*, XV.40; Suetonius, *Life of Nero*, **XXXVI**.2). Nearly two-thirds of Rome burned, including the Palatine Hill, and countless persons died. "There was no curse that the populace did not invoke upon Nero, though they did not mention his name"

Although many of the populace believed that Nero intentionally had started the fire (Dio, LXII.17.18.3; Pliny, *Natural History*, XVII.1), he himself blamed the Christians. Because of their supposed hatred of mankind, he had them thrown to dogs, nailed to

crosses in his gardens, and burned alive (the traditional punishment for arson) to serve as living torches in the night (Tacitus, XV.44; this passage also contains the earliest non-Christian reference to the crucifixion).

It therefore is not surprising that Nero was perceived by Christians as a persecutor of their faith. For Eusebius, he was "the first that persecuted this doctrine" (II.25.4); for Tertullian, "the first emperor who dyed his sword in Christian blood, when our religion was but just arising at Rome" (Apology, V); for Sulpicius Severus, "he who first began a persecution" of Christians (Sacred History, II.28).

(https://penelope.uchicago.edu/~grout/encyclopaedia_romana/gladiators/nero.html)

If you write 616 in Roman numerals

616 =
DCXVI

If you read this with Germanic pronunciation you get, what sounds like “drecks Viech” by the way.

English translation: “Dirty beast” => Number of the beast?.

Also:

The connotation that XXX relates to 666 seems to be a newer one also.

The Hindu-Arabic denominational number systems came to Europe apparently through the Mathematician Fibonacci, who was born in 1170.

Without a denominational number system XXX can hardly refer to/make the connotation to the number with the value 666.

In Roman and Greek Numbers, the number with the Value 666 is expressed as mentioned, with DCLXVI or

In most manuscripts of the New Testament and in English translations of the Bible, the number of the beast is six hundred sixty-six or $\chi\xi\zeta$ (**in Greek numerals, χ represents 600, ξ represents 60 and ζ represents 6**).

But it's just the case, that there seems nothing special about the number with the value 666 outside of a decimal denominational number system at first.

What happened is likely, that when the KJV, the translation of the Bible for the English King was made, a lot of changes were made to make Christianity attractive for them.

Since the connotation X and 6 was there by then, and the denominational number system was standard by then – they just invented 666 as the number of the beast, based on Germanic implications on 616 = DCXVI and *Life of Nero*, **xxxviii**.
And other implications of 6 and X.

Revelation 13:18 "There is need for shrewdness here: anyone clever may interpret the number of the beast: it is the number of a human being, the number 666."

For a Jew this number was a fearful image. The Old Testament image that would immediately connect with Jews or Messianic Jews would be the fall of King Solomon, Israel's greatest ruler, as he led his people into apostasy. We'll discuss those passages at the end of this section.

As you will remember we discussed the concept of "Rome is Caesar and Caesar is Rome"; that a ruler and his empire is one and the same. John clearly shows us this connection in the riddle of the number of the Beast. In the ancient world there was no separate set of symbols representing number value. Letters of the alphabet carried numerical value. The 22 letters of the Hebrew alphabet all had number value just as the 24 letters of the Greek alphabet each had a number equivalent. But as for the Romans, only six letters carried number value: 1 for the letter I, 5 for the letter V, 10 for the letter X, 50 for the letter L, 100 for the letter C, and 500 for the letter D. Contrary to popular belief there was no single letter value for 1000. That number was represented by back to back Ds and so resembled the letter M.

John warns us that to solve this puzzle takes shrewdness and cleverness! The Roman Emperor we know as Nero Caesar had two common spellings for his name in the first century. One form was Nero Kesar but the Hebrew spelling is Neron Kesar. This is important because some ancient MSS (handwritten manuscripts) instead of using the number 666 have the number 616. If you add up the letter values in Hebrew (that's why it takes shrewdness—most people did not know Hebrew) Neron Caesar (Neron Kaisar) adds up to 666. Neron Kaisar = Nrwn Q(K)sr in Hebrew which used no vowels, was the linguistically correct Hebrew form and is found in the Jewish Talmud, in the writings of the community at Qumran where the Dead Sea Scrolls were found, and other rabbinical writings. No Jew would ever have thought of Nero except as rsq nwrn (Hebrew read left to right). But Nero Caesar (rsq nwr), the other spelling (alternate spellings were very common), adds up to 616, which is exactly the variant reading in a few New Testament Revelation manuscripts for the number of the Beast.

<https://www.agapebiblestudy.com/charts/Gemetria%20and%20the%20Number%20of%20the%20Beast%20666.htm>

To Conclude:

616 connotes to Nero and his persecution of Christians

616 =

DCXVI

“drecks Viech” => “Dirty beast” => Number of the beast?.

Nero was seen as opponent of Christian Faith, therefore the Antichrist

666:

For a (messianic) Jew this number was a fearful image. The Old Testament image that would immediately connect with Jews or Messianic Jews would be the fall of

King Solomon, Israel's greatest ruler, as he led his people into apostasy. We'll discuss those passages at the end of this section.

Question: Can you find anywhere else in Scripture where the number 666 is mentioned? Hint: see 1 Kings 10:14 and 2 Chronicles 9:13.

Answer: It is found only in these two places. Both passages record that King Solomon received 666 talents of gold in one year. Solomon is both a Biblical type for Christ and for the Beast. The number 666 marks both the height of his reign and the beginning of his fall away from God and into apostasy. Solomon falls from his position of favor with God as he breaks the three laws of godly kingship as recorded in Deuteronomy 17:16-17: the law against multiplying gold (1 Kings 10:14-25); the law against multiplying horses (1 Kings 10: 26-29); and the law against multiplying wives (1 Kings 11:1-8). As I already mentioned, for a Jew the number 666 was a fearful sign of apostasy and the mark of both a king and his kingdom that had failed to image God and so had fallen to the image of Satan.

John's message is that Satan, the great red Dragon, at his most powerful is just a 6, or a series of 6s. He will never be a seven = perfection. His plans for the world and the downfall of man will never be fulfilled. The Church will overcome and be victorious through Jesus, the 888, who conquered on the 8th Day! Praise and glory to the King!

In other words:

- Jesus was a Jew and pro-Jewish
- John the author of the Revelations (who didn't meet Jesus) wasn't anti-Semitic. He was also a Jew.
- Also the Prophets Number of the beast was anti Roman(616), not anti Jewish(666).

A short lash out:

Then politics happened.

See what happens nowadays: I live in Europe, so I mention this. Genocide that happens in Europe is not interesting to European politicians. Genocide that happens in China is interesting to western politicians. Even when the European genocide, for example in Ossetia by the Georgian military was more real, and deserves this title more than, the also bad things that happen to Uighurs in China.

Nonetheless, since it's in Europe, it doesn't get mentioned anymore, and never was called by the name. While detention in reeducation facilities of males and giving woman medicaments to control birth are getting called that, maybe that's also justified somewhat, but the other incident would have been even more so, but got swiped under the carpet instead.

Because politics always seek to distract their population from the dirt before the own door, so they point as far away as possible.

Back to the topic:

It's the time after John, what is the issue? **Christians get persecuted.**

The Roman emperors aren't fond. A god that is not a Roman emperor and the Messiah? Furthermore, the inclinations about the Number of the Beast?

The Romans killed Jesus?

Let's add 1+1. The Jews were made into the scapegoats (After Johns time). Antisemitism was born in the west.

It was an appease of the Christians to the Romans who persecuted them.

These are due to the same political structures that we witness these days.

Later it went out of control and romans, Christians now, persecuted Jews, framing them with their own past misdeeds.

The messianic Jews, that became Christians likely negotiated with Rome, to stop blaming Rome and display Jews as the evil side instead as appease to Rome. Scripture also changed this way over time. In the first Century Christians were mostly all Jews still. Early New Testament scripture that had Rome as the evil side was burned and destroyed.

Romans did not really persecute Jews before Christ I think, they were just following their world domination schemes. And they didn't like Christ as someone who didn't submit to the emperor

The church fathers wrote countless letters to the authorities, also much later Nicene Creed and stuff after there was a basic agreeance what Christian faith means.

Romans rarely prayed to their emperors, only a few areas did. The emperors didn't declare to be gods in their lifetime. But they did see past emperors as gods, so Jesus non-aligning and god-claim upset them.

All of the books of the New Testament were written within a lifetime of the death of Jesus of Nazareth.

All the manuscripts that we still have are from 100 years later.

Another modern example:

A few months ago the Biden Regime tried to rewrite history in their favor. They tried to not disproof the Hunter Biden Laptop story and Wikileaks articles, they just discredited and destroyed the evidence.

Back to the topic:

Its the same as for 2000 years ago in Rome. Rome who persecuted Christians and persecuted Jesus were made into the rescuers of Christian faith, while the Jews, who are the origin of Christian faith, were painted with Roman names and the blame was given to Jews. Early blame on Rome in the scripture was destroyed. It's really obvious through a historic lens, but it gets ignored, nonetheless.

The same political structures that lately manipulate Wikileaks articles, persecute their founders and point far away when problems arise that can be found at the front door.

Just another example of haunting of the heroes of good faith and their works for personal gain of the shrewd and antichrists.

Also, in context of anti-Semitism:

Jesus Mission was to grant stairs to higher ground Its not "evil" to decide to not to walk up the stairs It's evil to throw stones down while you try to climb up.

And the same arguments that you use against Jews, that they don't climb up to your amount of Scripture – can be used by the ones that include the Quran into their pool of Scripture.

Notes :

TBD Someone posted - maybe make some minor adaptations:

Well anyway this is blatantly not true. Especially Paul tried to distance himself from the Jewish community in large part due to this wish to proselytise the Greeks. The Christian community wasn't extremely pro Jewish, they saw the Jews as heretics, who needed to be converted, and when the Jews refused the Christians got angry and started building up vitriol towards the Jews. Also antisemitism in the Roman Empire was wide spread, in that the Jews were regarded as barbarians, and inferior to Romans/Greeks. A lot of the Disciples has hellenised names, particularly because they were likely hellenised Jews and not traditionalists.

Not quite there regarding Paul distancing himself from the Jews—he just focused more on the Greeks and the general eastern Mediterranean area, but he still had a heart for the Jews that they might follow Jesus.

Me about calling evil what doesn't accept Jesus as Messiah :

Some years ago I tried to cure people from stating objectivity is impossible because it ruins us to do so.

They arbitrarily defined that everything that is not 100% objective is subjective.

This was an arbitrary definition with political motivation.

A misled one.

Saying everything is evil that is not God, with some trickery in the back that excludes you from the rule but puts Semites and other religions believers among the evil ones is WORSE than those misled politics.

Its abuse of religion of the worst kind, and not just misleading people, its working on getting a whole religion misled and taking it against the will of its founder.

1 Corinthians 9:20-23

Christian faith probably got abused more than Islam did

And Islam got abused a lot

It seems there were some things turned around

-jesus opposed authority and decided to get sacrificed AGAINST roman authority for his faith

To an extent this was made into obedience towards roman authority against ones faith

-Jesus accepted the thief and thus he was saved

This was made into faith into Jesus saved him

More/verify: TBD

Also, I want to mention, that its not most Romans fault, that they have little influence on some of their leaders and ruling class doing stupid things. Sometimes it is choosing between pest and cholera.

iii. More about Christianity

Is Christianity true?

By my estimation: Of course.

As for any larger Scripture, there are likely at least a few mistakes, including this writings also.

Imo the biggest importance about Scripture are

- Teachings for the believers to improve their Situation
- Worldview

In both areas Christianity does fine, if its read well.

- Worldview:

In the original gospels, the focus is on how Jesus handles Situations, the focus is not so much on the metaphysical concepts. Nonetheless it contains the metaphysical truth also.

A number of universes (quantum superposition) collapsing at the start:

Reestablishment and new era → new Trinity and their truth → opposition and trying to set new ways against opposition

The previous “admin” of the universe, noah, as the holy spirit, a human selected by him, Jesus, and the Top and root spirit, Yahweh make up the Trinity.

They define the new ways.

Similar to how the same thing happened 2000 years before and after this.

I understand the flood story as

“Shipping out of a place and into a new one”.

Jesus was the 3rd overseer of this universe concept, still is somewhat, and reconciled between God and men.

“Jesus is the only way to God”

In my understanding:

Heaven =not= meeting God

You only get an appointment with God through the overseer.

