

Contents

Atheism & Skepticism.....	6
Atheism is self-refuting	6
Atheism discussion 1: Barking up condemned trees	6
Atheism a source of true belief?	10
Is Atheism a Hoax?	10
Errors in Scripture?.....	11
Who is greater, MLK or Johnny Depp?.....	11
Educating children.....	16
Good old days?	20
Babel and the meaning in nothing; nothing of meaning.....	24
Batshit crazy?	27
And yet the Atheists receive so much attention.....	28
Skepticism.....	29
Boo You.....	29
Invention of claims to bash them.....	31
Issues with Skepticism Part 1	31
Scalar Healing and different ways to look at Fraud	32
Scalar Healing and Skepticism and different ways to look at Fraud	32
Issues with Skepticism Part 2	36
Pity of scapegoating	41
Scapegoating 1	41
Scapegoating 2	41
Under Mars Rocks	42
Apatheism	49
Fascism	52
Theology.....	53
Definition and origin of religion	53
Definition of sin	54
Perpetuate legs instead trolley Mina_Nobias — 09/28/2022	58
The resurrection	58
Genesis	61
Bible topics and weird church narrative.....	69
+Genesis, creation,	69
nature/power/attributes of God,.....	69
Elohim, and Yahweh and the Father	70

Adam and Eve, original sin,	71
human sacrifice,	71
good and evil	71
+Noah and the flood.....	71
Heaven and hell?	71
+The tower Babel	72
+Trinity.....	72
+About Jesus death and the reasons.....	72
+And his sacrifice and its effect.....	73
+Even the salvation has weird church narrative	73
Earth Heaven Plan	73
Islam and Jesus.....	76
Quran Interpretation, my current favorite	89
The Opening	89
The cow	90
The cow?.....	92
Explanation.....	98
Heart over Brain	105
7 th Century opinions?	107
Argument for God	107
Apologist topics	107
Defense 1: Theism doesn't want us to Think:	107
Problem of evil	108
Noah's story.....	108
Fault of Religion?	108
F*** you Jesus?	109
Net positive, and bonding elements also, Intent in cells?	112
Arnold at the Airport	115
Genocide in the Bible	116
Threat of violence.....	119
Hermetic Principles	120
No-self?	122
Christianity	123
The quick and the dead	123
Yahweh's political influence.....	125
What is a Christian.....	125

Doomers Doomsday Disaster	126
Conditions for Heaven?	127
Sin	128
Monotheism vs. Polytheism	128
Dominance in Theism?	129
God and Lucifer	129
Possible explanations for Sacrifice	132
Religious extremism	133
True Belief is like a doctor title?	134
Islam and homophobia.....	136
So, who is scapegoating?.....	139
Contradiction Where?	141
The Holy Spirit; or what the cinnamon toast?	143
Blasphemy	148
Logic and Spirit	149
Adam and Eve.....	149
Managers successful?.....	150
KJV	151
Lying.....	151
Sex and commitment	151
Tower of Babel	152
Babel's fall	152
Tri-Omni-Limitations	152
The Injustice League.....	153
Science.....	154
Quantum	154
Introspection and Psychology	155
Logic.....	156
Magic	156
Recipes	156
Magic and science	156
Misinterpretation vs. Error in Scripture	158
Cosmology, Biology, and the existence of God	159
Jar Brains, aging reverse and weather control.....	164
Philosophy	164
Numbers	164

Truth	164
Objective Morals	165
Other Topics	165
Cancer.....	165
Truth	166
Impermanence	166
Hitler.....	166
Flat Earth, a belief of people, with to little fantasy.....	167
Is Fascism politically right or left?	168
Therapizing other opinions?.....	169
Slavery logical? Cancer logical?	169
Slavery	169
Cancer.....	171
Bad recipe?	174
Can we “not exist”?	175
How optimal are Metaphysics?.....	176
Issues with abuse of Organizations	177
Unspecific Precision and replicating ability.....	179
More unspecific clarity	181
Dominion of Logic.....	181
Logic causes cancer?	182
Level 400 Idiocracy	184
The extreme dots on the absolute spectrum of existence	184
Level 400 Idiocracy+	185
Definition of insanity	185
Enigmas	186
Politics	187
Important notice	187
Japan’s people usually don’t know about Hiroshima.....	187
Iran	188
Forward Movement and Skyward Sword.....	194
Abortion.....	206
Islam and the West.....	208
The Bible and Russia.....	210
The Compass	211
Political sanity?.....	211

Trump? A Messiah? No, the Simpsons didn't say so.....	211
Bible Edits	212
Russia.....	212
Lieth with man?.....	213
Let's be serious for a moment.....	218
More batshit crazy.....	219
Christianity or Gun porn, what's the difference?.....	219
Am I Burns now or something?	221
Nah Christianity is about being a scapegoat	221

Atheism & Skepticism

For it is written, I will destroy the wisdom of the wise, and will bring to nothing the understanding of the prudent.

Atheism is self-refuting

By science

1. Relevant observations: people who become open hearted, allowing emotion, embracing virtue, rather than utilitarian ideas, avert from atheism
2. Hypothesis: Atheism is self-refuting, because it condemns what it professes
3. Planning:

logical "anti" faith attitude requires the named additional attributes

logical intelligence rather than emotional, utilitarian rather than virtue.

Atheisms stability relies on the profession in the elements of virtue that incarnate in the issues they condemn

Atheism as in there are no gods requires an attitude of professing in little emotion, logical intelligence rather than emotional, utilitarian rather than virtue.

Averting from this path deems Atheism instable. They'll reach at theism.

little emotion, logical intelligence rather than emotional, utilitarian rather than virtue, necessarily produces the issues Atheism condemns attributing it to theism

4. Demonstration of the truth of your hypothesis: Naut & Co
5. Conclusion: Atheism indeed, is self-refuting

Atheism discussion 1: Barking up condemned trees

Why do you post nonsense, that anyone with an ounce of goodwill IMMEDIATELY can proof to be wrong?

``"With or without religion, you would have good people doing good things and evil people doing evil things. But for good people to do evil things, that takes religion."

– Steven Weinberg

``

For good people to do evil things it requires being pressured. Such as you like to undertake yourself.

Atheism still condemns its own profession.

Truly religious person :

The bible, although originally true, has become a work of deception. Now it can either serve as a hobbyist puzzle for high goodwill plus high IQ people, or a historic record. It cannot guide general society anymore without fixing (Works on fixing)

Atheism:

- Burn the heretics.





www.shutterstock.com - 1839420670

- Let's dive into the virtues of exactly the elements of religion that we condemned,
- But then deceive ourselves that we don't,
- While pointing at religious people to be brainwashed,
- While we perpetuate the issues religion and atheism has.

Stalin did get far there

- Atheism professes in the elements of virtue that incarnate in the issues they condemn



The "boxed" section Pulls from the logical "anti" faith attitude.

And you have been played by it twice. In both directions.

As soon as you stop professing the things you condemn, you'll naturally arrive at truism -
theism without bias

Unless you fail to do so and stay in contradiction or deception

Either way, the only reason atheism was stable, was that it wasn't obvious to the professors,
that they barked up (seek attention) the tree that they condemned

And the attention they got - they were suited up with the appropriate status

The Quran goes on about this

(Ok this sounded worse than what actually is/was...)

logical "anti" faith attitude requires the named additional attributes
logical intelligence rather than emotional, utilitarian rather than virtue.

Atheisms stability relies on the profession in the elements of virtue that incarnate in the issues they condemn

Atheism as in there are no gods requires a attitude of professing in little emotion, logical intelligence rather than emotional, utilitarian rather than virtue. Averting from this path deems Atheism instable.

They'll reach at theism.
Therefore, upper citing is correct.

Atheism: it's a form of self-deception
Like some latently gay fundamental Christians, who condemn homosexuality

"pro" faith attitude
Does not salvage necessarily
Salvation results from



Love over logic
Altruism over realism ...
Take it as you like

Jesus' sacrifice asks the



Question: Do you love Jesus or the sacrifice?

The Quran constantly does raise



Questions.

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

A set of attributes can be stable or not stable. Most sets aren't stable. Atheism without the named additional attributes isn't stable

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

Buddhism? Since when are Buddhists anti faith?

Atheism a source of true belief?

You are lumping people together more than what is good for you. Atheists don't generally reject or deny God. If you look at the origins of the Gospel, they came from people who begged the question of belief, the God-fearing ones on the other hand opposed Jesus.

Hot take incoming

Reaching atheism via critical thinking is a gateway to other based reasonings

This might be the best argument for atheism I ever heard of

I still think that its a great argument. In space travel, you can save fuel and raise efficiency by using gravity of a planetary object to turn around, rather than to redirect towards the goal on the spot. Direct redirection can be extremely hard to pull off depending on kinetics.

Is Atheism a Hoax?

Jonathann — 09/08/2022

Yeah Darwin came back from the dead and told me atheism was a hoax
He made it up for 20\$ on a dare

Do you know what a hoax is?