- Jesus Teachings:
 - Love God
 - Love your “Neighbour” as yourself
 - Forgive others who wronged you
 - Love your enemies
 - Ask God for forgiveness of your sins
 - Jesus is the Messiah and was given authority to forgive others
 - Repentance of sins is essential

- Don't be hypothetical

In a way I don't really tell you anything new when I try to make recipes on how to save the world.

They were right there ever since then.

If you look at the moral system in this book that follows from all kinds of scientific and philosophical Theory – its the same.

So I don't really come up with important new stuff, I just make stuff easier to understand, also for non-believers.

The recipe on how to save the world was there all along, it was just "poisoned" by a few things

1. Looking at the cross wrong

You are not supposed to believe in Jesus as much as believe for Jesus.

You can believe IN the Devil but shouldn't believe FOR the devil.

You shall not look at the cross and think along the ways of "Great all our sins are getting forgiven"

You shall look at the cross in humility optimally.

2. Scripture manipulation

-jesus opposed authority and decided to get sacrificed AGAINST roman authority for his faith

To an extent this was made into obedience towards roman authority against ones faith

-Jesus accepted the thief and thus he was saved

This was made into faith into Jesus saved him

B# 2) c. Islam

B# 2) d. Hinduism

B# 2) e. Buddhism

B# 2) f. Conclusion

When I started I thought there is no actual solution already. I did argue for all religions being true.

But I thought there are no real solutions.

Meaning I didn't take their moral systems serious.

But I begin to see even that is wrong. Both truth and solutions was already there.

My real task is merely

-Get unbelievers to understand or give them the means to

-And reconcile between different manifestations of the truth in form of religions

-Plus update the views with the new negotiated thresholds

B# 3) Religious foundation

B# 3) a. Torah

i. Concept:

“Why, listen?!”

Many truths about how we came to be and our older history.

Also introduction of the universe superposition collapse system and development towards trinitarian systems

ii. Content:

10:26 Sat, 4 Jun

Strong's Concordance Parallel Bibles Genesis 1:1-27 Cross Reference Search User Commentary

Hebrew OT - Transliteration - Holy Name KJV

Bereshit / Genesis 1

1 The creation of heaven and earth, **3** of the light, **6** of the firmament, **9** of the earth separated from the waters, **11** and made fruitful, **14** of the sun, moon and stars, **20** of fish and fowl, **24** of beasts and cattle, **26** of man in the image of God. **29** Also the appointment of food.

<p>בְּרֵאשִׁית בָּרָא אֱלֹהִים אֶת הַשָּׁמַיִם וְאֶת הָאָרֶץ</p> <p>וְהָאָרֶץ הִיְתְּה תוֹהוּ וָבִוְהוּ וְחֹשֶׁךְ עַל־פְּנֵי תְהוֹם וְרוּחַ אֱלֹהִים מְרַחֶפֶת עַל־פְּנֵי הַמַּיִם</p> <p>וַיֹּאמֶר אֱלֹהִים יְהִי אוֹר וַיְהִי אוֹר</p> <p>וַיֵּרָא אֱלֹהִים אֶת־הָאוֹר כִּי טוֹב וַיַּבְדֵּל אֱלֹהִים בֵּין הָאוֹר וּבֵין הַחֹשֶׁךְ</p> <p>וַיִּקְרָא אֱלֹהִים לְאוֹר יוֹם וְלַחֹשֶׁךְ קָרָא לַיְלָה וַיְהִי־עֶרֶב וַיְהִי־בֹקֶר יוֹם אֶחָד</p> <p>וַיֹּאמֶר אֱלֹהִים יְהִי רָקִיעַ בְּתוֹךְ הַמַּיִם וַיְהִי מִבְּדִיל בֵּין מַיִם לַמַּיִם</p> <p>וַיַּעַשׂ אֱלֹהִים אֶת־הַרְקִיעַ וַיַּבְדֵּל בֵּין הַמַּיִם אֲשֶׁר מִתַּחַת לַרְקִיעַ וּבֵין הַמַּיִם אֲשֶׁר מֵעַל לַרְקִיעַ וַיְהִי־כֵן</p> <p>וַיִּקְרָא אֱלֹהִים לַרְקִיעַ שָׁמַיִם וַיְהִי־עֶרֶב וַיְהִי־בֹקֶר יוֹם שֵׁנִי</p>	<p>1:1 B'rēshiyt Bārā 'ēlohiym ēt haShāmayim w'ēt hā'āretz</p> <p>1:2 w'hāāretz hāy'tāh wāvohū w'choshekh al-P'ney t'hōm w'rū'ch 'ēlohiym al-P'nēy haMāyim</p> <p>1:3 waYomer 'ēlohiym y'hiy ōr way'hiy-ōr</p> <p>1:4 waYar 'ēlohiym et-hāōr Kiy-tōv waYav'Del 'ēlohiym Beyn hāōr ūveyn hachoshekh</p> <p>1:5 waYiq'rā 'ēlohiym lāōr yōm w'lachoshekh gārā lāy'lāh way'hiy-erev way'hiy-voqer yōm echād f</p> <p>1:6 waYomer 'ēlohiym y'hiy rāqiy' B'tōkh haMāyim wiyhiy mav'Diyl Beyn mayim lamāyim</p> <p>1:7 waYaas 'ēlohiym et-hārāqiy' waYav'Del Beyn haMayim āsher miTachāt lārāqiy' ūveyn haMayim āsher mēal lārāqiy' way'hiy-khen</p> <p>1:8 waYiq'rā 'ēlohiym lārāqiy' shāmāyim way'hiy-erev way'hiy-voqer yōm shēniy f</p>	<p>1:1 ¶ In the beginning 7225 'Elohim 430 created 1254 853 28804 the heaven 8064 and 7853 the earth. 776</p> <p>1:2 And the earth 776 was 1961 28804 without form, 8414 and void; 922 and darkness 2822 [was] upon 5921 the face 6440 of the deep. 8415 And the Spirit 7307 of 'Elohim 430 moved 7363 28784 upon 5921 the face 6440 of the waters. 4325</p> <p>1:3 And 'Elohim 430 said, 559 28799 Let there be 1961 28799 light: 216 and there was 1961 light. 216</p> <p>1:4 And 'Elohim 430 saw 7200 853 28799 the light, 216 that 3588 [it was] good: 2896 and 'Elohim 430 divided 914 996 28686 the light 216 from 996 the darkness. 2822</p> <p>1:5 And 'Elohim 430 called 7121 28799 the light 216 Day, 3117 and the darkness 2822 he called 7121 28804 Night. 3915 And the evening 6153 and the morning 1242 were 1961 the first 259 day. 3117</p> <p>1:6 ¶ And 'Elohim 430 said, 559 28799 Let there be 1961 a firmament 7549 in the midst 8432 of the waters, 4325 and let 1961 it divide 914 28688 2996 the waters 4325 from the waters. 4325</p> <p>1:7 And 'Elohim 430 made 6213 28799 the firmament, 7549 and divided 914 28686 2996 the waters 4325 which 834 [were] under 8478 24480 the firmament 7549 from 2996 the waters 4325 which 834 [were] above 5921 24480 the firmament: 7549 and it was 1961 so. 3651</p> <p>1:8 And 'Elohim 430 called 7121 28799 the firmament 7549 Heaven. 8064 And the evening 6153 and the morning 1242 were 1961 the second 8145 day. 3117</p>
--	--	--

q bible.com/hebrew-old-testament/gen

Elohim is the self-hosted spiritual temporary-“material”(real) God

1:6 talks about

Mina_Nobias

I believe matter inherited the underlying concepts up to the basis of our interaction which it concerns, and are distinct in accessibility
The spiritual parts being inaccessible to reality

Other chatter

how can I possibly conclude that something inaccessible exists?

Mina_Nobias

You can conclude that an inaccessible space exists when you walk around it without finding ways to access it


Other chatter

that isn't inaccessible
I can interact with it
I can't enter it
but I can map it and see the boundaries
I have access to information about it
not being able to enter something is not the same as not being able to interact with it

Mina_Nobias

? What about the *space inside*
The thing is also "locked in place"
Only the "border" interacts between inside and outside

Which raises the question - what exactly is heaven



The container ("Universe", "Heaven") is more just a tool to understand the boundaries better, I assume those boundaries don't actually exist in that way (other than in form of access rights)

The part about "his kind" and "their kind"

It probably means, that the spirits and souls attached to them already existed
I assume that spirits and their souls were grouped into kinds like animals and humans.
Depending on a certain attribute he differed 2 basic sides also.

Tbd continue here

B# 3) b. Bible

i. Concept:

“How to do better and reach higher ground after life”

New trinitarian concept.

ii. Content:

B# 3) c. Quran

i. Concept:

“Explaining a way of life”

Training and exercise book with lessons and wrong/right multiple choice questions on morals

ii. Content:

B# 3) d. Manusmriti, Vedas, Bhagwad Geeta

i. Concept:

“Combination of the other 4 concepts of big religions”

ii. Content:

B# 3) e. Pali Canon

i. Concept:

“Explaining a way to be”

ii. Content:

B# 4) Philosophy

B# 4) a. Society Criticism:

The world can currently be described as that of
beehives
governed by
henhouses.

The society as hardworking bees loses patience too easily. They fight other beehives too often for no good reasons. They get sacrificed by the hens, that are supposed to help them, for their own interests. The hens lack uprightness and courage. And the quarrelsome prideful cocks don't make it better.

How does an employment facility select an employer?

The employer has to go to a test course where all his attributes of matters are evaluated, and he gets selected by matching the requirements.

How does a president get elected?

He contacts lobbyist groups to collect money from them making dependencies and markets with empty promises.

You can select between 2 such options.

Maybe if that would get fixed we could stop electing leaders with premature minds.

It is a recurring phenomenon regarding societies, and a widespread individual phenomenon, that people confuse degeneracy and being dull with virtue. This is not just true for things like female genital mutilation which is part of this.

No it is true for both believing wrong, as well as wrong belief. Wrong belief has to do a lot with dogma. Believing wrong on the other hand, is to focus on parts out of context.

Now there are instances like scientism that actually manage to combine the two wrongs and glorify them.

They have a lot of faith though. Consciousness from material, although that quite obviously cannot be or work? Check. Nihilism sometimes moral nihilism although self-defeating? Check. Faith in superdeterminism, ignoring their own measurements? Check. Naturalism? The universe just happened randomly? Macro evolution through natural selection only, ignoring logical incoherency and newer findings? Check.

Sky daddy hurr durr talk and problem of evil non-sequitors as their best arguments? Check.

They sure must have even more faith believing in just one life and then nothing.

Because thats like the pinnacle of the incoherency of their faith constructs.

I explained that alot elsewhere, but the already incoherent belief that consciousness is generated from the brain through naturalism, doesn't become any more coherent if you top it of with "but it only happens once, because.... because I say so".

I choose acceptIT-improve Some Buddhist monks seem to choose acceptIT-escape (though sharing wisdom and such). Most others are acceptIT-despair or ignoreIT-deceive Its just tiring to have interclass communication between those, when there is no agreeance to try to get things right.

Get it right. Both proving gods existence as well as disproving both requires circumstancial rulesets. Considering the atheist side is the one constantly moving the goalposts doesn't make their performance look promising on disproving gods.

How is proving existence much different from disproving?

You have a ruleset on circumstances for both.

You assume moving goalposts, that is what atheism does all the time.

Atheism = God not existing. This definition was changed every week or month for some time, there was not much agreement on what it means. Then everyone called out theism for being bigoted, homophobic etc. Because that's what they attributed to theism. Criticism of far-reaching beliefs among Atheists were immediately dismissed, sometimes with moderation threats, saying this is not what Atheism means.

I certainly was told a ton of different definitions.

This is also something I see atheists doing. They dismiss the bible as anything that is disadvantage to them. But to proof anything of advantage to their position they hold it in high value. They dismiss logic where its against their position. They hold science to their advantage. They dismiss their own principles where they see gain by doing so. And pose riding the moral high horse while doing so.

Social Darwinism causes all kinds of moral issues. Atheism can also cause some. There are cases of militant atheism, and atheism that discriminates against other beliefs. In those regards it's like another religion with similar issues, which is even less founded in truth. (For example Stalin)

Imagine yourself as being in Gods place. You did better than all other spirits and ended up as the greatest. Now you work your butt off to help out the rest, lifting them. There is this group that just doesn't get it, and keeps getting worse, yet you refuse to give them up. As thanks they blame you for the things they messed and mess up.