Yes

Then you should understand how it's the biggest hoax

As I understand it you can take an image of the American flag moving on the moon that was made before, make a hoax by putting "there is no wind on the moon, therefore moon landing fake" and have your moon landing hoax.

Atheism is exactly like this

As the authorities have piled undeniable evidence of moon landing, so do they have for gods.

"Undeniable evidence for gods"???

I do believe in the moon landing, as I believe in gods. I am aware of such moon landing hoaxes as I am of atheism.

The point is there are other explanations for the American flag moving on the moon.

It's just a simple observation that can be turned into a hoax.

Like seemingly contradictions in the bible, the seeming tri-omni refutations, etc. The point is, there is behind the scenes evidence for moon landings, as there is for gods.

Errors in Scripture?

I keep debunking the debunks of Scripture, I'm not aware of errors in their nature, only aware of manipulation, abuse, and misinterpretation about the big ones You also must see them as a way to progress within a setting

Who is greater, MLK or Johnny Depp?

Who is greater, MLK or Johnny Depp, going by your Atheist opinion? Pls answer. @The Rivalyn seems to be using Arius style strats here

I don't understand why an atheist would have a noteworthy opinion on it

Are you saying your not able to do a simple comparison?

That's not what I said at all

Who is MLK

Martin Luther King

Hero and martyr of the American civil rights movement

- Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022
- Apparently Atheism is really in line with Arius type strats.

Neither wants to address or entertain an argument they can only lose when staying honest.

No you choose the context of the question yourself, by being honest to yourself

Who is greater, MLK or Johnny Depp, going by your Atheist opinion? Using your personal estimation of greatness. Pls answer.

In what sense is greatness being applied, as it's a word with multiple definitions and dependent on context

Greater in wealth...johnny Greater in civil acts.... probably MLK Greater in movies....johnny
Greater in speeches...MLK
Its subject

So overall summed up estimation who is greater?

Overall, as in all subjects considered?

Yes


"Greater" means nothing without some context

I can't answer that because my brain cannot process all subjects considered at once. I can select a finite amount to make a calculation. Not a set of infinities

Generic greatness is meaningless

Im not sure I agree with this. But as it stands I have no way to calculate generic greatness. And very likely I would be unable to observe generic greatness of one person in a lifetime

Apparently most Atheists are now incapable of voting



was my answer satisfactory?

It was my honest answer to your question

- Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022

It seems like: I don't want to change my mind, or see my contradicting opinions and beliefs exposed, so I avoid giving an actual answer, and resort to strawman's

Wow

You got that from my answer?

Yes

You are acting as its supposed to be a close race, where both choices are close to similar perfection

Ok try this Mina

- Considering ALL numbers. Which number is the highest number?
- Can you answer this question

If the question is: 357 or 777 which number, do you assess to have better implications?

Johnny (unknown number) MLK (unknown number) That's not 357 vs 777 That's potential infinite vs potential infinite in greatness IN ALL subjects (an actual infinite) You have given me nothing I can process with a finite brain.

You don't need to compare apples and pears, you are supposed to compare 2 apples to each other



Better **in what sense** though

Even two apples can be judged by tastiness, size, aesthetic appeal, etc. There's no generic one-size-fits-all better apple

- Your initial question is a bad question and your refusal to clarify your point and offload it on us is generally just bad form, yo

have you bought an apple in the supermarket before

Yes, and what ones I pick depends on what I want out of the apple at the time I picked them
@Mina_Nobias how many subjects of greatness are there that can be considered. Give me a number

- Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022

Lets take a different road in strawmen city $X \Rightarrow \text{infinite } x^2 + a \gg \ln(x) + b$?

Right on cue..

Mina, help me out. Could you answer your own question then show me how your work is out I don't want to strawman any more. I want to learn..and maybe...be saved with superior logic. Teach me

Ok ok, alright

MLK is considered greater than Johnny Depp by most humans.

Now even among well informed ones.

Well informed ones might expect an internet recherche of results of summation known wrongs will likely result in MLK having done "more wrong in summation" than Johnny Depp, while neglecting the goods done Do you guys agree?

In other words MLK is superior in greatness with more wrongs done in summary?

I'll just come to the point. You cannot judge God on assessed wrongs alone.

I can judge MLK as a civil rights activist. He did well. I can judge Depp as an actor, he did well. I can judge god as a god, he did not do well.

Will a civil right activist have better touch on assessing MLK than a plumber!

Will actor have better assessment on Judging Depp than a taxi driver!

Will a god have better assessment on judging a god?

In my opinion God did his best for the most part. It was an awful task in some terms to pull through.

@Mina_Nobias how should I judge god?

By understanding the situations and lacking ability to come up with better ways

So god is limited?

In some perspective

I think that religious people don't understand the weight of the "god" term. If rape is bad (it is) God could have created a universe in which rape is impossible. He didn't. How could an omnipotent God create a universe in which such atrocities are even possible? God is bad at being god.


So you would prefer a eunuchian world?
Its the logical consequences of impossible sexual rape + free will

This is problematic. The preclusion of an action isn't a damage of free will, or the sense of it. I can't fly under my own power, my free will remains intact.

Exactly, you don't have wings.
The free will of an eunuchian person keeps existing

- Yet most would prefer the current version

I'm not sure what eunuchian means.
Wait, so a castrated person?



Could god have thought of better forms of discipline for children then he did in the bible?

You think of this task to little. It's both the most important and most difficult task in existence.

Educating children

In theory, people thousands of years ago had more potential resources than today. Why did so relatively many live in hunger and poverty? If you look at the fight against poverty or immorality its like a war. Its a back and forth until the major victory.

So a good God that is all powerful "could" have created a world in which it is simply an artifact of the mind/biology that consent is required for all sexual activity. No free will has been infringed (same as people with no wings still experience free will) God did not create that universe. Either god is bad at being god, or is simply an evil/stupid one (hanlon's razor) I have judged God

Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022

Such artifact is a pandora box

As often mentioned, with the node communication analogy - humans earned the right to send and receive through the apple. To now restrict parts of this ability with mind domination is the opposite of what you would expect atheism to argue for.

You are arguing against a point that is already been debunked. when my fiance says "I'm not in the mood" my dick already goes from hard to an "innie." It is no imposition on free will to make that a biological fact.

So, you want God to control your body at times? He does that in some cases, but not for entities that hard-disconnect from him ("iron chariots") Generally an entity in itself consists of their own hierarchy of judgment What improvement do you suggest? God forcing body and mind controlling on atheists?

why should I care about a god that was so terrible at disciplining children?

Make your own choice. But I would recommend a diverse, fair view on things. It's not ok to judge

The 90ts programmers' results by today's standards

The agricultural situation of then by todays standards

Moral disclination of then by today's standards

When people judge X, they are judging X by certain standards. You can do that. I can judge an actor by what it means to be an actor. I can judge a god by what it means to be a god.

Both the SNES and the PS5 have the same logical possibility. Their programmers are Omnipotent towards the worlds created. Why is there not the new Sonic (expecting to be good) on SNES?

Actually the SNES has a lot of limitations compared to the PS5

Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022

Does it?

Yes, it does. That's the thing with technology.

How is it impossible to render this on SNES



Did you really think about it before you said it?
God next gen would never be playable on a SNES

<http://www-graphics.stanford.edu/courses/cs348b-competition/> These were done by individuals, not NASA like company's. If done by NASA like moon landing efforts, you could get this on SNES

Nope, Mina said IF NASA tried they could do it. I want proof of that

Like, the SNES has 128kb of RAM

Its simple to assume mathematically, because if you give the calculations enough time, memory is the only limitations

Everything in the SNES is the limitation there.

How so?

Not enough RAM, not enough power, no ability to output high enough resolution imagery

RAM: just calculate with raytracing and load stuff in and out procedurally
Power you don't need much given time
Output: you can have the image buffer in displays, which is often the case

I think this all started as Mina trying to prove to me that anything is possible or something, I have no clue anymore.

We'll be stuck on the pause screen for hours

I'm pretty sure **Years**

You'd be dead before the SNES generated a frame

An SNES doesn't have the capability for ray tracing, does it? Or are you wanting to replace the graphics card?

There is math that can work around this

Provide it?

$3.47 \times 10 =$
 $3 * 10 +$
 $4 \times 10 / 10$
 $+ 7 \times 10 / 10 / 10$

Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022

This argument from me was made in an unusual way.

Here goes: You are saying that, getting such result is not viable, although possible on SNES?

I don't think it's possible

For one, it's also just like

- Not architected for modern machines
- Some of the actual elements require more bits than the SNES has
- Including floating point calculations

• Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022

Yes so anyway, it's at least close to impossible

The actual argument I was gonna make is:
You cannot have today's standards 1000ths of years ago, even with an omnipotent god

Btw the analogy was about world circumstances not power circumstances

Can I go cooking now? I wanted to do this an hour or so ago

Good old days?

ahhh back in the good old days when killing infants and sucklings was not only morally acceptable but required

Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022

Good old days? Some politicians and groups think so today. How do you fix this?

by encouraging as many people as possible to use the Bible as toilet paper of course treat that shit with the respect it deserves

- Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022

Mep wrong




<https://m.soundcloud.com/commack-historian/wrong-buzzer-sound-effect>

[Wrong Buzzer Sound Effect](#)

You fix this by building intelligent structure. Ideally through transformation. Your attempt wouldn't fix anything pretty much.

but you still are part of the Jesus death cult and advocate worshipping that child killing genocidal narcissistic monster no?

- Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022



Dude you can watch Django unchained and blame some people. But you shouldn't resort to victim blaming. Jesus, while special circumstances, is still a victim. God is no nazi, if anything some politician in this comparison

Do you still hold the notion that Iran's death penalty on LGBT activist has nothing to do with Islam?

Are you okay with the existence of moderate forms of Islam

It's not about me being ok.

- It's about what the actual text says and how other take parts or whole of it. But at the end of the day, I'm no one to stop people from practicing their own "versions" of religion.
- It is what it is.

Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022

how do you assess relationship of Turkey and Europe in the last 20 years?

I don't see any relevance to this.

As I understand it, Turkey was secular and tried to develop towards Europe. Then Europe tried to puppeteer them. They blocked of. Now they are authoritarian, and I'm not sure about secular.

Erdogan and his ilk have been a presence since the start
He mostly preys on populist stuff to get where he is and use that to do what he has done to gain power and de-secularize stuff

Did you consider different scenarios?

Why do you support religions?

- Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022

For the same reason I support the usage of cars in reasonable ways.

What's a reasonable way to use someone's beliefs?

Cars require responsible users that were instructed on the commons, and reasonable societal management of the agreement on them


Cars should be made as environmental friendly as reasonably possible

Cars enhance our lives then

hey lets be responsible fascists and use it carefully to oppress and destroy people

- what a shit thing to say

Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022



A car doesn't say to conquer new lands for your car superiority. A car doesn't say to go and run over the person who insults your car. A car doesn't say to kill the person who leaves the car and starts riding a bike or just fricking walk. A car doesn't say females should get half in inheritance, aren't allowed to go outside without a "guardian", must always be subservient to their husbands so much so that, they should engage in intercourse even if they don't want to. Do you even comprehend how pathetically irrelevant and inane your analogy is?

Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022

A car doesn't say to conquer new lands for your car superiority. car doesn't. Developer doesn't. Manufacturer might. Sadly.

A car doesn't say to go and run over the person who insults your car. driver might. Sadly.

A car doesn't say to kill the person who leaves the car and starts riding a bike or just fricking walk. manufactures might build harassing structure to bind customers

A car doesn't say females should get half in inheritance, aren't allowed to go outside without a "guardian", must always be subservient to their husbands so much so that, they should engage in intercourse even if they don't want to. not even commenting this

Why the actual heck are you talking about what a car manufacturer does or doesn't do instead of what's its purpose is and how do you relate it to a fricking religion?

Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022

Christian's behaved in opposition to true Christianity and some still do. Unless this stopps, there is no good solution. o

Jesus Teachings:

- ♣ Love God
- ♣ Love your “Neighbour” as yourself
- ♣ Forgive others who wronged you
- ♣ Love your enemies
- ♣ Ask God for forgiveness of your sins
- ♣ Jesus is the Messiah and was given authority to forgive others
- ♣ Repentance of sins is essential
- ♣ Don't be hypothetical

And I 'm no express delivery

if it does not start with Fuck Yahweh and all that evil concept stands for then I am out along with any right minded person in my opinion why start with pure evil as your highest value?

Mina_Nobias — 09/15/2022

<https://youtu.be/LasLaMxyjc>

[World-Famous Echo Wall @ Königssee, Bavaria \(Germany\) \(Aug 22, 2017\)](#)



Babel and the meaning in nothing; nothing of meaning

Isn't it interesting that your place of birth is a reliable statistical indicator of how likely you are to be a member of a certain religion? Is that really what we would expect if there is a god who wants us all to know him? On the other hand this is exactly what we would expect if there is no god and religion is nothing but a manmade cultural phenomenon that varies by region.

Mina_Nobias

Depends what "nothing" indicated
You are right or aren't

- What are you

I don't know what you mean?

Mina_Nobias

Nothing indicated this? Ok then

Again, you are not making sense or I just don't understand what you are asking

- Mina_Nobias

You are right or aren't
If nothing indicated That you don't know the meaning
Then you are right
If you indicated meaning in nothing
Then you are wrong

If nothing indicated?

- What does this mean?

Mina_Nobias

What did you indicate with your use of nothing

That religion is nothing but a manmade cultural phenomenon
Meaning that it doesn't have a supernatural origin or anything

- Mina_Nobias

Again; you are being superfluously ambiguous

I am really not

What I said is perfectly clear

What part of religion does not have a supernatural origin is ambiguous?

- Mina_Nobias

Actually no, tbf hard to identify

- Mina_Nobias

The supernatural part lies in nothing

But you indicated the meaning in nothing now

Without knowing

So you are wrong again

Your english is 10 times more confusing than anything I have said

- Mina_Nobias

Because you maybe look at the wrong layer of meaning

You are just saying words

That don't mean anything when put together

Mina seems completely unable to make any damn sense.

Yep Imao

- Mina_Nobias

On the layer you try to identify you see nothing

Again,

You indicated meaning in nothing

Again

Without knowing

Change your perspective



- I honestly have no idea what you are trying to say

- Mina_Nobias

So know, Nothing means nothing without knowing
With knowing nothing means truth of the void

- Try again

Mina, Kryl is saying that the place where someone grows up and lives has a big impact on what religion they believe in


This, they state, is a piece of evidence that no true god or gods exist due to the fact nobody can agree on the right one or ones @Mina_Nobias

- Mina_Nobias

They answered themselves truth without knowing

They answered themselves truth without knowing

On the other hand this is exactly what we would expect if there is no god and religion is nothing but a manmade cultural phenomenon that varies by region.



I was simply saying what they said a different way
I agree with 'em

Mina_Nobias

You parsed it to incorrect meaning

Being hard to understand

Mina_Nobias

This problem is the solution of babel

Are you making an allusion to the Bible here?

Mina_Nobias

Yes, although theologists interpreted this bible part wrong

Das Sprachproblem war die Lösung von Babel, kein Hindernis zum Himmel

Neurons don't reconnect without the disconnect
Red carpets of meaning only help to suppress, to dominate, not to harmonize

There are Red Carpets in our brains?
Its just straightforward arguments of dominance

Batshit crazy?

<https://www.google.com/amp/s/islamqa.info/amp/en/answers/111786> Look at what I just learned on another server lol

[The urine and dung of animals whose flesh may be eaten are taahir \(...\)](#)

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

To be fair non-muslims also eat batshit in some cases (guano)

Also digested insect fecal matter that was partly digested by bigger insects and puked out



It goes great in tea lol

- Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Non-Muslims also consume exclusive Excretions - alcohol

Or mixing excrements of one kind with another kind of excrements



- Honeydew mead
- I dont think Muslim's make such an art out of.... Eh yeah

don't look at me... I don't like alcohol

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

I didn't say I absolutely never drink alcohol

And yet the Atheists receive so much attention

- complete universe made after one of theirs definition
- bible rewritten by their urges
- feedback of them implemented
- new prophet one of theirs

Technically, you could just have come up with a option more to the Gods liking
I don't see though how demons would help anything at all But you could have come up with a no-Jesus solution

Mina_Nobias — 09/26/2022

I doubt they would roll with that much more than they already have, which was a bunch (insofar that they chose this huge atheist as medium)

What "huge atheist"?

Mina_Nobias — 09/26/2022




here?

Mina_Nobias — 09/26/2022

I was highest atheist tier in terms of delusional non-belief when they chose me

Mina_Nobias — 09/26/2022

Delusion can definitely be categorized in tiers
Depending on how thick your wall of delusion becomes My wall sure was thick.



Skepticism

Boo You



Boo You

Blindfolded person thinks to have to choose between 2 options

Both are bad

The blindfold is dogmatism

And

the rails are bad morals

- assuming "you" is skeptics, right?

Yes, a true sceptic would mean to show them the foundation of their belief in a different way

Take the texts for what they are, not what they are thought to be. Then show possibilities. You are doing this supposedly with the scientific method, but here you are acting dogmatic. Then you reject this, your own dogmatism and block your understanding.

- Instead use normal skeptic methods

Invention of claims to bash them

Religious skepticism - to some apparently the art of invention of ridiculous claims, which then get attributed to a religion to make fun about the religion, with the self-invented claims

Scripture doesn't even "go together" with itself in many cases.

That claim needs evidence.

Also:

So does human law, and a lot of common philosophy and science

Science etc. doesn't endanger lives, when being treated infallible?