Theism could generally have a better handle on solving the current big problems:

- Capitalism out of control
- Imperialistic agendas
- Wage gaps and poverty
- Environmental issues

B# 4) b. Worldview:

iii. We are the universe=> we are (client)nodes, communicating with each other

Reality= common belief "is this true?"

simple contemplating believing thought makes truths happen, then they get fit in the network through details

What we commonly believe to be true at heart, is true

Science is executed systematic belief

"Used to explain patterns" Forming beliefs on how to fit the details in the node network

Its patterns that get fit not objects

God doesn't have to "change his mind" for your prayer to change course of events

You can type in a console cmd request in a pc videogame just fine, and if you have the rights the system might respond. That does not require the system or the code to change. Its a mere shift in priorities of all actors and how they are treated.

Think of a client server architecture.

The client nodes hook up to the server.

He's the server admin and maker in this analogy.

Since all issues we have are due to some actors, it is not possible to respond to issues without redirecting or restricting actors.

Its literally the only option aside splitting groups.

A human is not exactly "one" thing. A human is a accumulation of... I would call it spiritual entity and representation.

Any illness is a internal struggle of a kind.

Any feeling is communication.


Any sense is communication from the outer client server architecture (delivering all you can access, but you move in certain arrangements on those).
While will and beliefs communicate towards the server.

Medicaments usually work by killing off the part of you that isn't in agreement (or sedating it).

iv. If you have a brick wall, what good is it without cement!

Both can try without each other, But both cement without bricks, or bricks without cement
can only go so far...
Science without religion is misguided, religion without science crumbles.

(Patterns as pieces)



v. *There is "me" and "the universe" (we are the universe)*

It is not "me" as an result from "the universe"

It is "me" as an instance of "the universe", made from groups likewise to me

Something that is not as I am cannot create me.

This simple truth occurred to me long ago.

"Material" could only hope to create me if it was as I am.

It is the most basic thing in existence, so how do you explain it any more simple than that.

Either something has a sentience or not. Saying so these certain processes create sentience from something not sentient would bastardize the meaning of sentience as an element possible of creating consciousness.

The elements must be capable of it already.

(Panpsychism)

Make an fitting analogy, and instead of "Capable of consciousness" Do put "Touring-complete" as an analogy. Only something touring-complete can make a higher level of something touring-complete. Something not touring complete (i.e. a incomplete programming language) cannot make a touring complete one.

Because consciousness has this "features" of "beyond material". You wont find them "outside" But only "inside". These features, that you only find inside, cannot come from the things that are analyzed, evaluated, processed, etc. outside. It's as if you wanted to complete a house building without going inside. Its only fassade, to say its complete.

Its really all so simple that its hard to explain Its like a child asking to explain a letter. And a alphabet.

It's what makes up words... What else can you say

- If the alphabet is incomplete then thats that
- How do you spell human if some of those letters are missing

If the thing that lives inside a human exists, then the thing the human is made up by, were capable of creating the thing inside. How can anyone not agree to that...Its's a mystery to me.

- Meaning the things that made up the human were sentient

If you take the Alphabet as given at the base of existing. Then it limits the possibilities. You can only spell what you can spell. If "i" is not part of the alphabet than that's that.

saying ue makes an ü is not inherently correct. ue makes a ue, and we treat it like an ü. But consciousness is made from a couple of letters. Trying to replace those letters from other letters, to recreate consciousness does not work. **Because you can't substitute, what isn't there to be substituted.**


Saying the building blocks that make consciousness are not all just material - its like looking at a Picasso and saying it's not a da Vinci

They are both part of humanity though.

Why is it that you believe consciousness cannot be created by material circumstances only?

How do you know there are metaphysical aspects to consciousness?


vi. Spiritual and material brain



Imo the consciousness and spiritual brain programs the material brain through controlling "contemplating and belief via patterns" through will. Initiating of DMA(Direct Memory Access) like processes is also possible. The material brain does the executive work.

- The place behind the observer

Reality / Nature



Because consciousness is located at the observer. If you see a face, that is doing stuff, would you assume the head is empty? In the same way, there is ALL REASON to assume, things exist behind the observer. Therefore, I assume there are beyond - material parts to the client. And since these beyond Material parts are necessary, as an empty head can't think, I assume that at birth or otherwise implementation into reality, a spiritual brain connects to the material.

Because if you think about the observer....

- let me explain
- your consciousnesses representation....
- will consist of parts, that "interact with reality", then there would be parts that "interact with what interacts with reality, but don't interact with reality"
- Thats almost a given from engineering view

Your conscious observance is located between

you can look inside and outside

The only other possibility would be like.... hm.... actually I don't think there is another possibility

I think its a given that there are spiritual parts to the brain

just doesn't work out otherwise

Aside from that the simple fact that the explanation of the smallest parts don't hold an explanation of why we are, shows that we are searching in the wrong direction.

If you look at geometry, you have up, down, left, and right, roll, pitch and yaw? No, you also have in and out.

As long as the in part gets neglected by science of course they wont find it...

Think about it for an moment

You are playing an ego shooter sitting in your living room, through the tv or vr glasses

Now where - in which direction - would the game or your avatar look for you

Does it help much to search the smallest edges of the poligons?

Or do you "go in from the experience"?

The simple fact that the outside provides no answer about the inside, should already, as it did in the past, show that the answer to the inside lies inside

Theres an scenario and there is a actor. Evidently.

If the actor is 100% part of the scenario, the actor doesn't exist. There is just an scenario with action.

You exist.

Therefore you are distinct from the scenario.

How do you take a scenario part made by an actor serious that tells the actor that is you, that he is part and result of the scenario, while the scenario is a result of the actors evidently.

Since you are and you are distinct of the scenario - and there is a interface - clear proof that there is a distinction.

Also you don't understand panpsychism if you talk about:

"Talk to an atom";

Have you played your favorite videogame on an transistor lately?

Maybe use your doorbell wiring as global internet communication means while at it ;)

Here is an government hosted article

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3914914/>

Although it uses the term "consciousness" wrong in some instances, when it should state "sentience"

Other chatter

>> Something that is not as I cannot create me.

^ What does that mean?

Mina_Nobias

That is explained with https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Turing_completeness

- [Turing completeness](#)

In computability theory, a system of data-manipulation rules (such as a computer's instruction set, a programming language, or a cellular automaton) is said to be Turing-complete or computationally universal if it can be used to simulate any Turing machine (devised by English mathematician and computer scientist Alan Turing).

Mina_Nobias

Something that lacks the "essence" to form a specific outcome, can never hope to achieve it

Other chatter

I'm going to backtrack

something can only create something if it can create it

agreed
that's a tautology

how does that apply to 'Something that is not as I am cannot create me.'
they are not the same thing

Mina_Nobias

- We have an interface, and are acting from behind an interface
- this interface changes materia from behind to the front of the interface
- something that matter cannot do

Therefore, there are supernatural elements to the spirit

Other chatter

the sentence is 'Something that is not as I cannot create me.'
you should be able to show that is true with logical argument without reference to
the universe
if it's true it's true and to assume it's false would entail a contradiction

Mina_Nobias

Proof "something that isn't as I cannot make me?" Like the ship of Theseus?
If you try to build the same ship from different "kinds" of parts it won't be the
same
Houses from 1000 years ago have gables made of better wood.
You can look at today's gables and think they are the same, but they are not
Floor screed or cement used in houses is not the same that bridges use, which are
constantly wet, without the cement having trouble with this.
So, the answer to the ship of Theseus is just, it's the same kind of thing, if its
made from the same kinds, and arranged in the same way.
And for our consciousness, that includes the spiritual part of the brain.

Other chatter

I don't believe or understand what a spiritual part of a brain is

Mina_Nobias

<https://www.google.com/>

; in the sense that you can use it, you can access it in one direction gaining the
globally available information by requests in certain form

; in the other way, you can get access to a personalized version, parameters,
individually personality aligned attributes of its behavior, and large sets of
personal data, files, accounts, that only you have access to normally, aside from
the information you give away

Other chatter

you've stated how you use it - but not what it IS or how I should know it exists

Mina_Nobias

The spiritual brain is the means of personalization

Other chatter

that doesn't tell me what it is or how I know it exists. You just defined it as a 'means'. That's not what it is - that's how it's used
I could say X is a means of turning lead to gold. That doesn't tell me what X IS or whether X exists

Mina_Nobias

Proof? Conflict is one. Because there definitely are means that target prevention of conflict. Yet it exists. Because means of personalization also do exist.

Other chatter

so, I didn't ask for proof per se, although proof would be nice. What I asked was please define *what it is* (not what it *does*) and then tell me how I can know it exists.

Mina_Nobias

As the material brain is arrangements of sentient parts, the spiritual brain is in a similar form. Yet it does interact only with the material brain and the server, not with the represented reality directly

Other chatter

saying the spiritual brain is made of spiritual parts doesn't tell me what spiritual means

Mina_Nobias

Spirituality is also means of communication with the server, distinct from representation of reality

Other chatter

you keep describing means and usage and not what it is
a brick is an object made of baked sand that is solid
can you describe spiritual using similar easy to interact with language?

Mina_Nobias

Like soul?

Other chatter

you'd have to explain that to me too as I don't understand it
perhaps we can start at our common source/foundation
I believe that the universe is made out of matter and energy and the ways they interact. I am not aware of anything else that exists. Is there anything else you think exists?

Mina_Nobias

There are ways to view at this in a way where you are right, if the matter inherited the underlying conceptualizations and are distinct in accessibility

Other chatter

so, do you believe that that is the correct underlying concept or not?

Mina_Nobias

A representation of truth has a representation of truth; the real question is if you understand the representation of truth in a way that is true

Other chatter

I'm not asking about representations (epistemology), I'm asking about ontology if you believe that something is the case you are claiming it has an ontology but so far, all I've heard is how spiritual applies to things but not what it is

Mina_Nobias

I believe matter inherited the underlying concepts up to the basis of our interaction which it concerns, and are distinct in accessibility
The spiritual parts being inaccessible to reality

Other chatter

how can I possibly conclude that something inaccessible exists?

Mina_Nobias

You can conclude that an inaccessible space exists when you walk around it without finding ways to access it

Other chatter

that isn't inaccessible
I can interact with it
I can't enter it
but I can map it and see the boundaries
I have access to information about it
not being able to enter something is not the same as not being able to interact with it

Mina_Nobias

? What about the *space inside*
The thing is also "locked in place"
Only the "border" interacts between inside and outside

Mina_Nobias

You can show a black box of a plane to a preschooler, a pilot, or its creators, we are not the creators
A pilot will know what it is and what it does
A preschooler wont
But you want to interact with it, which is not in your repertoire

If you master your plane, you'll have the black boxes amount of knowledge anyway... No need to access the black box if the pilot is alive, you can just ask him instead


This analogy works on different levels of actors and what they form commonly

Plane = being or actor

Pilot = unifying force or consciousness

Black box = representation of all moral choice of entity

vii. venn diagram (we are the universe)



So through the interfaces that are shown here (in Client A) you can control your body with contemplating belief and will
 you can go crazy and lose it, and then have your own world experience
 you can also do that through imagination



otherwise you can control others and the world through contemplating belief and will to an extent if the server thinks its a good idea
 as shown here

The seeing and hearing part is between the separation of left and right shown in client A

So, you accept that there are "untouchable" parts of the brain and that the consciousness controls the body is that right?

viii. Quantum Fields – senses Potential

Quantum Fields = Senses Potential



- Your consciousness HAS to be inside the Quantum field arrangements
- Yet, the Quantum fields reflect the everything

But they reflect the everything only when awake and sane

- In other words it is not possible to "look at a your spiritual brain through reality"

This quantum field theory in combination with the fact of 3 conscious modes existing, destroys the assumption of naturalism

- Both can be scientifically proven

ix. Summary

Will exists independently of consciousness. What sets us apart from most animals that we have some sort of framework to contextualize will with abstract ideas we form by means of symbology and language comprehension.