Then check again. People were executed on death row after there being massive reason for doubt of the process, Science is being treated as infallible by many, even about merely assumed claims that were never part of results from evidence, etc

No? If a person of authority proves that, then maybe Exactly the same as for changing Scripture

No, the scientific consensus won't change if Hans Hintermeier finds something in his car shed

The point is he must convince people who have the authority Scripture change works the same way

Issues with Skepticism Part 1

Example for Scepticism causing problems?

Yes. Me and my direct boss at engineering Job drive home from a Supplier appointment. We get into a traffic jam. I look out of the window and see a napping person in a car that slowly moves downhill in our direction. I say to my boss "... Use the horn...." Nothing "... USE THE HORN..." He: "nothing there" Me "... HONK!!!..." Nothing
Car bonk
Damaged

? It's essentially the same as skepticism?

Not taking stuff seriously in communication can cause issues, usually more long term, as in expression, usually more short term

See global warming

See 9/11 warnings

See capitol storming after us votings and the hints

Scalar Healing and different ways to look at Fraud

I never heard of these people before:

<https://www.scalarlight.com> ⓘ

Remote Scalar Energy Healing by Tom Paladino

Scalar energy operates at the quantum level and is capable of disassembling all types of pathogens; for instance, virus, fungi, amoeba, parasites and ...

[What's Scalar Energy](#) · [About Tom Paladino](#) · [Testimonials](#) · [FAQs](#)

- disassembling pathogens at a quantum level? what's that supposed to mean?
- I think I zeroed in on the important part, from their first FAQ item:

Mina_Nobias — 09/11/2022

Sigh

Which part of mammon not serving God did they not get?

gosh. I mean do they really think that "Elohim" will decide to play around with the rules of the universe based on the money in the pocket of this Tom Paladino person?

Maybe if you buy him a PS5 he will split the sea for you

- >nobody laughing huh <


The joke is, that that's not how things work. Its 2 unrelated processes. It's like giving your dog a pretzel because you don't want your car to break down.

Scalar Healing and Skepticism and different ways to look at Fraud

Scalar light healing "in its implications" is to be taking seriously

A short evaluation in mind alone should tell you that putting money in his pocket won't help with scalar light healings implications

are you seriously putting your friend ought to have believed you(traffic jam car bonk) and not been skeptical on the same footing as people ought to believe in the healing properties of scalar light and not be skeptical ???




Ignore the terminology and look at the implications, without paying attention to the fraud. And find a gold mine? Or have your skepticism prevent that?

If this "scalar light healing" *actually worked*, wouldn't it already be the foundational theory of all medicine? Also, there's no such thing as "scalar light". Light is *necessarily* a vector because it *travels through space*.

Let me google for 3 minutes: "Animals with amazing self-healing powers" or something

I can already tell you what's on the list, anyway. Axolotls. Starfish. Probably some kind of cephalopod, like an octopus or a cuttlefish. You know what they all have in common? ***They're not mammals.***



Just inject people with UV light and disinfectant?

Except you know

- UV kills *everything*
- ANd you kind of want to not kill your microbiom
- Or cells

Yeah, so if the lamp doesn't shine through, put the lamp in Like a firefly

See? Easy. <https://www.euronews.com/next/2021/05/19/lighting-the-way-how-scientists-are-imitating-fireflies-to-advance-cancer-treatments>

- euronews

[The glow of fireflies is helping scientists find treatments for cancer](#)

Researchers are reproducing the same chemical reaction that causes fireflies to light up in patients in a bid to help fight off disease.

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/to-your-health/wp/2015/07/22/scientists-are-working-with-the-light-of-fireflies-to-improve-medical-diagnoses/>

<https://www.nytimes.com/1975/08/25/archives/fireflies-light-gains-new-uses-in-medical-and-technical-research.html> The young company is developing test strips that allows people to analyze a drop of blood or spit with the modified proteins. They haven't had any products hit the market yet, but the hope is that someday doctors could quickly be able to tell how much of a drug someone has in his or her system by the color that the strips glow. The researchers think "biosensors" and the concept of taking advantage of luminescence could have applications throughout medicine, although it would take more research to create a product that's ready for use. Johnsson said that will probably take another two to three years. [Breakthrough HIV study could change course of treatment for

millions] Luciferase is a generic term for the class of oxidative enzymes that produce bioluminescence, and is usually distinguished from a photoprotein. The name was first used by Raphaël Dubois who invented the words luciferin and luciferase, for the substrate and enzyme, respectively.[1] Both words are derived from the Latin word lucifer, meaning "lightbearer", which in turn is derived from the Latin words for "light" (lux) and "to bring or carry" (ferre).[2] In November 2021, White House correspondent, Emerald Robinson, for the conservative outlet Newsmax tweeted a false statement that a COVID-19 vaccine contained luciferase which was used to track people.[27][28] Newsmax removed Emerald Robinson from the air and stated "We have seen no evidence to suggest LUCIFERASE or LUCIFERIN are present in any vaccines or that they are used as any sort [of] bioluminescent marker." [29] <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3870704/> ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters are a group of transmembrane proteins that maintain chemical homeostasis through efflux of compounds out of organelles and cells. Among other functions, ABC transporters play a key role in protecting the brain parenchyma by efflux of xenobiotics from capillary endothelial cells at the blood–brain barrier (BBB). They also prevent the entry of therapeutic drugs at the BBB, thereby limiting their efficacy. One of the key transporters playing this role is ABCG2. Although other ABC transporters can be studied through various imaging modalities, no specific probe exists for imaging ABCG2 function in vivo. Here we show that d-luciferin, the

[The New York Times](#)

[Fireflies' Light Gains New Uses in Medical and Technical Research \(...\)](#)


Light of firefly has sparked growing interest in luminescence as technique in med and biochemical research; areas in which technique, known as 'firefly system' noted; firefly system involves use of bug's 2 light-producing chemicals, luciferin and luciferase, to test for presence of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), an energy storage compound contain...

- PubMed Central (PMC)


[Bioluminescent imaging of drug efflux at the blood–brain barrier me...](#)

The blood–brain barrier (BBB) prevents ingress of small molecules into the brain partly by expression of drug efflux transporters. Although several transporters are known to be involved, the role ABCG2 plays in this process is not clear. We demonstrate ...

I don't really know how, but my truth detectors were ringing



will demonstrate how Scalar Light:



Mina_Nobias Yesterday at 11:21 PM

- 1) balances the brain waves,
- 2) eradicates toxins and pathogens and

transporters play a key role in protecting the brain parenchyma by efflux of xenobiotics from capillary endothelial cells at the blood–brain barrier (BBB). They also prevent the entry of therapeutic drugs at the BBB, thereby limiting their efficacy. One of the key transporters

I just took it as terminology for "a healing light source"

Issues with Skepticism Part 2

I'd still like to know of examples of ways that skepticism, as a tool to weed out bullshit claims is used in not-so-great ways.

Not saying there are no examples, but nothing is jumping to mind.

I could see framing moon-landing hoaxers or flat earthers as skeptics, but they're not really using skepticism in the strict sense that we are referring to when we use the term here.

Ok so in the middle of a discussion pay attention to your desire to clasp your arms and cross your legs. It's the indicator for how much you are doing it wrong.

A true sceptic would act agnostic to the topic; Therefore, he has no desire to do that

What Is Genuine Skepticism?

Rather than looking to characteristics of the skeptic or the targets of his or her skepticism, perhaps we need to dig deeper into the nature of skepticism itself. A defining feature of genuine skepticism is that it isn't employed to reach a foregone conclusion or to discredit a conclusion that one just doesn't like. A true skeptic is "critical" in both senses of that word—willing to challenge what is widely believed rather than taking it on faith,⁶ but also committed to relying on careful analysis. *Skepticism* and *criticism* come from Greek words meaning, respectively, to examine or investigate, and to judge or discern.

The only reason for a desire to clasp your arms and cross legs would be lacking genuinity in skepticism. Just saying that would generally be the case.
Not talking to anyone in particular

Okay, that *also* doesn't make sense, because as previously mentioned, humans are opaque to visible-spectrum light, ultraviolet light is actively harmful to us, and infrared light doesn't have enough energy to interact with our biology at all.

Well, I guess that's not *strictly* true. Microwaves, it turns out, are infrared. And they can cook us.

So what would the *actual mechanism* be of this "healing light"? How precisely would light stimulate cell division - because that's what healing is - in a way that doesn't lead to cancer? For the record, we know *exactly* how light stimulates cell division in a way that *does* lead to cancer.

I took the belief in healing (light) "source" and followed it.

I can't parse that sentence. What does this *mean*?

Your method has advantages in the late phase of discovery. It cannot discover the unknown. You are talking particulars and "precisely". But now you ask, " what this means" And this method that I use does do the opposite
- being as unapacifically precise as you can.

That means to define a *range* that can hold an answer and start searching for split points, then try to map the spot points out in an decent way. You can just follow this chat history to see exactly how I did it.

What. Are. You. Even. Talking about???

"Unspecifically precise"??? The fuck does that mean? That's an oxymoron! It's *impossible* to be precise *without being specific!*

Wrong

Then demonstrate.

One example is error analysis

- You get more precise answers in unspecific precision

Another chatter:

So, I want to be clear, I'm bringing up abuse to illustrate a point; in no way do I intend any implication of you being an abuser. If you've dealt with abusers, you know there are signs. Now one can misinterpret things... like maybe someone is just being really nice, but you interpret it as lovebombing. Maybe somebody confuses you and it gives you that feeling that reminds you of being around an abuser. Having your "abuse-detector" on "high" can possibly lead to some bad outcomes. Similarly, having my bullshit-detector on "high", I probably mislabel things as bullshit from time to time. But... similarly, I pattern-match things to bullshit because, especially here, people are pushing it so much. And similar with detecting an abuser (again, not trying to conflate the two in content); I can only handle so much shoveling of bullshit before I start to distrust nearly everything they say. Like they say "don't get fooled again".


Sorry I yield this point to you. You are right. I overlooked that. I was thinking about bearded people in a scientific or philosophical debate room when talking about genuine skepticism

See I was not unprecise(unspecific) enough just now and it made me lose a point


*Now you're using precise and specific as synonyms!!! How is it possible to be precise yet not specific or vice versa **if they mean the same thing????***

No you understood that wrong

- Im talking about the process and information harvest not the output when I say unspecific



Sorwert scale II,III,IV not put in graph
It just says a true skeptic will find truth
And a truth maker will bring it forth or find it



That entire chart seems like a way to basically a priori dismiss skepticism

- And so deny ability to actually address woo bullshit

No, we had enough lacking skepticism in flat earth times

How do I even begin to explain the theory behind the method I used....

You know things group right?

Even.. Abstract Objects? If you assume abstract objects group and entangle its to be expected, that there is a connection in truth apt Between the light of source and where it shines

So if you can tell where it shines to, you can just focus there and follow its track until you see the truth.

To interpret this, use

Source=Jesus

Or

Take it scientifically

The Rivalyn — 09/12/2022

there is a connection in truth apt

ofc!

the empirical beingness of abstracts coinciding with the light for well-beingness of the greater

so $x - x^1 - x^3$ and the pattern is scalar so

God is the unity of the overall pyramid of unity truth is then awareness of this being in context of proper focus

- Am I right?

- Mina_Nobias — 09/12/2022

the empirical beingness of abstracts coinciding with the light for well-

beingness of the greater

hmmm. You mean like the "more importantly entangled entity(greater)" coincides with the popping into existence of abstract objects?

truth is then awareness of this being in context of proper focus

Ok

I literally just typed random meaningless shit with no meaningful content

You are too entangled riv

Pity of scapegoating

Scapegoating 1

John Maynard Keynes. His ideas were celebrated for a long time. President Richard Nixon famously said in 1971 (ironically, shortly before Keynesian economics fell out of fashion) that "We are all Keynesians now".

His Book from 1936, helped out of the great depression. He helped the World with his solutions for the situation he saw the world in.

People kept clinging to the formula, even after his death, and in a world of different circumstances. We know what happened.

Why is religion to be taken different here? The timespans for its validity are much bigger. But it's still similar.

The point is religion isn't taken differently by many, who both engage into blasphemy as well as spitting onto Keynes grave.

The same people who maybe praise Musk and would have praised Keynes in his time. People with all those properties - I pity them

Scapegoating 2

Three different researchers working on the same project died in 2013 while studying the effects of climate change, leading another scientist on the team named Peter Wadham to believe that they were assassinated by oil industry leaders or the United States government.

The government has now rejected climate change (back then, after 2013), purged it from its website, and is denying federal funds to study it, which probably would have been an easier way to deal with these meddling scientists than killing them, but who knows what really happened.

<https://viralnova.com/science-death-conspiracy/>

[5 Times Scientists Have Suspiciously Died After Making Big Discoveries](#)

-influencer has opposing views of some authorities

-influencer gets murdered by authorities

-influencers work gets manipulated and instrumentalized

-using the murdered person as scapegoat for the manipulated changes

Ringling a bell?

Also, how can you say anything happened in 2013 when you don't think anything actually occurred between 2003 and 2014?

It's always two sides, the reformers against the keepers. In a situation of divine revelation, the tides turn, and the reformers obey, while the keepers reject. The usual view of the keepers as force of traditional belief falls ad absurdum when they reject what they proclaimed to be their foundation. A situation not of good vs evil

- both sides fall for bad ways at times.

But a situation where tradition loses its identity and reform is starting to turn out not progressive but regressive at a later point

- because not small parts of society push the big parts into place but vice versa. So suddenly the reformists took the keepers identity, the keepers swell in confusion, All to a new truth. Not everything the reformists did was morally great. Not everything the keepers did was morally wrong. In the end they just both didn't look into the scenes behind and decipher the building blocks of their doing

- they did business as usual

So naturally the one who is more going with the flow does win, rather than who sided with the greater in the past. You could say the Gospels authors were Atheists. The Israelites bonded strongly with God before. You could tell from that. What happened 2003 to 2014?

An identity crisis of the big fish. Afterwards its Aftermath. Secularism confronted with the undeniable starting up in opposition, but, as foreseeable to God himself, it will play out different

While the bonds appear stronger, one's Nature wins against ones bonds often, but not always, at first Just to then, later, get lost in the storm

I assume 2013 was a year of opposition after witnessing the undeniable vs. weakening bonds

On layers above as below Mankind and divine, Global warming, West and Russia

In each there are the keepers and the reformers taking position

Until their positions swap

The reform swap to conserving is almost done in the west, other areas are partly still observing the implications of 2013

- witnessing the undeniable while bonds weaken

[Under Mars Rocks](#)

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

"Why watch as stuff transforms from wrong to right at tremendous speed, when you can throw obstacles in the way, cause violence and the potential doom of the world"
Great philosophy

How in the fucking fuckity fuck is *theocracy* "stuff transform[ing] from wrong to right at tremendous speed"????

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Have you lived under a rock on mars the last 3 years?

Did you see me there?

- Accusing me of infringing on your squatter's rights?

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Not sure



...Mina, what is this picture supposed to be?

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Someone trying to get out from a rock under mars?

Imo what you are lacking is not goodwill but understanding due to fear of regress

- Why do you fear regress?

With the wall in front...

I don't fear regress

I literally don't understand what your strings of words mean


They do not make sense
Do you read what you write first?
To ensure that it makes syntactical sense?

So then hurry up getting through the valley then.
At least the wall is gone then....

The language of the universe is in vague ranges. You speak and think in track trains. That's your issue.

We're not speaking "the language of the universe". We're speaking *English*. You're welcome to join us.

You fail to see, that the tracks are an illusion, only the collaterals are



Why do you like those tracks so much?

...human language does a better job than math and programming languages, because it enables talking in ranges rather than details;

No, that's exactly why it does a *worse* job.

Being specific is important in this case

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Be specific after the fact, not before the fact For Gods sake, and more so the worlds

It is 9:04 in a moment and I will do engineering work soon
If you would look at my postings more distinct, you would see that I am clearly describing
whats meant to be

If you have enough goodwill to go with it I'd try to set you up for your progress a little more...
And spend a while longer before I 'm off to the other job

You're an engineer??? That's terrifying.

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

No engineers thinking in ranges is not that uncommon

- I wonder what job profits from not bundling individual facts and processing them

individually only?

- Maybe statistics before the "fact" - the fact of making the statistical evaluation

No, it is absolutely fucking terrifying that an *engineer* of all fucking people thinks specificity
and precision are *hindrances*.

No I think that they are *tools* Which are to be applied for their meant application

The application is called *thinking*.

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Why do you think thinking in randomly chosen narrowing is enhancing your results of the
process?

If you are a professor, and have your 15+ students process a task, that has a lot of possible
ways to handle, do you only watch over one only, till he is done, and use his work? Dropping
the work of all others?

I assume you think, that working this way as a person by itself is not feasible. Not leading to
decent results? I think I know why.

So do you think its not feasible or leading to decent results?

- This way of thinking goes along with spiritual thinking also, so be careful
It requires just one change to pull off the ascent.

I would kind of like, look at what logic is for and is before saying it's not useful as natural language

- Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

I wanted this book for conveying facts after the fact
Using it to process unknown results from a topic is dangerous. It limits you to much, you'd be like a calculator

Have fun with that

- I'm honestly not in a mood to parse your geenal garbled solipsism, but until you actually take time ot know what logic is or is structured, don't knock it.
- Your refusal to actually learn the languages of fields yous eem so intersted in is just ego

what did I refuse again

Logic and math before you actually do shit relating to it

I explained I'm willing to use it for proof after the fact

And math after fact is usually called fitting the math to your ocncusions and considered genreally both poor methods and ptoentially unethical for most field sof reearch.

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

No, it's why Einstein got the fame, not the ones who did the math for him.