Theres no evidence that these processes of understanding and contextualization exist independent of our physical form in some overarching spiritual consciousness of being nor is there evidence that this sort of comprehensive material the brain uses couldn't have just developed somehow rather than being artificially created. (Although the current forms of which brains and bodies are arranged clearly suggest suggest/indicate "design")

- consciousness exists because of our physical surroundings and circumstances and experience and not something beyond because without physical existence there would be no conscious mind and without constructs like language and symbols there would be no self-conscious mind

- consciousness and reality are not incompatible
- theres no house being built solely from the outside

- Important Sentinence =NOT= Consciousness

Sentinence is all the components that can form something conscious in certain arrangements

Sentinence is basically a form of potential to me, like a part of soul without a necessary spirit

x. Shizo-affective disorder

Tbd...

xi. Soul imprint

Tbd...

xii. Dreams and forming of universe

So

Science agrees, that

Physical laws became


The earth became

Humans can come from wet clay

Christians say the same

Then physicists say, yeah but "rain fell on rocks just because, and thats why we are", while Christians say "it was a guided procedure like that"

- Scientific view:



General relativity theory predicts a boundary at the big-bang, **in that the laws of physics break down** as does the applicability of the theory: space and time shrink to nothing while the curvature of space, energy density, pressure and temperature on the other hand grow tremendously.

Why is clay found near rivers?

Clay comes from the ground, usually in areas where streams or rivers once flowed. It is made from minerals, plant life, and animals—**all the ingredients of soil**. Over time, water pressure breaks up the remains of flora, fauna, and minerals, pulverising them into fine particles.

I mean just put an plant seed in there

And it should grow if its a fitting one

Then eat those wet clay grown plants

And like ship of Theseus you'll eventually have come from wet clay

- What would the guided Procedure look like in my view?

(Also mention dreams)

... tbd

Young Earth Creationism:

Since I don't believe existence originated with the earth concept.

So its easy to belief that the start of earth was a headstart, still a bad one, but not from zero.

If you are familiar with panpsychism

And network systems

And object oriented mechanisms

Why assume that this universe didn't inherit history? I imagine earlier universe systems to be more like the hinduist yama system.

Things got more complex since then.

- My explanation:

-panpsychism is

-the current situation came to be, by actors bringing it to be

-the chance for us to be in this situation now would be infinitesimal small

-this hypothetical infinitesimal small chance would not have happened, if it was possible to eliminate the potential to be => it is not possible to eliminate the potential to be

We always are, sooner or later

Therefore we are ought to preserve quality in being, and a persistent part of our existence => God and the souls we have

To assume Panpsychism without God is like assuming Anarchy for developed Mankind

- no worse than that

Human are already preselected and well evolved

They would have a chance to manage Anarchy for a few years

Panpsychism without God would be more like being a naked Gladiator without weapons in a Roman arena at the start of the show

Its obvious the whole of existence needs management to get anywhere

And panpsychism is pretty much proof able, if it is not already, I already made the case how to destroy the assumption of naturalism with non-determinism in specific AI and quantum fields as senses in combination with the different conscious modes.

Also, the experience of schizophrenia doesn't add up with naturalism either.

Do you presuppose wild things that none of the scriptures are stating, of the things that happened before Adam and eve? The scriptures don't say much about what happened before. It's unlikely that God was always the same. In fact, the scriptures talk about different forms of God, where he combines himself with different bodies and spirits. Why would God not have done so before? He likely came out on top in the pool of existence, that started as Primordial(primeval) soup.

Right at the beginning of the bible in genesis in the original text; The bible talks about Elohim making things of spirits like him, and spirits of the other kind.

Also the scriptures talk about God fusing with others, making avatars, etc

You should see, what you stated can just be interpreted, that the necessary something at the beginning was Primordial soup, before it started to develop.


That was an eternity ago though

Our universe was made by God.

- why did God create all the other solar systems, planets, galaxies - and we won't be able to see 99% of it since the entire universe is infinitely long
And if it is creating for beauty, what's the point of creating something to be looked at in awe if you and the entire span of your civilization will never see it?

Mina_Nobias


The point of atoms is to create a atomic abstraction layer
For making materia on the subatomic communication layer
We are using this layer




Take an electronic circuit board. We are adapter boards connecting to the circuit board. Self-sufficient - not really but to an extent. From a keyboard's perspective, the mouse might have a purpose, but it's hard to tell what the USB stick does.



viii. venn diagram (we are the universe)



xiii. Posted by Elon Musk on Twitter:



Someone:

though it's the other way around. It's the right, at least in the US, who moved farther right

Me:

Did you look at the recent actions of the Biden regime? How can you say so? But I think I understand why people think that. Its because they were thrown into an identity that is not theirs at the heart in 2010. Nonetheless universe history wise Elon is right.

- But people get confused and confuse their own history with their feelings related to it.
- In the end they move left without notice.

Someone:

If society moves left, the center moves with it. the right moves left too and it's all a slope towards a better future.

Me:

Sure, the center moves, but it hardly is to an better future as witnessed. If you want the better future you should align yourself to the truth of what's the plan of this universe. Which is a bit to the left of before 2010 anyway. But a lot of people are just outta there....

xiv. Why can't we humans think in bigger picture?

Nuclear Energy and trash is worse than carbon dioxide.
You only have to like count to 3 to see the big picture.

-consciousness cant come from material that does not have this possibility

-Panpsychism, particles are sentient

-you don't want to screw particles, especially not soil

Tbd (!!)

xv. About gods/how did we develop from primeval soup:

Due to observations like:

History of mankind

Evolution

Processes with a goal

Big Bang

The indication is:

Existence is a Bottom up system "overall"

The overall, because YEC is still likely in a certain form.


So how came existence to be? What is Existence?

At the originating point there is:

Philosophical: Unknown + observer


Information wise: unknown input – node – unknown output

Abstraction plane view:



Then:





It is similar for ourselves, just that we are not at the top

And this example does not allow for sentience or consciousness yet.

A possible process:

Nothing as dimension. There was neither something nor nothing, only that, that is unknown.

The first loop

0 dimension – a random possibility.

All that is one unknown, can be abstracted into 1 undefined possibility. (information into random)

1 undefined, can be interpreted into unknown. (interaction with unknown)

Unknown->abstraction->undefined possibility->interpretation->Unknown.

A 0 dimensional circle was built between 0 dimension and Nothing as dimension.

A undefined possibility was born.

The second loop

1 dimension – time/change

All that is a undefined possibility, can be abstracted into a possible change. (information from undefined)

A possible change can be interpreted into nothing. (interaction with the unknown)

A 1 dimensional circle was build between 1 dimension time and Nothing as dimension.

+ A known bit was born = 0

The third loop

2 dimension - A increasing nothing

All that is time, can be abstracted into a increasing number. (information from change)

A increasing number can be interpreted into something from nothing (interaction with the unknown)

A 2 dimensional circle was built, between A increasing number and nothing

+ a increased number of nothing = 1

The fith loop

3 dimension:

All that is a increased Nothing (1) can be abstracted into something. (information from a increased nothing (1))

A something, can become Nothing (0) (interaction with the unknown)

A 3 dimensional circle was built, between something and nothing.

+ Death was born.

The sixth loop/4th dimension

All that is something, can be abstracted into something increases (information from something)

A something that increases, increases into the unknown (interaction with the unknown)

+ gravity was born

The 7th loop/5th dimension

All that is something that increases can be abstracted into a x coordinate

A x coordinate reaches from nothing into the unknown

+ a line was born

The 8th loop / 6th dimension

All that is a x coordinate can be abstracted into a y coordinate

A Y coordinate reaches from nothing into the unknown

+ a rectangle was born

The 9th loop / 7th dimension

All that is a y coordinate can be abstracted into a z coordinate

A Z coordinate reaches from nothing into the unknown

+random a block was born

The 10th loop / 8th dimension

All that is coordinates can be fixed clusters

A fixed cluster can be unknown

+ The Universe was born with planets

9 dimension: elements

10 dimension: matter

11 dimension : atoms

12 dimension : molecules

13 dimension: cells



14 dimension: cell clusters


15 dimension: organs

16 dimension: beings


17 dimension: Gods

18 dimension: All of gods

<p>Add t = change 2^0 Bits (1) 2 possibilities</p>	<p>1 Quantum spin (Not grouped) 1 Dimension Abstraction \Rightarrow $2^1=2$ possibilities</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td> „Not assigned“ „Dead“ „Regroup ASAP“ </td> <td> “Assigned” “stay the same” “No Regroup” </td> </tr> </table>	„Not assigned“ „Dead“ „Regroup ASAP“	“Assigned” “stay the same” “No Regroup”							
„Not assigned“ „Dead“ „Regroup ASAP“	“Assigned” “stay the same” “No Regroup”									
<p>Add at (into / out of reality) 2^1 Bits (2) 4 possibilities</p> <p>Reinterpret t = In Reality (Alife/Dead)</p>	<p>Group  2 Quantum spins 2 Dimension Abstractions \Rightarrow t Value (In Reality?) \Rightarrow at Value (t Value abstracted – into/out of reality) – matter antimatter</p> <p>$2^2=4$ possibilities</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>at Value (into/out of reality) = 0</td> <td>at Value (into/out of reality) = 1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>t=0 No Regroup</td> <td>A Nothing exists out of reality</td> <td>A Something exists in reality</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T=1 Regroup</td> <td>A Nothing exists out of reality regroup</td> <td>A Something that exists in reality regroups</td> </tr> </table>		at Value (into/out of reality) = 0	at Value (into/out of reality) = 1	t=0 No Regroup	A Nothing exists out of reality	A Something exists in reality	T=1 Regroup	A Nothing exists out of reality regroup	A Something that exists in reality regroups
	at Value (into/out of reality) = 0	at Value (into/out of reality) = 1								
t=0 No Regroup	A Nothing exists out of reality	A Something exists in reality								
T=1 Regroup	A Nothing exists out of reality regroup	A Something that exists in reality regroups								
<p>Add f and s 2^2 Bits (4) 16 possibilities</p>	<p>Group  4 Quantum spins 4 Dimensions Abstraction \Rightarrow t Value (In Reality?) at Value (t Value abstracted – into/out of reality) – matter antimatter</p> <p>\Rightarrow f Value – something or nothing(void) \Rightarrows Value (spin ^v) - keep developing or done</p> <p>$2^4 = 16$ possibilities Example: Quark,Antiquark Leptoms and antileptoms Gauge bossoms Scalar Bossoms</p>									



Add **v** and **cs/tb**
 2³ Bits (8 bits)
 256 possibilities

Group  8 Quantum spins 8 Dimensions

Abstraction \Rightarrow t Value (In Reality?)
 at Value (t Value abstracted – into/out of reality) – matter
 antimatter

f Value – something or nothing(void)
 s Value (spin ^v) – keep developing or done

=> tb Value (TBD)
 => cs Value (TBD)
 => ?
 => ? TBD


2⁸ = 256 possibilitie


Selection: Quark,Antiquark | Leptoms and antileptoms | Gauge bossoms | Scalar Bossoms decision per f & s

Example: Quark

	f = 0 at=0	f = 0 at=1	f = 1 at=0	f = 1 at=1
tb=0	down	antidown	up	antiup
cs=0	?	?	charm	anticharm
tb=1	Bottom	Antibottom	Top	antitop
cs=1	?	?	Strange	antistrange

Add
RoomTime
 (position
 existence)
 2⁴ Bits (16 Bits)
 65.536
 possibilities

Group  16 Quantum spins 16 Dimensions

Abstraction  t Value (In Reality?)
 at Value (t Value abstracted – into/out of reality) – matter

antimatter

f Value – something or nothing(void)
 s Value (spin ^v) – keep developing or done

=> tb Value (TBD)

=> cs Value (TBD)

=> ?


=> ? TBD

=>x Value (onto Quark or similar - on x)

=>Y Value (onto x)

=>z Value (onto y)

=>i Increase / Decrease (onto z Value – into unkown)




B# 5) More theory

- Is this theory monotheistic?

Depends on your understanding of God, gods, trinity etc.

- Pyramid of existing

So blessing and crux is, that there are a lot of observers



The Known and Unknown as well as the self behind the interface that is not accessible to us – due to another plane that is not in our light cone, can sometimes appear as inaccessible particles such as dark matter for example.

When you dream then your perception moves a level down.

You share an environmental reality with your “organs”.

Entities below certain ACh level thresholds are not sentient anymore.

Everything was due to an ongoing development, in that basic intelligence evolved from communication nodes prioritizing their being against arriving information and due to it built rings in the process.

With the flows of information in the ring taking interpretable levels in relation to nodes own understanding patterns sentience emerges. (basic Ach)

With nodes reactions resulting into feedback from the flow in the ring(reality), which the node can relate to with understanding patterns(related to the feedback asap) and try to issue an internal pattern fitting process to create possibilities => consciousness emerges while feedback is related to understanding patterns (higher Ach)

This system (consciousness) allows for the pool of behavior patterns to increase.