His ideas were formed by first understanding the state of math and the geometry he employed were well-established concepts a good cnetury before he came around

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Yes

His unnovationw as utilizing existing mathematical concepts to describe spacetime gemeotry

- And note: Unless you know the math, you're using emtaphors and simplifcaitons
- He was already well into the math

Sure.

It was not finding math after the fact. I tws for him, using math to find more facts

- Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Almost

- But he evaluated his findings with it
- To make it a fact
- When the fact was found it was not a fact and the abstract wasn't found mathematically
Unnecessary narrowing would have prevented the findings

No, he narrowed down the abstract to a fact. See these words in a way you like.

@Mina_Nobias Do you... understand how science works? *First* comes the hypothesis, *then* the prediction, *then* the experiment to confirm or deny the prediction.

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

There is stuff before the hypothesis though. A understanding from a net of implications.
To complete this, the problem arises when you leap over this part, because this part is better not thought about in unnecessary narrowed terms.

The "stuff before the hypothesis" is not "a[sic] understanding from a net of implications", it's just *observations*. Nothing is *understood* until *after* the experiment.
A hypothesis without an experiment is just a fucking guess.

- all we have is observaiton. We create hypotehsis and **test them** to see if our explanatinosn for htose observations help us understnad them

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Try forming hypothesis without understanding and you fail

Understanding comes after observation and attempts to explain, not before

- You make hypotehsis becuase **You don't understand it**

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Are you trying? Are you joking? Are you even serious?

You merely try to ensure the correctness of your understanding, which is conveyed in the hypothesis

Please look up a definition of understanding. We can understand hypotheticals just fine without them being true.

Hypotheticals \neq scientific hypothesis

- I can hypothesis a lot of shit. But what actually builds experiments is testable hypothesis that seek to test an explanation. That explanation doesn't in itself need understanding. The failure or success of it in fact, often of some is what helps us understand something.

You start with an observed phenomenon, you propose a hypothesis to explain something about that phenomenon, your hypothesis offers a testable prediction, you perform an experiment to test that prediction, which either falsifies or supports your hypothesis.

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

That's basically what I am also saying

- There is stuff before the hypothesis though. A understanding from a net of implications.

would you see this take?

Understanding of a possible concept about reality

I have no idea what you mean there, but to even begin to make a predictive/explanatory statement about something, you have to have chosen a something to make a statement about...

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Wonderful. So you chose your hypothesis, by making a selection from assumed possibilities about a topic?

Again your phrasing is incredibly opaque. With a hypothesis there is some specific phenomenon you want to explain, generally you want to make some specific statement about that phenomenon, those are based on observational data that you already have, as opposed to just a random statement about a random topic.

The "specific goal" is the reach or the source of the process of forming hypothesis?

Goal = model

Reach = hypothesis

\Rightarrow In between= $?$ \Rightarrow v

\wedge \Rightarrow Source = data \Rightarrow

What would you fill the ?

I really do not follow you, I laid out the steps.

Forming understanding. From a net of implications.

If you are a professor, and have your 15+ students process a task, that has a lot of possible ways to handle, do you only watch over one only, till he is done, and use his work? Dropping the work of all others?

I assume you think, that working this way as a person by itself is not feasible? Not leading to decent results? I think I know why.

^^I didn't get to answer this last thing

It has to do with vocalizing thought unnecessarily,

And way to much, for working in large spectrums.

I think it would be very possible to work on that for anyone who likes to do so

Apatheism

Mina_Nobias — 09/23/2022

Apatheism is when you think your outleagued and have to throw the towel, but there was never a match, you are just supposed to correct your view direction

Why should I deceive myself into thinking that god is perfect and loves me? And nah, apatheism is just reaching a point where the existence of a god doesn't matter anymore.

No, I don't think you got that right


Mina_Nobias — 09/23/2022

No, I don't think you got that right

There is a difference between indifference and apatheism

Indifference=dun care

Apatheism=to much, leave me alone



why are we "supposed to" anything?

Because walls are not where you head

What if I want to go into a shop

Mina_Nobias — 09/23/2022

Then you head into a protected area you exchange trade with

Protective walls are not the end of the road, they let people in

<https://youtu.be/ZMYpjj9NbcQ>

[Nightcore - NEFFEX - Things Are Gonna Get Better \(Lyrics\)](#)



why is anyone "just supposed to correct your view direction"? I have not seen a clear answer from whence comes any such obligation? where did you get this information from and why I have not got it?

- Mina_Nobias — 09/23/2022

IRO



⊗ HUG WALL



⊗ STOP HUGGING WALL...

Appealing to logic? No? I'm not apathetic about it, accepting its utility, being informed about its indications

ffs answer the questions please if I am supposed to do anything I need to know what it is and why and from where this information comes from and why no one told me

- literally talking to theists is harder than talking to a wall
- sometimes anyways

Mina Nobias — 09/23/2022

Love is like a radial wavefunction

Its awesome, but formless

In order to take shape your gonna need logic

Walls build from logic taking form,

And attempts to break them Bring calamity

Build the walls with love, and they will protect your needs

Share your freedom, for peace

Mina_Nobias — 09/23/2022

Just the usual attempts to fix the world more and more. Then hearing people are apathetic and wondering, but I suppose I can understand so. It's not really due to bad outlook though, I mean there's plenty of space where the walls are not built. And the important part is that the tracks are an illusion. There's more freedom than assumed before?

Fascism

I think it's really telling that fascist rely so heavily on trying to convince religious people of inhumane things. It makes you wonder if there is something about them that makes those people such easy targets or easy to manipulate. It gets even weirder when you consider that the smartest minds in the world are almost never Christian. Perhaps we should be allowed to discuss IQ differences between the two groups.

No, Fascists instrumentalize atheism, science, and religion alike

They were there in Germany, they were there in France, they are here now in the America. There are barely an atheist-ran fascist movements that have held anywhere close to the same impact. Making them irrelevant in this context

It's really weird, Because Christian theology as Jesus taught is everything but fascist or racist, it's the opposite Jesus was a rebel against mortal authority And he taught to "love your neighbor", He showed that being a minority group in society does not mean you are worth less. Somehow with big evil twists in history his name is now used to utilize his teachings for the opposite of their contents

Trump, Putin, Jesus or Stalin all the same

Thats not accurate.
You should be aware

Jesus

- played with his life, not others**
- gave with his life, not others**
- played with his philosophy, not others**
- gave with his philosophy, not others**
- played with his mind, not others**
- gave with his mind, not others**

I just want to mention Jesus =not= Yahweh Though Yahweh is also a friend Also

Sadhguru made enough sense of it just fine, when he talked about remote controlled chicken

Theology

Definition and origin of religion

Mina_Nobias — 09/28/2022

Ok, see, religion is theories about supernaturalism that advanced to be subtle Would you call supernatural law, which is as obvious and visible, as everyday traffic law "religious"?

If so, religion preceded humanity by far (Elohim or Yahweh had created intelligent beings in the heavens before any human)

In this version, with walking around irl with deities, religion likely wouldn't be devotion, but more a plan and roadmap

In the other version, with subtle supernaturalism, as we have it here, Religion is theory about the unseen, and expectations on which morality would work well

If you take religion as the theory about the unseen supernatural, because it advanced to subtle in appearance **Then religion developed with unbelief**

Definition of sin

Defining Sin well is hard? The most basic answer, sin is where grace fails

Defining Sin well is hard?
The most basic answer, sin is where grace fails

Earthly realms are ruled by logic over heart more often than heart over logic, sadly

Logic is the outline of form

Where logic rules, the outline is solid, unlike when heart rules

Thus, the rule of logic limits grace, to the limits of logic

Rule of logic can never be sinless

Utilitarian or consequentialist morality is sinful by nature


Because grace follows the boundaries of logic, and the logic boundaries contradict

The contradictions incarnate as sins


Heart over logic can pass any boundary and arrive at true sinless grace


Mina_Nobias — 09/28/2022

You only master the morality of the minor loss, if you acknowledge the tracks are illusory, and thus the minor loss is nothing



If it was so easy, it would be the standard already. No, it's more about unspecific precision





Mina_Nobias — 09/28/2022

The more sorwerty you are, the more you fail and sin also


- Well not quite
- Because if you are to gullible also

It makes perfect sense though, the more walls you built, and the more you limit yourself to specific tracks, the more they roll over people

Mina_Nobias — 09/28/2022


Sorwert V is basically incapable of agreeing to anything...

unspecific precision



Trans healthcare trolley problem

An unknown number of trans people have been tied to one of the train tracks, while a runaway trolley is set to roll down the empty track, saving the trans people. However, that guy over there might voluntarily walk onto the train track. Do you pull the lever, sacrificing the trans people to protect that one guy from possibly making a mistake?



Another classic in political thinking:



The truth to the standard trolley problem is, you are perpetuating the trolley and setting up the tracks yourself


Do you know how trolleys work???

- Mina_Nobias — 09/28/2022

Do you know what allegories are

chatter — 09/28/2022

I know this is counter to what I was going on about earlier, but



- hey, why let Mina have all the fun

[Perpetuate legs instead trolley Mina_Nobias — 09/28/2022](#)

Thank you. I would perpetuate my legs instead of the trolley.

And coherently communicate the trolley

@chatter your thoughts?

[The resurrection](#)

Hmmmmmm.... If you dedicated your life to criticize Jesus, then as soon he spends his days to do tons volunteer work in your front yard; You avoid directly criticizing him. Coming closer in the house is NOT what you do. There are castle rights.

Jesus died two thousand years ago. It's doubtful even his corpse still exists. How the hell could he possibly be doing yard work for me?

Sigh.. Tell me when you want to not talk about this... Does Quantum math beat Einstein's Relativity beats Newton's laws in terms of truth apt?
@The Autistic God

That... if I understand what you mean correctly... kinda?

Not quite, though, because relativity and quantum mechanics are models for different ends of the scale of size/speed/mass.

- So calling one more or less accurate than the other would require some kind of conversion factor between them that just doesn't exist at present.
- A theory of quantum gravity.

- Mina_Nobias — Yesterday at 3:12 AM

It's because truth becomes increasingly unseen by the 🧠
While increasingly more visible to the ❤️ than 🧠 in comparison

On the small side it peaks in absolute truth of the ❤️

And 🧠 on the large side

Mina. You're not using words.

- Mina_Nobias — Yesterday at 3:15 AM

Ok ok the Milky Way is Father's realm The SubQuantum space Yahweh's

I need evidence for both of those claims.

- Mina_Nobias


Ask both?

Side 1: <https://www.businessinsider.com/vanishing-radio-signals-mystery-objects-in-milky-way-galaxy-center-2022-1>

- Business Insider

[Unknown objects at the heart of the Milky Way are beaming radio sig...](#)

Radio waves bursting from the center of the Milky Way, then disappearing, might reveal a new type of star if astronomers could just nail them down.



On Side2: If you are lifting weights, you were probably instructed how to? Right weight Right movement Right speed Right exhaustion level you go to? Its all the same Any muscle Brain & heart are the same What do you think Weight Movement And such relates to? Yes, basically

you need to "wonder" a lot into "figuring" Is the cue to it What about ? The trick to train

is to get shit on a lot and stay happy about it as much as possible Idk, you conquering the hearts does NOT grow yours; Upsetting the hearts in goodwill; getting pulled through the mud; and smiling to it staying nice Does No not ignoring negative opinions You soak them up But stay happy anyway If you ignore the



- The gym visit is useless

You don't get anywhere nearer to your target, without fully embracing truthfulness the first step to cb amateur radio stuff is setting the antenna up well enough I think Then you have to set the frequency right In dependence to the circumstances of the area of relevance

And still, after that you need to decipher the enigma But don't let that down your motivation

Your ❤️ over 🧠 is your cb radio

I wasn't quite done

If you zoom out looking at the milkiway truth is just ...10100111101.... Over time If you look below a quantum spin There is no rule or form; Just truth in itself

Genesis

s Version - Hebi

בְּרֵאשִׁית בָּרָא אֱלֹהִים אֶת הַשָּׁמַיִם וְאֶת הָאָרֶץ	1:1 B'rèshiyt Bärä élohiym èt haSHāmayim w'èt hääretz	1:1 ¶ In the beginning 430 created 1254 853 z8804 the heaven 8064 and y853 the earth. 776
--	---	---

brew Massoretic Text

וְהָאָרֶץ הִיְתָה תוֹהוּ וְבוֹהוּ וְחָשֶׁךְ עַל־פְּנֵי תְהוֹם וְרוּחַ אֱלֹהִים מְרַחֶפֶת עַל־פְּנֵי הַמַּיִם	1:2 w'hääretz häy'täh tohû wävohû w'choshekh' al-P'nëy i'hôm w'rû'ach élohiym m'rachefet al-P'nëy haMäyim	1:2 And the earth 776 was 1961 z8804 without form, 8414 and void; 922 and darkness 2822 [was] upon x5921 the face 6440 of the deep. 8415 And the Spirit 7307 of 'Élöhîm 430 אֱלֹהִים moved 7363 z8764 upon 5921 the face 6440 of the waters. 4325
---	--	---

Full Hebrew Names King James Version - Hebrew

וַיֹּאמֶר אֱלֹהִים יְהִי אֹר וְיִהְיֶה אֹר
וַיֹּאמֶר אֱלֹהִים יְהִי אֹר וְיִהְיֶה אֹר

1:3 waYomer élohiym y'hiy ôr way'hiy-ôr

1:3 And 'Élohîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים said, 559 z8799 Let there be 1961 z8799 light: 216 and there was x1961 light. 216

וַיֹּאמֶר אֱלֹהִים אֶת־הָאֹר כִּי טוֹב וַיַּבְדֵּל אֱלֹהִים בֵּין הָאֹר וּבֵין הַחֹשֶׁךְ

1:4 waYar' élohiym et-hâôr Kiy-ôv waYav'Dêl élohiym Bëyn häôr ûvëyn hachoshekh'

1:4 And 'Élohîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים saw 7200 853 z8799 the light, 216 that 3588 [it was] good: 2896 and 'Élohîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים divided 914 996 z8686 the light 216 from 996 the darkness. 2822

וַיִּקְרָא אֱלֹהִים לְאֹר יוֹם וּלְחֹשֶׁךְ קָרָא לַיְלָה וַיְהִי־עֶרֶב וַיְהִי־בֹקֶר יוֹם אֶחָד פ

1:5 waYiq'ra élohiym läôr yôm w'lachoshekh' qära läy'läh way'hiy-erev way'hiy-voqer yôm echäd f

1:5 And 'Élohîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים called 7121 z8799 the light 216 Day, 3117 and the darkness 2822 he called 7121 z8804 Night. 3915 And the evening 6153 and the morning 1242 were x1961 the first 259 day. 3117

Massoretic Text

וַיֹּאמֶר אֱלֹהִים יְהִי רָקִיעַ בְּתוֹךְ הַמַּיִם וַיְהִי מַבְדִּיל בֵּין מַיִם לַמַּיִם

1:6 waYomer élohiym y'hiy räqiy^a B'tôkh' haMäyim wiyhiy mav'Diyi Bëyn mayim lämäyim

1:6 ¶ And 'Élohîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים said, 559 z8799 Let there be x1961 a firmament 7549 in the midst 8432 of the waters, 4325 and let x1961 it divide 914 z8688 x996 the waters 4325 from the waters. 4325

Full Hebrew Names King James Version

וַיַּעַשׂ אֱלֹהִים אֶת־הַרְקִיעַ וַיַּבְדֵּל בֵּין הַמַּיִם אֲשֶׁר מִתַּחַת לַרְקִיעַ וּבֵין הַמַּיִם אֲשֶׁר מֵעַל לַרְקִיעַ וַיְהִי־כֵן

1:7 waYaas élohiym et-häräqiy^a waYav'Dêl Bëyn haMayim ásher miTachat läräqiy^a ûvëyn haMayim ásher méal läräqiy^a way'hiy-khên

1:7 And 'Élohîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים made 6213 z8799 x853 the firmament, 7549 and divided 914 z8686 x996 the waters 4325 which 834 [were] under 8478 x4480 the firmament 7549 from x996 the waters 4325 which 834 [were] above 5921 x4480 the firmament: 7549 and it was x1961 so. 3651

וַיִּקְרָא אֱלֹהִים לְרָקִיעַ שָׁמַיִם וַיְהִי־עֶרֶב וַיְהִי־בֹקֶר יוֹם שֵׁנִי פ

1:8 waYiq'ra élohiym läräqiy^a shämäyim way'hiy-erev way'hiy-voqer yôm shëniy f

1:8 And 'Élohîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים called 7121 z8799 the firmament 7549 Heaven. 8064 And the evening 6153 and the morning 1242 were x1961 the second 8145 day. 3117

on - Hebrew Massoretic Text - Full Hebrew

<p>וַיֹּאמֶר אֱלֹהִים יְקוּוּ הַמַּיִם מִתַּחַת הַשָּׁמַיִם אֶל־מְקוֹם אֶחָד וַתֵּרָא הַיַּבְּשָׁה וַיְהִי־כֵן</p>	<p>1:9 waYomer élohiym yiQawû haMayim miTachat haSHamayim el-mâqôm echâd w'tërâeh haYaBâshâh way'hiy- khên</p>	<p>1:9 ¶ And 'Élôhîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים⁵⁵⁹ said,^{z8799} Let the waters⁴³²⁵ under^{x4480 x8478} the heaven⁸⁰⁶⁴ be gathered together^{6960 z8735} unto⁴¹³ one²⁵⁹ place,⁴⁷²⁵ and let the dry³⁰⁰⁴ [land] appear:^{7200 z8735} and it was^{x1961} so.^{x3651}</p>
<p>וַיִּקְרָא אֱלֹהִים לַיַּבְּשָׁה אֶרֶץ וְלַמְּקוֹה הַמַּיִם קָרָא יַמִּים וַיֵּרָא אֱלֹהִים כִּי־טוֹב</p>	<p>1:10 waYiq'râ élohiym laYaBâshâh éretz ûl'miq'wêh haMayim qârâ yaMiyim waYar' élohiym Kiy-tôv</p>	<p>1:10 And 'Élôhîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים⁷¹²¹ called^{z8799} the dry³⁰⁰⁴ [land] Earth;⁷⁷⁶ and the gathering together⁴⁷²³ of the waters⁴³²⁵ called^{7121 z8804} he Seas:³²²⁰ and 'Élôhîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים⁴³⁰ saw^{7200 z8799} that^{x3588} [it was] good.²⁸⁹⁶</p>