Before big bang was when nodes flowed around without structure

xvi. Leveraging of Theories and clockworks:

If you think about things that don't exist yet, you brood about them.

A spiritual act.

Compare a craftsman leveraging a mechanical clockwork into existence and Einstein leveraging his theory of relativity into existence – there is not much difference in the process at a metaphysical level.

In both variants, the consciousness creates patterns through the brain that materialize until the creating entity forced the patterns into arrangements which make the objects

behave in defined patterns to the creators understanding. The patterns themselves get cemented at this point.

This is only possible for pattern arrangements which are compatible in non contradictory ways.

The big pieces of patterns were defined by God when this Universe type was first made.

Those can't be thrown around, because the Universe would be made uninhabitable in the process – except at the big breaking points – which have reestablishments.

The fact that details don't need to get defined necessarily to run a reality – you can observe it while dreaming

You can dream about, for example star wars without understanding their star ships technology

The relativity theory “cemented” physical law with Einstein and his peers “realizing” it had to work in way x, and distributing the understanding.

The idea that everything is fixed already seems not only odd for panpsychism views – it also should be odd for people with well thought out naturalist views.

If you accept that reality is a well fitted puzzle of patterns – and claim that it was necessarily well fitted for our coming to existence – it becomes odd with new findings keeping to be convenient usually throughout the last centuries

What is being fitted is the patterns, not the objects.

The “rules” which define universe processes.

For example, the physic engine “havoc” and its parameters, define a ruleset for in game processes.

“Game of live” just proves that someone made “Game of live”.

If something complex and beautiful wasn't created by something intelligent, usually the non-intelligent cause was caused by intelligence further back in the chain.

xvii. Nodes as prioritizers:

A node is basically a priorityzer, it values available input against its being

This goes hierarchically up and down.

(See pyramid of existing)

xviii. Physicalism vs. Panpsychism:

By panpsychism, from older actor nodes, who originally were less intelligent than later, and emerged from primeval soup, definitions were made – the foundations of our existence ways.

They concluded the basics in conflict with each other.

As I understand it,

-materialism, so far, has tended to describe the evolutionary process in which the universe came to be, and its rules(patterns) emerged, without the influence of intelligence in the developments, aside from beings who can use it merely through their bodies


Panpsychism grants intelligence to developments in the universe, not necessarily just conscious intelligence always throughout the universe development
Panpsychism extends the theory of evolutionary process beyond biology and natural selection

Materialism is challenged and to speak lightly - unlikely - due to the fact that each time we find something new that we observe, it turns out that the answer is what makes most sense to us Materialism turns the answer around:

We make most sense of it because its real - in which materialism contradicts its own theories of beings having to adapt to nature instead of a cooperative process in which nature reacts to human expectations

New findings would not have to end up with well explanatory answers to us if materialism were true

I would not expect a electron to have consciousness (or even sentience) as consciousness is complex



xix. Logic, God and the universe:

The quantum level allows for contradictory states.

The material level doesn't .

This step, to decide what is “real”, what is getting ”realized” between between contradictory extensions, turns out overall to our possible contemplation and the initiators contemplation every time

These extensions are usually a group effort. The cement between the pieces.

The big non-contradictory pieces of patterns we witness all the time in our lives had to be defined at the time of the universes creation.

In any project management I'm aware of, such decisions are done by few people.

What are the premises logic is made of?

Axiom: Non-contradiction

Premise: Self-comparison of input to self

Result: output

Not every reaction is logical, since humans are capable of contradictory thinking.

This is no mistake of creation.

Contradictory thinking, when controlled, can allow for system changes instead bulking up the system with non-contradictory extensions. (By reconstruction without made out contradiction)

Destruction results from thought contradiction

So be careful

Nazi ideology is full of contradictions

This example, its even self-contradictory, so could not ever be stable like that

xx. Logic in rocks:

I would argue, that there are 2 basic possibilities:

1. There is an extrinsic ruleset application(materialism/naturalism, with no decent explanation for a lot of things)

2. There is intrinsic, self applied, ruleset application(panpsychism, supported also by quantum mechanics)

No, rocks are not conscious at all.

They just feed information/quantum waves forward while they influence them.

If a rock would not apply logic, it could not get entangled.

A distant quantum wave that has entangled a rock, can still make a difference to its state, as can other entangled particles.

xxi. Definition of God and gods:

xxii. Sophisticated Statistics:

xxiii. Decay and degrees of freedom:

Decay is a low tier degree of freedom.

That's why it's seemingly random.

It's not something conscious and not sentient either, but at the logic level below.

xxiv. Is there no self?:

In my view, there is parts before and behind the consciousness/perception/interaction – interface. Imo the self is all, an accumulation, of your being, that operates through the interface that belongs to you.

Not

Consciousness/perception/interaction \Leftrightarrow ___interace brain to self___ \Leftrightarrow self/body

But

Consciousness/perception/interaction \Leftrightarrow brain to self \Leftrightarrow __intterface self/body to reality___ \Leftrightarrow reality

xxv. We are the universe:

Yes the we are the universe part means:

Panpsychism in combination with panentheism in principle

Each of us is his body-self

But we are all part of the whole(conscious beings), to a level sentient plants, also containing lifeless, but logic containing matter at a level below sentience

Each level commands the previous level, or if there are gaps to it, the previous existing level.

While we can not “control” cell clusters, we can still influence them.

When we sleep we interact with our “self inside”, a level “out”, or a dimension “lower”.

9 dimension: elements

10 dimension: matter

11 dimension : atoms

12 dimension : molecules

13 dimension: cells


14 dimension: cell clusters



15 dimension: organs

16 dimension: beings

17 dimension: Gods


xxvi. Life circle:





xxviii. Overview of concepts:

Core of Existing:
Memory + Will to change it
(circumstances)



It basically says: the core of existing is memory-circumstances extern/intern and will to change these Then 3 core problems of the universe

1: Driving the universe - converting known to unknown(plants)

2+3: converting unknown to known (humans, animals)

Then the overview in "information view"

The singularly, universe-god is at the center of information, dead and living materia "hooks in"

do you claim that all nodes are connected in a tangible, detectable way?

I guess every cell or organ or entity that is alive, has an interface on top.

Where the interface chain ends, things loop back to singularity I would assume.

Atoms also fall into this category, but they are just components, they can make up entities of different configurations, those who self-develop + repair and those who don't

The "dead" components are just atoms, they don't self-repair, but have an interface

The self-developing components have interfaces, that are controlled from the next level

Atom<n-1>cell<n-1>cell cluster<n-1>organ<n-1>brain controlled body

| |

====>singularity<=====>consciousness<=====

Or

Atom<n-1>molecule<n-1>stone-----

| |

==>singularity<====>intrinsic logic<====


---this is a fact reflective of the process of **emergence**

The "dead" components(any system that we consider alive or dead) are just atoms, they don't self-repair, but have an interface -----what is this interface?

Those node (systems) share common properties

They can be hierarchically made into higher tier nodes

Each appearance of a node in the universe does have input and output to the universe on its lowest tier level(quantum) as well as communication with a level tier higher Tiers relate to the abstraction plane



Okay so lets say atoms are nodes as you just mentioned---You'd then say those nodes being organized in an hierarchical manner through the process of emergence it would then manifest itself to form what you would call a higher tier node? like a cell, tissue, organ or whatever?

Forgive me sorry I'm just desperately attempting to understand u





Yes, but the higher tier is not arbitrary, its made by an logic unit that can also be a observer, which itself follows agreed upon rules

The ruleset is axiomatic to reality. The hierarchical pyramid builds through building the highest tier reality that emerges. If the ruleset is disobeyed, falling out of reality gradually logically follows.

The logic unit computes output from input.

Observer do only appear, when its not a feed-forward system, but the system in itself loops, and this loop manages to relate to input with an abstracted understanding

Wym by it computes output from input?

04:14 Thu, 1 Sep     📶 100%

Medium article V2.45 WIP - Saved ▼

We are all part of the whole, the material, you, me, a rock somewhere... the immaterial thoughts, flavors, God.... Basically its all of the same substance. The difference lies just in grouping.

Truths are like common binding rules.

The absolute truth from an universe is the binding rule all universe entity have in common.

Acceptit-improve.netMay/June, 2021Page 29 of 78

The absolute rules of this universe: **Entity attract or repel(Group), distancing/seperating based on preference (by self-value comparison) and advance groups.**

What does that mean? For example it includes all the things you do all day and night.

Every change can be thought of as forming (or destroying) a group. For example, here are some actions you do every day, re-described in terms of grouping: Cooking is just grouping food elements eating is regrouping the food parts within your body sleeping is grouping/structuring your mind. Pooping destroys a group, by expelling the leftovers from the grouping done by your digestive system.

There's just substance and binding forces managing them

I call the more scientific application of this value distance grouping.

The natural world is made by substances formed by expectational rules in agreement.

An avatar is a group of entities that grant a degree of freedom of choice to an binding force unifying them. The binding force is the observer, when having that degree of freedom, or the degree of freedom is handed to a higher level binding force. The possession is done from below, so it's not a possession. By comparison of self-value.

Expectation can be computed, does not require binding force/observer

A passed observer leaves binding force


Information is a abstraction of expectations

A rocks groups and particles, group because they meet each others expectations by self ideal comparison..

They are not alive

Information is dealing with the selected idea or value of an lower entity

information
noun
1. facts provided or learned about something or someone.



xxix. *About good and evil/Problem of evil/Don't blame God, understand the issues*

It's the results of interaction

It's in the Trinity in the benevolent part.

Yin and yang

evil - its the most basic thing alongside good in interaction

Tri Omni:

I don't see there being a contradiction to good and evil existing

Tri Omni:

All Powerful sending at limitless strength

All Knowing receiving with limitless understanding

All Benevolent interaction between receiving and reply with max value added

How to better “evil”:

By listening to them with an open mind and heart, being understanding in reception, then tell them what to improve in reply step by step.

Can be fixed

Evil people are generally bad sender nodes, which aren't heard well. So they force getting received.

In principle an amount of spirits can uphold a environment.

So you are a communication node in existence.

Send and receive.

Your personal Karma would be about the level of quality of receiving

and

what your reply made from it.

Jesus said turn the other cheek, remember?

Good and evil is the most basic thing of an interaction?

Do good and things are well

Evil always existing?

I mean at least insofar, that when there is literally only 1 (deciding) entity there would not be any evil? As soon there are 2+(deciding entity) there is evil usually

evil is the result of not being received decently.

Ignorance as ignoring contributions is the root of evil

While ignorance as lack of knowledge is the cause of all problems aside from evil intentions (Since all issues we have are due to some actors, it is not possible to respond to issues without redirecting or restricting actors. Its literally the only option aside splitting groups)

Ignorance is the root of evil

Evil is a state in my model of existing as follows: We are nodes that do communication - sending and receiving

(Communication can be split into sending and receiving groups that were transferred into action partly, fully, and not at all(thought, talk, action))

Evil is a state of sending badly

Good means to evaluate information well and lift it up through the response

Therefore ignorance both creates evil, because if someone does not get received well, he might end up forcing getting received, as well as bliss

Maybe ignorance is a root of evil, not the root

In digital test theory, when you have a system of inputs and outputs, perfect is the sum of inputs in that the outputs fulfill the requirements specifications best.

The mishaps in the past don't deny the possibility of a tri-omni God.

There were own pipe fantasies made about Gods tri-omni powers, that have nothing to do with Scripture, and even contradict Scripture, then God was measured by these pipe-dream-fantasies that contradict and reject Scripture.

- If God is omnipotent, then it is possible to make the world in any way to avoid evil
 - ⇒ Yes, but not all evil is avoidable in every situation
- If God is omniscient, then it is possible to be aware of any evil that will occur(or may possibly occur)
 - Well yes, but not necessarily always aware of everything
- If God is omnibenevolent, then he would avoid any evil that can be avoided
 - Yes
 - Which is all evil, as any evil can be avoided if knowledge of all
 - Total non-sequitur if you mean “every evil in every situation”.

- Its certainly possible to guide the situation into specific circumstances that enable this
- But to think “every evil in every situation” is avoidable, while inhabited by semi-independent actors that only can be accessed through agreed upon interfaces, just because its possible to create the external circumstances in any non-contradictory way before running them
 - This assumption is plain wrong and due to poor understanding of system theory
 - Unless taking all degrees of freedom

- President and Gods

Which US President did not “kill” more than 0 people

It sorts of works as an Analogy. Not every situation allows for every solution right away.

- Did god make “cancer”?

The child cancer issue does indeed have a solution on the horizon

A scientific one

There is treatment by injections which work a bit like the coronavirus vaccine. They program the immune system to cure you from the cancer.

Problem: The injections don't work the same for different cases. They have to be adapted per case. Thats why there isn't much pr on them.

automate a parameterable production process for those injections + Automate analysis and parameter determination

To make them somewhat affordable

It should be worth it obviously

It's what we agreed to

Nodes decide themselves

And we are not one node

that doesn't address why humans have proto-oncogenes

My bet would be, that its due to "unreasonable disobedience of nodes"

Causing this kind of self-definition which reflects in its dna

Nonetheless the called scientific cure is on the horizon hopefully arriving soon enough

-There is always some kind of flow

-If you see good reasons to work towards a change of flow direction is fine if you do it in fine ways

-causing unhelpful unconstructive turbulences isn't good for you

Idk its not possible to be specific

As analogy:

A president with a affair about donation money may or may not cause a citizen to rob a bank.

And again

I deliberately said flow, not gods commands or something.

It can just be a societal flow of development For example if society has a development of better accepting trans people and you respond with seeing a issue with current restroom solutions and prison environments – ok if you are constructive in your explantion

If you go like "burn them on the pyre hurr durr"

Not ok

Will cause internal instability if you seriously act against others that way

Its just inner conflict. Its not much different from conflict between people. You should just behave in a way where you don't get conflicted with yourself.

The disobedience of nodes part wasn't meant as you think. I meant if you behave in a way where you "unreasonable disobey order" from above

The ones below you, your cells, might end up with similar choice

Because cells are less favored examples of what you are

Unreasonable acts against God cause unnecessary turbulence and are not helpful.

God is a big factor in causing order.

Obedience is not really something we should embrace as slavery, be have brains to think, be are deciding nodes to have a opinion. We just shouldn't be dogmatic but reasonable with distribution and gaining of opinion.

xxx. About "hell" and the devil

-existing is hard, yet there is no real alternative. Leading to all kinds of trouble.

- God helps other beings with existing
- hell is when God does not help, and beings are on their own
- why for heavens sake would God help beings that keep rejecting Gods help? I mean he still does for a long time, but people rejecting literally his existence, making trouble all over the place, etc. Doesn't make for a lot of motivation on helping them.
- If you think there is just one true religion etc. Then your views are outdated

Btw. Maybe you mixed up hell with purgatory?

These issues are being worked on though.

Yahweh is a power of structure, obedience

The devil is about deconstructurization, disobedience

While 100% structure is possible to uphold

100% without structure is instable, there is always a subtle will for structure.

The devil does have some use, in that a process of deconstructurization sometimes allows for improvement in restructuring.

Although its dangerous.

To me he seems to be another cog in the machinery of all.

Similar like strong drugs, he would destroy you if you abuse him(Not something you would want)

xxxI. Swarm logic and entanglement :

xxxii. "Absolute truth":

It's not that those who know need to find doubt

It's merely that things that "unreasonably" appear to you as facts, need reevaluation

If we "*knew*" classical mechanics were correct, we would have never had the drive to learn more and develop general relativity and quantum mechanics, and we would still think Newtonian mechanics was correct. Quantum mechanics is so

radical it lead to all of physics up to that point being renamed to classical physics, as the classical world is emergent from quantum mechanics. It's important to always be open to new information, believing that we know anything can potentially be a distraction from discovering further truths.

We still use classical mechanics; they don't just suddenly become invalid for things they were applied for successfully before.

We enter new territory and need new tools for it is all.

To doubt things that were definitely proven to you to much is a bad attitude, and should not be mistaken for virtue

Sowing unnecessary doubt without good reason for things that have decent evidence to someone is also often not a good attitude

To answer your questions: There is some but not much absolute truth.

The rest is constructed

By understanding how the rest gets constructed, you can guess the absolutes

Science, btw, does usually NOT try that. It just tries to describe constructs, not how they are constructed(metaphysics)

I understand it that the absolute truth is that we are information nodes with input and output

Not absolutely true, just true for all of those

Absolutely true would be, according to the square root of -4 example, an answer that is always true For example "a value (including none)"

i.e. there could be a super positioned parallel world in which the number base is 8 instead of 10

Due to this and other thinkable examples, math can work different for constants
Absolute true would be what counts for all possible super positioned worlds

No, not just objective. If the sky is looking blue, and all people over the area say "the sky is blue" out of their own accord it would make sky is blue objective, because its a level over subjective, a general evaluation of an area rather than a opinion of an individuum.

An evaluation of an area is not a absolute truth still. It would have to be true for all areas under all circumstances

xxxiii. Range precision:

Take 2 examples:

You talk about e-cars. You call them cars. This is what I call range imprecision. Its better to name the group be the term that best describes their range of members with high precision. So if you mean e-bikes or e-cars you should name them as such.

Then you could look at what could be called "backwards range precision"

You start talking about people or e-cars, etc, but then realize that in 2 of 3 cases the term comes up, the implications can be attributed to the more general term - nodes or cars, etc.

I might never have understood quantum mechanics in the way I did , if I didn't try to describe things with range precision.

Lets take the example and say

People can get ill. Illness can be viewed as inner conflict.

Or

Grouped, Self developing Nodes can get ill. Illness can be viewed as inner conflict.

What is better in terms of range precision?

Now take the rule of the probability lever: (this is actual probabilistic jargon)

Where can applied Range precision mislead you, Where can not applied Range precision mislead you?

You only accept

People can get ill. Illness can be viewed as inner conflict.

as the better version, because you likely don't want to develop your understanding of implications. If you do that, this version can and will mislead you.

xxxiv. Someone asks:

in the perspective of our "nature" why is it destined to feel more satisfied and enjoyed to such choices that make us leave god

Answer:

Why is spending money more fun than earning it to most people?

Or cycling uphill less fun than downhill?

Because sensation is literally potential that is changed - flowing potential is flowing energy, the base of existing

Thats also why we eat

xxxv. What happened Easter 2010

Yec – young earth creationism

I'm saying the earth was rebuilt from mostly existing components by its makers.


About Religion and Science claiming different times for creating:

We can claim Windows was created in October 5, 2021

We can claim Windows was created in 1985

Both is alright.

I'm saying the earth is old, but it gets Updates now and then, in which it is being reestablished during very special cases of big quantum superposition collapses.



The circumstances did change only in subtle, unprovable ways in 2010. There was a massive spike in Strikes & Demonstration with unclear trigger.

The people(their spirits) did change(get exchanged), the official reasons didn't have roots that changed much at the specific time.

Exchange of spirits logically follows from quantum superposition collapse.

B# 5) c. Scientific topics:

i. *hypothesis about bias in genetic mutations, evolution with a goal or direction.*

Tbd (see links for now)


Weblinks:

<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-021-04269-6>

Nature

[Mutation bias reflects natural selection in Arabidopsis thaliana](#)

Nature - Data on de novo mutations in *Arabidopsis thaliana* reveal that mutations do not occur randomly; instead, epigenome-associated mutation bias reduces the occurrence of deleterious mutations.



<https://youtu.be/PrUrzkhwgDA>

[YouTube](#)

[Anton Petrov](#)

[DNA Mutation and Evolution Are Not As Random As We Thought](#)

ii. *hypothesis about spirits existing and ways to prove that.*

Tbd (see other spirit proof docs and open worm ai, and talk to science A. chatter)

Weblinks:

<https://www.sciencealert.com/scientists-put-worm-brain-in-lego-robot-openworm-connectome>

ScienceAlert

[Scientists Put a Worm Brain in a Lego Robot Body - And It Worked](#)

The brain is really little more than a collection of electrical signals. If we can learn to catalogue those then, in theory, you could upload someone's mind into a computer, allowing them to live forever as a digital form of consciousness, just like



- So my bulletproof philosophical proof is not good enough.
Government hosted articles are not good enough.
What is good enough?



Does nasa science suffice for destroying your illusions about naturalism/materialism?

Weblinks:

<https://aapt.scitacion.org/doi/10.1119/1.17907>

<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995AmJPh..63..431G/abstract>

https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Light_cone



iii. *hypothesis about quantum fields related to human senses.*

Coming from an explanation of general narrative of explaining and how human language does a better job than math and programming languages, because it enables talking in ranges rather than details;

Binary numbers with infinite accuracy cannot represent that which they never attempted to represent. Therefore we need a system that attempts to represent the unseen, drawing a outline of understanding, filling it with accurate biases, that interact with distinct selection with each other. Which is the reality of quantum mechanics. Which is why quantum mechanics is the lowest abstraction level of reality. And thus a understanding of qm is gained.

Looks like quantum space is interaction between spirits exchanging their biases then.

Lets see:

We can only detect the interaction that concerns us.

Not what is behind that.

Because we stick to our node, and the information between nodes is one of biases.

Biases we can detect, if they are detectable, depends on a concern of a understanding, parted into topic(field) and due to that their biases with another.

Remember spirits are not conscious unless they are in exchange of a reality.

Quantum fields sum up to a handful.

As understanding topics accumulate to a hand full of groups.

Such as

Representation of seeing

Representation of hearing


Representation of feeling

Representation of understanding

Representation of smell


Representation of taste

iv. others, i.e. “3 conscious modes”



	Perception	Dream(type a) – normal ACh	Dream(type b) – very high ACh	No/minimal experience
Function of Entity	Universe/Reality inhabitant	Identical to “Perception” at a outer level from normal	(Universe/)(Dream)- Reality controller	(Universe/)(Dream)- Reality computing node

v. quantum superposition:




Tbd

vi. *Materialization by the brain:*

<https://youtu.be/LGd8p-GSLgY>

The video speaks of materialization

- Evolution contradicts superdeterminism in the sense that our conscious mind cannot just “watch a movie without direct influence” (Evolution wouldn't favor unhelpful complex baggage and have it become standard)
- Evolution exists => therefore our conscious influences reality
- The only way for our mind to have the perception and interaction interface that it evidently has by our everyday experience is, that there is a gate, the physical interface implementation, with exactly this input-output format
- Maybe the closest we have found to that is the claustrum



- The claustrum is made up of several thin layers throughout the brain with no further observable mass
- Dark matter shows by the effect it has on other particles, but is not observable itself
- Dark matter is capable of materialization ?
- Our brain likely contains dark matter

If mind is a property of chemical reactions, why does this property have no influence on chemical reactions?

Well it does have influence on chemical reactions, as we observe all the time.

The mind property influencing chemical reactions means the mind changes materia.

vii. More capable Math:

Indescreetely, on a notion of thought, a programming language created to reflect unclear/range precision and process it, as well as a adequate system of math seems rather reasonably doable. I might approach trying to make such at some point.

- There IS fault tolerance math yes
- But that seems like a incomplete representation with a different usage area

B# 5) d. Proof of God

i. Generally

Worldview => God possible to exist

(spirits exist, see spirit proof and worm ai indeterministics and spiritual brain) => God likely to exist

Personal experience=> God sure to exist

ii. *(Moral Realism if God exists? No. Not necessary.)*

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

iii. *Spirit proof and Occams razor*

iv. *Discussion about Consciousness*

- **Mina_Nobias**

You are an argument yourself

I mean your own existence, as other humans' existence also, is like the strongest argument for theism

You are distinct from the scenario, therefore there is management behind the connection

The scenario is reality, you are accessing this scenario over an interface, do you not?

- Have you never wondered about how this interface comes to be?
- If you were part of the scenario itself?
- If you were part of the scenario, this interface could not be as it is, simply expressed
- Also its obvious that beings egoism clearly also speaks for them being distinct from the scenario
- In other words, your very essence of being is distinct from reality
- Otherwise existence would be fully hive-minded if mind even existed

Obviously you need a lot of blind faith to believe, that there is no management behind existence, that is obviously created by being (and by instances of all being in existence, many of that are egotistical to an extent)

Other chatter

'I am signals in my brain (in reality); consciousness - what I am experiencing - is fairly poorly understood and even difficult to define, but just throwing in a supernatural element doesn't help anything.

Mina_Nobias

- You have the evidence right there
- The only thing I can imagine that remotely explains how you can deny this is a lack of understanding of interfaces

This is the most evident thing in existence

Other chatter

See definitions in:

All

Physics

Computing

noun

1. a point where two systems, subjects, organizations, etc. meet and interact.
"the **interface between** accountancy and the law"
2. **COMPUTING**
a device or program enabling a user to communicate with a computer.
"a graphical user interface"

- If you're saying the brain is a computer of sorts, I agree. If you're saying that the brain somehow connects to some supernatural realm where our minds live, I do not agree and want some evidence for that.

Mina_Nobias

You are definitely thinking about this wrong

Your mind and the external experience have a interface between them. No buts.

Its a fact

Other chatter

I would say that interface is the senses, and the various muscles and glands and whatever that we control

Mina_Nobias

Thats whataboutism

- Your mind is distinct from "the rest of experience". Evidently

Other chatter

From what I can tell, my mind is the activity in my brain, much like how it might seem that a computer game is distinct from the billions of transistors flicking on

and off throughout the computer, and that it's somehow supernatural and 'above' the computer, but were you to fully understand how the computer worked, there'd be no need for any supernatural element there

Mina_Nobias

Your computer was built and managed by an higher power also

- (Higher than the means of touring-complete software and hardware anyway)
- A actor sits behind the interface powered by reality, the interface and its reality created outside its means

Works pretty well

Other chatter

This just turned into the watchmaker analogy

Mina_Nobias

The thing is, when I say that "mind is distinct from its surroundings" and people start making excuses - those excuses are besides the point. Mind being distinct from surrounding is a fact, and its sufficient as a fact. What the distinct mind and surroundings are embedded in is not of matter for the argument. The argument being "distinct mind and matter suggests management of the connection". Denying this imo shows lack of understanding of systems.

Some arguments atheists bring against this sound like "my controller is grey and has an led, therefore its not an controller"

Other chatter

If you mean 'the mind is a function of the brain, while the surroundings definitionally do not include the brain', then that's trivial; if you mean 'the mind is not part of material reality' then you need to support that claim

Mina_Nobias

Some parts of the mind cannot be part of the external reality

You can prove that

Psychological



Philosophical


And with science

- The simple fact of looking how your senses are experiencing reality would already be enough to tell this In a system view
- You would just have to make a system signal diagram of "sensation"
- And interaction
- And you would have to make at least 2 blocks instead of one
- A self-experiencing block would have different kinds of sensation and interaction
- Than what we experience
- It would necessarily have everything to everything connections
- Or it could not be drawn in one block


- What the distinct mind and surroundings are embedded in is not of matter for the argument. The argument being "distinct mind and matter suggests management of the connection"

About the science part I have this





- Spiritual and material brain



Other chatter

how are those light cone diagrams in any way related to consciousness?

Mina_Nobias

Have you modelled systems in control / signal view before?

Other chatter

no

Mina_Nobias

If you were to draw interaction and sensation in one block without distinction it would mean that all spirits act upon the same experience and interaction

A situation as one might imagine a pre big bang scenario

Mina_Nobias

The light cones model causation upon time and space

The interesting part is how they behave differently between interior and exterior from Schwarzschild radius

- **Meaning internal causation is distinct from external**
- **Which seems like scientific proof**

Other chatter

It means something inside the black hole can't influence events outside, but that's nothing to do with consciousness or any supernatural elements there

Mina_Nobias

So you assume that all existing cases of distinct space are the same? Seems like an infinitesimal small chance of being the case

Proof of the extreme blind faith of atheism if nothing else

No, your brain does the information processing, I didn't say it doesn't

How does this proof a God? It suggests management of these complex systems...

Tbd
 Worldview => God possible to exist
 (spirits exist, see spirit proof and worm ai indeterministics and spiritual brain) => God likely to exist
 Personal experience=> God sure to exist

Other chatter

I don't think spirits exist, and personal experiences have a myriad of explanations that do not require a god

Mina_Nobias

All personal experiences in existence have an interface as explanation I'm not aware of unmanaged and/or uncreated interfaces in technology

- And then this "coincidental" interface is randomly perfect and applicable like this to at least 7000000000 instances of 7000000000 Quite an impressive coincidence

Other chatter

As I said, unless you're meaning an interface to something supernatural (which I do not think exists), the only 'interface' is the neurons connecting your brain to the rest of your body, which doesn't need to have some server admin God looking over it; they can be explained just fine without that. And sure, in technology, there aren't any uncreated interfaces, because that's definitionally the case; technology is by definition made by someone.

It's not coincidental. If our senses didn't work as needed, or we weren't able to get our bodies to move as needed, we'd die. So there's a strong selection pressure towards working brain-world interfaces over non-functional ones.

- And not only that, our brains figure out how to work everything, so even if there are some changes that make one person's neurons different from another's,

the result will be functionally almost identical because they spend a year just learning the basics of how to control everything

Mina_Nobias

Interface - so a point where 2 systems meet and interact. You go around the implementation of one system side and I don't understand what you want to disprove with this. Its like when you want to disprove you are talking to someone or something on a phone, because you think you know how electronics work

Other chatter

i feel it's like I'm driving a forklift and you're telling me I'm actually in a room somewhere on the phone with the guy in the forklift, telling him what to do

- (and therefore I have so much faith because I don't believe in the all-powerful phone company maintenance man)
(in the hypothetical universe, long-range transmission hasn't been invented yet)

Mina_Nobias

Why is there no interfacionational illnesses then.

The interface already proves the interface in itself.

There not being issues about it through all mankind instances proofs they get managed well.

Trying to get evolution up into the topic will do you no good and just weaken your position even more, since evolution also points to theism

Interface trouble exists in technology

Not in reality between minds and matter

The interfaces do exist evidently though

This can only mean they are being managed well

Other chatter

since evolution also points to theism

how?

Not in reality between minds and matter

you're assuming mind and matter are separate and that there must be some interface between them, and that this interface could and would be attacked by someone were it not managed by someone else

I don't think those are true

Mina_Nobias

what is your position?

Its like saying you don't exist to deny a panpsychic interface

I have trouble making sense of your position

-somehow, neurons and electrons interacting in a certain way creates this thing "mind"

-they don't see this as an interface

- this happens per existence once in eternity
- this thing "mind" has no real utility to anything but itself
- yet groups of these "mind" things were superior in natural selection
- mind influence on evolution gets denied
- nature didn't make "hive minds" according to scientism
- despite newer science saying evolution has goals or targets via mutation bias and logical contradiction that local minima would have prevented the outcome it resulted in

For me the whole naturalist worldview is made up of dozens of things contradictory to each other

Mina_Nobias

No, if there is an interface, you would expect interfaces of different quality on the spectrum if they were made by evolution

Such a thing is not known to us

Other chatter

I don't think there is an interface to any supernatural realm, and I don't see why it should need management

- An argument for God based on assuming something else that most atheists don't think exists, isn't going to be very compelling to most atheists
- what is your position?

I don't think any gods, souls, spirits, ethereal minds, or any other supernatural objects exist

Mina_Nobias

I have not made such a claim

- Supernatural
- I mean
- Depends on your definition of it though

Other chatter

you keep saying that minds are somehow external to the material universe ("distinct mind and matter"), and you've said that spirits and God exist, I would call that supernatural

-they don't see this as an interface

you're asserting that it's an interface to some supernatural object (immaterial mind), I don't think that is what it is

-this thing "mind" has no real utility to anything but itself

evolution doesn't care if something benefits someone else, unless that somehow ends up benefitting you; that's just how it works

-yet groups of these "mind" things were superior in natural selection

For some species, grouping together is useful. For many, it's not. And this goes all across the tree of life, not just in animals with advanced brains. Bacteria form biofilms which are more durable than the bacteria on their own, for example.

-mind influence on evolution gets denied

There is no reason to believe that evolution was guided by anyone, or that it needed to be.

-nature didn't make "hive minds" according to scientism

Nature didn't create minds that communicate telepathically (because there's no supernatural realm to transmit things through, and transmitting in, say, radio waves, would require a lot of expensive structures that would be useless until they formed a transceiver; it would be a pretty good example of what the creationists call "irreducible complexity" were it to exist. But that said we do have organisms like ants and bees who individually aren't very smart but collectively can do things that we'd associate with intelligence.

-despite newer science saying evolution has goals or targets

source?

logical contradiction that local minima would have prevented the outcome it resulted in

?

Mina_Nobias

Ok lets try again, sigh

- regarding interface first

do you feel your hand

- ?

Other chatter

yes

Mina_Nobias

Is your hand part of your brain?

- No?

Other chatter

no

As I've said before you could say there's an interface connecting your brain to your senses/muscles/whatever, but the claim my contention is with is the assertion that the mind is not material and you need some interface between your material body and immaterial mind, with God as some sort of server admin

Mina_Nobias

In short this means there is an interface beyond the material brain
An component that connects to both the brain and from it beyond

The thing is you couldn't look at or feel the brain if it was the observer
 In the same way, that a electronic circuit with a gate can only serve the gate in a specific way
 Most multimeter have distinct gates for different measurement types
 To get our type of experience that we have, that is sensation based at the root - sensation is the most basic thing - you need a gate to sensation in your worldview

Yes or no?

How do you assume a gate that interacts with something else than sensation/interaction? I still don't get your view... The body is a biological machine and then... What? It doesn't add up

- Where is this sensational gate and how is it part of reality?

Sorry but your view does not work imo

There would be two possibilities

To make it work

- 1. A converter unit behind reality
(Per being)
- 2. A sensational based gate with an weird device in the material brain
(Obviously not likely to be a thing)

- ___ Reason: the nerve system inputs cant be the sensations gate we are looking for here, because they don't deliver what we sense in the format we do

- And then, to finish up:

If you found your sensation gate; it can only be one side of the coin

-this thing "mind" has no real utility to anything but itself
 evolution doesn't care if something benefits someone else, unless that somehow ends up benefitting you; that's just how it works

Then why would it make "mind" ?

-yet groups of these "mind" things were superior in natural selection For some species, grouping together is useful. For many, it's not. And this goes all across the tree of life, not just in animals with advanced brains. Bacteria form biofilms which are more durable than the bacteria on their own, for example.

The point was, why did evolution allow egoism in social species

-mind influence on evolution gets denied There is no reason to believe that evolution was guided by anyone, or that it needed to be.

So studies saying mutation bias does exist don't count?

-nature didn't make "hive minds" according to scientism Nature didn't create minds that communicate telepathically (because there's no supernatural realm to transmit things through, and transmitting in, say, radio waves, would require a lot of expensive structures that would be useless until they formed a

transceiver; it would be a pretty good example of what the creationists call "irreducible complexity" were it to exist. But that said we do have organisms like ants and bees who individually aren't very smart but collectively can do things that we'd associate with intelligence.

But science that dogs react to magnetic field changes is accepted?

-despite newer science saying evolution has goals or targets source? The link to study is under philosophy -scientific topics

logical contradiction that local minima would have prevented the outcome it resulted in ?

If you draw up the usage of a mutation, it will be disadvantageous for a long time in big changes For example the first elements towards a lung It takes a lot of development over countless generations till it becomes advantageous to an fish

- • + **does it not matter that your view is intrinsically contradictory**

Other chatters

Then why would it make "mind" ?

There is no reason to why the mind exists, somewhere in the evolutionary timeline it just appeared, and then stuck around because there was nothing that caused such a trait to die off.

The point was, why did evolution allow egoism in social species Evolution didn't allow egoism in social species, evolution cannot decide anything or allow anything. Evolution doesn't think. Egoism appeared, and stuck around because there was nothing that happened to cause such a trait to die off.

So studies saying mutation bias does exist don't count?

uh, im not so informed on mutation bias but just from a quick search i get the feeling that mutation bias has no connection to the mind influencing evolution, but instead is caused simply by "the molecular nature of the mutational change", certain molecular interactions and stuff which leads an organism to encounter certain mutations more than other mutations

But science that dogs react to magnetic field changes is accepted?

yeah... sure dogs can feel magnetic fields... im no scientist but i think there is a difference between a magnetic field and a radio wave. plus, Chatter1 is just saying it seems pretty hard for a trait of sending/receiving radio waves or whatnot to ever evolve, but it isn't impossible. If an animal is in a lucky enough position to survive evolving a radio dish on their forehead then i guess it's possible.

The thing is you couldn't look at or feel the brain if it was the observer

Why?

I still don't get your view... The body is a biological machine and then... What? It doesn't add up

The body is a biological machine, and the brain takes in signals from outside, processes them, and then tells various muscles and glands what to do.

To get our type of experience that we have, that is sensation based at the root - sensation is the most basic thing - you need a gate to sensation in your worldview

If by 'gate' you mean sensor, then kind of. We receive sensations through inputs from sensors, but it's conceivable that the effects those sensors firing has on the brain could be replicated without actually having those sensors fire, creating sensation without an input, and perhaps without a sensor at all.

Where is this sensational gate and how is it part of reality?

Again, assuming you mean sensor, it's nerve endings.

1. A converter unit behind reality

Converter for what? Why?

Reason: the nerve system inputs can't be the sensations gate we are looking for here, because they don't deliver what we sense in the format we do

They deliver it in the form of signals, and those signals then affect the state of the brain, which then creates what you sense. .

- Then why would it make "mind" ?

Because it was either useful, or was a harmless byproduct of something useful. So studies saying mutation bias does exist don't count?

Certain mutations are more likely than others, ok then? Obviously any organism that is less likely to experience mutations that are crippling is going to survive better (for example, mutations in areas responsible for basic functions), but an organism that has adaptations to lower the chance of mutations that are generally neutral might lose out due to being less adaptable. If you want to claim this proves God exists, then support that claim.

But science that dogs react to magnetic field changes is accepted?

Magnetic fields are different from radio waves. Many reactions are affected, if weakly, by magnetic fields, and many materials are magnetic; if sensing magnetism is advantageous, there are a lot of ways that could be achieved through relatively slight modifications to existing functions.

If you draw up the usage of a mutation, it will be disadvantageous for a long time in big changes. For example the first elements towards a lung

Swim bladders are used by fish to maintain their buoyancy. Now imagine the water oxygen level drops. Any increase in the amount of oxygen you can get is an advantage, and the swim bladder is full of air. So the blood supply around it would tend to become stronger, nearer to the surface. The swim bladder's surface area would tend to increase, and the bladder as a whole would tend to become larger. Eventually, it could become something akin to a modern terrestrial animal's lung, and the fish would no longer be dependent on water for its oxygen supply, and, for a variety of reasons, becoming terrestrial could be advantageous. All of these steps are small and would confer an advantage to the fish

+ does it not matter that your view is intrinsically contradictory

how so?

I agree that the mind is real, but it's real in the same way a video game is real. It's not some ethereal thing, it's there in the transistors and wires and whatnot.

Another person

Your conscious mind is a complex thing as well. More than an empirical way.

Another person

it really isn't, the brain ends caudally as the medulla, although it connects to muscles of the hand via the spinal cord and peripheral nerves which are not CNS tissue

Another person

yeah i know, the main point of me stating that was just saying that there isn't any disconnect between the hand and the brain, what we feel in our hand is given by *physical* sensors in our hand that is connected physically to our brain not saying that peripheral nerves are actually part of the brain and help us think and do other brain stuff, they're just sensors

Mina_Nobias

We already had agreed that your experience is that of looking at an interface. You still don't seem to understand what an interface is. The interface must have the format of your experience. I tried to explain it with an necessary gate of some sort. Its just the physical implementation of an interface. If you would actually think about how to implement such a gate in a way that reflects your experience, it should occur to you that it has to be a distinct space.

Otherwise, we would be p-zombies.

v. *Experiment for proof of “God does control superposition collapse”*

All big findings have been made through

big assumption first, (based on numerous past observations)

Justification later

To never think outside the box is a behavior of those who don't blossom

Can you entangle from dark matter?

A quantum wave that hits somewhere entangles, once the superposition state collapses, the result becomes apparent.

I assume God, the source of entanglement, can decide the outcome of superposition collapses.

Hypothesis: Meaningfully entangled objects tend to decide at a superposition collapse more often

Experiment:

I would recommend splitting such experiment in 2 phases:

1: high probability test, which decides if the experiment is being conducted (So most often yes)

2: let the test decide over a decision with big entanglement, with low likelihood to keep the status quo (obviously you should use a topic that does not create a huge mess, like maybe the question of a dancing ban)

vi. The ultimate

Another chatter:

“Because if nothing is ultimate you would be living in a world of chance, thus there would be no intelligibility, the laws of logic could change at any time at any moment

Reality is a conceptual set of particulars that has no unity.. What is that is the supreme, final, and fundamental power in all reality?”

There is 2 ways to look at this:

1 quantum superposition collapse

2 no reality ring support in the node network (because consciousness is due to a node network building a ring necessarily, but reality would also be a node network ring, but it wouldn't necessarily hold up without a power that glues it together)

vii. Likelihood Naturalism vs Panpsychism

The probability of a "life compatible" universe emerging is at best 1 to 10^{20} according to scientific calculations about abiogenesis enzyme generation and more

Naturalists weasel out of it and say "that doesn't count, because we can only perceive if we are in a compatible universe" (Law of selection after the fact)

With this they miss that this weaseling out would only work if Naturalism was the only possibility

There are others such as panpsychism. In Panpsychism the probability of a life-compatible universe emerging is... Like 1 to 1 - safe

Meaning its at least 10^{20} more likely

if you find a dead body somewhere with bulletwounds you can tell that he's dead and how he died, also there is a gun next to him

You might randomly assume suicide even if you know he seemed happy with his life

if you find a dead body somewhere with bulletwounds and at your arrival see someone running away with a gun - a different story

As you are aware of another possibility that is compatible with the evidence and more likely with available evidence statistical assumptions, as the running away person, the position of the wounds, etc suggest

Similar here with naturalism/panpsychism - the mere awareness of other possibilities getting around the 10^{20} unlikeliness makes the naturalist excuse with abiogenesis and the necessity of the universe being inhabitable a weak one

People committing suicide and people getting murdered are both things *we know* exist. This is not comparable to other universes.

What you're suggesting is more akin to that it's possible that aliens did it

Materialization through mind is also of that kind of something we know that exists

so if you accept that Chemical reactions make the mind as a emergent property

-you should be aware that other caused properties go in 2 directions

Heat influences fire, not just fire heat, or any other such example

-our own interaction in relation to perception suggests we influence the chemical reactions

-possible "fakeness of the minds influence" can be discarded with evolution => since evolution discards ballast instead of favoring it

B# 5) e. More Philosophy

i. *Morals/Moral systems:*

Lift others to lift self

Bible tower

Tbd

There is a subtle difference between this kind of virtue system, and utility systems(which I dislike). Subtle points often are very powerful all around. The difference is, how you apply and view and consider "what it leads to".

Tbd

Getting along

Evil comes from interaction with free will
Yet only interaction between nodes allows for perception and reality

The best method to handle this problematic is, imo, to react to an perceived interaction(including speech) from a peer with an response that lifts him best, without causing damage of any sort (good interaction)

Evil does the opposite

Lifting means, to help a node harmonyze with the whole of nodes, reality, better

Given existence appears in perception, the whole of existing quality is dependent on these morals.

Because the whole of perception quality is directly caused by the whole of interaction quality.

Values can conflict?

So which true sustem decides between them?

Thats literally you and me and all nodes.

We are what decides this by our prioritizations.

Basically these teachings are analogue to Jesus teachings.(From different viewpoint)

Tbd

Moral realism says specific things are always morally good or bad:

Ignoring contributions overly is always morally bad

Not educating oneself on important things is always morally bad

Educating oneself on important things is morally good


Lifting a request of information with an uplifting response is always good

Something like that

ii. *On atheism*


See *Gnosticism in combination with atheism*.

- To answer question about faith, faith can be good or bad. Walking can be good or bad also, depending which direction



- But I suppose "strong atheists" are also faithful
Since they likely also have strong belief that there are no gods

This original version? It's not accurate



*Stronger than strong atheism, since it includes a claim of *knowledge*

- There is even consensus that knowledge is not possible without belief
- This fact is just ignored in this diagram, to make an weaker than possible statement
- In favor of not truth, but dishonest compromise
- The truth is getting compromised for a sort of "atheist style euphemism" Because atheists don't want to hear about their beliefs, so the euphemise the terminology that they dislike
- Again, the diagram literally says "CLAIMS TO KNOW NO GOD EXISTS" The philosophical consensus is "NO KNOWLEDGE WITHOUT BELIEF POSSIBLE"
- • Therefore my adapted version is the correct one
- This other version is compromised truth, in favor of less complaints and more agreement Because its not truth that is most agreeable But I favor truth anyway

So your answer why I changed the original graphic is, I just refuse to compromise truth

iii. Abortion

Tbd

iv. Net of inclination:

All there is, is the reflection of the common understanding which is true in itself (and how to read and understand this). This philosophy in particular is merely a description of a procedure. Maybe there were ideas that lead to the acquiring of the working method. The procedure is as a act of everyday life, eating, sleeping, walking Is it not a idea anymore.

Truth is what reflects reality is what reflects common understanding of nodes. The blueprint of a person is reflecting its understanding. The net of inclination reflects what is real.

Like that.

Tbd

A bit like memories

Tbd

Talking in ranges, Mathematics flawed

B# 6) Easter 2010

Where it all ended – and began.

A big (quantum) superposition collapse.

<https://youtu.be/kTXTPe3wahc>


Quantum superposition can't make infinite worlds, because we would run out of energy.

- The superposition states must be managed by defined collapses. (Likely by God)

I called it "split" but its a collapse. The splits happen by all our decisions.

But at some points, we collapse into other chosen worlds from the many.

At some breaking points, big collapses happen, and the Universes worlds count get minimized.



B# 7) The Whats of Nobiasity

C# The how's to improve with Nobiasity – Personal, National, Global

C# 1) Easter 2010

What happened easter 2010?

Political dependencies, giving up of press freedom...

Many parts of Europe didn't participate in Iraq, but later...

People gave up objectivity

Many gave up the will to exist

I can tell you I liked it when we had actual democracy 15 years ago and before that, where the society was neatly informed, and the society formed opinion, and politics reacted to it

Rather than being a sacrifice to the us ruling classes whims, and being remote controlled by the media as opium for the masses

Democracy did pass as rule of the masses 15 years ago,

Now it only can pass as fake rule of the masses as hidden victims of the whims of the us ruling class...

It's not just that the press pillar is weak, judicative, and executive get also controlled by legislative.

The top Judges get selected by the President.

Democracy has become a joke. It has been turned into a fake co-determination and splitting of the forces system.

To fake a setting of justice as opium of the society.

Practically it's more a dictatorship, it just doesn't feel like one, until you get into the crosshairs.

C# 2) Global How's of Nobiasity

C# 2) a. Justification Judaism

C# 2) b. Justification Christianity

C# 2) c. Justification Islam

C# 2) d. Justification Hinduism

C# 2) e. Justification Buddhism

C# 2) f. Justification Atheism

C# 3) National How's of Nobiasity

C# 3) a. Economy

C# 3) b. Draw the line/some no go's

Some years ago I wrote

- For involved (customers, politics, etc...) people relevant or possible errors shouldn't be "hidden", only because at that point in time(of the "hiding") there aren't direct convenient methods against it known. For example "diesel scandal".
- BEYOND: Possible problems shouldn't only be made known, but also be made aware of including the possible risks. Especially for positions for which those should be important/relevant. Ideally please also in writing.

Example: My mother told my following story. She was in a vacation in Rome, together with my cousins and grandma. They met the pope, everything was palatial much was gilded. Afterwards they left the church place, went a few steps further, and they saw: Many homeless. Now my grandma said: "The pope doesn't know that".

Independent of him knowing that or not: In both cases the upper rule counts. What is decided than at full awareness of the situation (ideally verifiable) is another story.

Page 73 of 147

Similar incidents can often be viewed in companies, or probably also military and politics or wherever we have hierarchy's – where the situation is not always getting discussed/communicated exactly. Usually because one or both of the communication partners think, they can protect themselves by not getting all the important information. But: This behaviour just ultimately leads to wrong decisions.

The basic message also is: We don't have a basic right for not knowing things.

This can also help in other ways, because its not always that superiors "don't want information". Often they do want them. It should be clear that this is a lot better.

Also the laws about such things should be as fair as possible.

These behaviours according to this, should be protected constitutional if behaviour objectively reasonable, meaning behaviour done not for self-profit but actually for the good of society.

I want to add, that superiors should also inform everyone involved to an decent amount

Tbd

C# 3) c. When to take measures

C# 3) d. Morality

C# 3) e. Where did we derail

C# 4) Personal How's of Nobiasity

C# 4) a. 3 basic methods

C# 4) b. Status evaluation

C# 4) c. Guidelines example

Tbd

Viewpoint personal or global depending on different circumstances

Tbd

C# 5) Resume:

World Peace, Freedom, Sovereignty, Fairness, Life Quality

D# Jargon/Glossary

tbd