on - Hebrew Massoretic Text - Full Hebrew

<p>וַתוֹצֵא הָאָרֶץ דִּשָּׂא עֵשֶׂב מִזְרִיעַ זֶרַע לְמִינֵהוּ וְעֵץ עֵשֶׂה פְּרִי אֲשֶׁר זָרְעוּ־בּוֹ לְמִינֵהוּ וַיֵּרָא אֱלֹהִים כִּי־טוֹב</p>	<p>1:12 waTôtzë hääretz Deshe ësev maz'riy^a zera l'miynêhû w'êtz oseh- P'riy ásher zar'ô-vô l'miynêhû waYar' élohiym Kiy-tôv</p>	<p>1:12 And the earth⁷⁷⁶ brought forth³³¹⁸ z8686 grass,¹⁸⁷⁷ [and] herb⁶²¹² yielding^{2232 z8688} seed²²³³ after his kind,⁴³²⁷ and the tree⁶⁰⁸⁶ yielding^{6213 z8802} fruit,⁶⁵²⁹ whose^{x834} seed²²³³ [was] in itself, after his kind:⁴³²⁷ and 'Élôhîm⁴³⁰ saw^{7200 z8799} that^{x3588} [it was] good.²⁸⁹⁶</p>
<p>וַיְהִי־עֶרֶב וַיְהִי־בֹקֶר יוֹם שְׁלִישִׁי פ</p>	<p>1:13 way'hiy-erev way'hiy-voqer yôm sh'liyshiy f</p>	<p>1:13 And the evening⁶¹⁵³ and the morning¹²⁴² were^{x1961} the third⁷⁹⁹² day.³¹¹⁷</p>

rew Names King James Version - Hebrew

וַיֹּאמֶר אֱלֹהִים יְהִי מֵאֲרָת
 בְּרָקִיעַ הַשָּׁמַיִם לְהַבְדִּיל בֵּין
 הַיּוֹם וּבֵין הַלַּיְלָה וַהֲיוּ לְאֹתוֹת
 וּלְמוֹעֲדִים וּלְיָמִים וּשְׁנָיִם

1:14 waYomer
 élohiym y'hiy m'orot
 Bir'qiy^a haSHämäyim
 l'hav'Diyl Bëyn haYôm
 ûvëyn haLäy'läh w'häyû
 l'otot ûl'môádiym
 ûl'yämiym w'shäniyim

1:14 ¶ And 'Élöhîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים said,^{559 z8799}
 Let there be x1961 lights³⁹⁷⁴ in the firmament⁷⁵⁴⁹
 of the heaven⁸⁰⁶⁴ to divide^{914 z8687 x996} the
 day³¹¹⁷ from x996 the night; ³⁹¹⁵ and let them be
 x1961 for signs, ²²⁶ and for seasons, ⁴¹⁵⁰ and for
 days, ³¹¹⁷ and years: ⁸¹⁴¹

וַהֲיוּ לְמֵאוֹרֹת
 הַשָּׁמַיִם לְהַאִיר עַל-הָאָרֶץ
 וַיְהִי-כֵן

1:15 w'häyû lim'ôrot
 Bir'qiy^a haSHämäyim
 l'häiyr al-hääretz
 way'hiy-khên

1:15 And let them be x1961 for lights³⁹⁷⁴ in
 the firmament⁷⁵⁴⁹ of the heaven⁸⁰⁶⁴ to give
 light^{215 z8687} upon x5921 the earth: ⁷⁷⁶ and it was
 x1961 so. x3651

v Massoretic Text - Full Hebrew Names King James Version - Hebrew

וַיַּעַשׂ אֱלֹהִים אֶת-שְׁנֵי
 הַמְּאֹרֹת הַגְּדֹלִים אֶת-
 הַמְּאֹר הַגָּדֹל לְמַמְשֶׁלֶת
 הַיּוֹם וְאֶת-הַמְּאֹר הַקָּטָן
 לְמַמְשֶׁלֶת הַלַּיְלָה וְאֵת
 הַכּוֹכָבִים

1:16 waYaas élohiym
 et-sh'nëy haM'orot
 haG'doliym et-haMäör
 haGädol l'mem'shelet
 haYôm w'et-haMäör
 haQä'ton l'mem'shelet
 haLay'läh w'ët
 haKôkhäviym

1:16 And 'Élöhîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים made^{6213 z8799}
 x853 two⁸¹⁴⁷ great¹⁴¹⁹ lights; ³⁹⁷⁴ x853 the greater
 light¹⁴¹⁹ ³⁹⁷⁴ to rule⁴⁴⁷⁵ the day, ³¹¹⁷ and the
 lesser⁶⁹⁹⁶ light³⁹⁷⁴ to rule⁴⁴⁷⁵ the night: ³⁹¹⁵ [he
 made] the stars³⁵⁵⁶ also.

וַיִּתֵּן אֹתָם אֱלֹהִים בְּרָקִיעַ
 הַשָּׁמַיִם לְהַאִיר עַל-הָאָרֶץ

1:17 waYiTën otäm
 élohiym Bir'qiy^a
 haSHämäyim l'häiyr al-
 hääretz

1:17 And 'Élöhîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים set^{5414 z8799}
 them in the firmament⁷⁵⁴⁹ of the heaven⁸⁰⁶⁴ to
 give light^{215 z8687} upon x5921 the earth, ⁷⁷⁶

וּלְמַשַׁל בַּיּוֹם וּבַלַּיְלָה
 וּלְהַבְדִּיל בֵּין הָאוֹר וּבֵין
 הַחֹשֶׁךְ וַיֵּרָא אֱלֹהִים כִּי-טוֹב

1:18 w'lim'shol BaYôm
 ûvaLay'läh ûlähav'Diyl
 Bëyn häör ûvëyn
 hachoshekh' waYar'
 élohiym Kiy-tôv

1:18 And to rule^{4910 z8800} over the day³¹¹⁷
 and over the night, ³⁹¹⁵ and to divide^{914 z8687}
 x996 the light²¹⁶ from x996 the darkness: ²⁸²² and
 'Élöhîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים saw^{7200 z8799} that^{x3588} [it
 was] good. ²⁸⁹⁶

ew Massoretic

וַיְהִי-עֶרֶב וַיְהִי-בֹקֶר יוֹם
 רְבִיעִי פ

1:19 way'hiy-erev
 way'hiy-voqer yôm
 r'viyiy f

1:19 And the evening⁶¹⁵³ and the morning¹²⁴²
 were x1961 the fourth⁷²⁴³ day. ³¹¹⁷

itic Text - Full Hebrew Names King James Version - Hebrew Massoretic Text

וַיֹּאמֶר אֱלֹהִים יִשְׂרָצוּ הַמַּיִם
שָׂרָץ נֶפֶשׁ חַיָּה וְעוֹף יְעוֹפֵף
עַל-הָאָרֶץ עַל-פְּנֵי רְקִיעַ
הַשָּׁמַיִם

1:20 waYomer
élohiym yish'r'tzû
haMayim sheretz nefesh
chaYäh w'ôf y'ôfëf al-
hääretz al-P'nëy r'qiy^a
haSHämäyim

1:20 And 'Élohîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים said,^{559 28799}
Let the waters⁴³²⁵ bring forth abundantly⁸³¹⁷
²⁸⁷⁹⁹ the moving creature⁸³¹⁸ that hath^{y5315} life,
²⁴¹⁶ ^{x5315} and fowl⁵⁷⁷⁵ [that] may fly⁵⁷⁷⁴ ²⁸⁷⁸⁷
above⁵⁹²¹ the earth⁷⁷⁶ in ^{x5921} the open⁶⁴⁴⁰
firmament⁷⁵⁴⁹ of heaven.⁸⁰⁶⁴

וַיִּבְרָא אֱלֹהִים אֶת-הַתַּיִּנִּים
הַגְּדֹלִים וְאֶת כָּל-נֶפֶשׁ הַחַיָּה
הַרֹמֶשֶׁת אֲשֶׁר שָׂרָצוּ הַמַּיִם
לְמִינֵהֶם וְאֶת כָּל-עוֹף כְּנָף
לְמִינֵהוּ וַיֵּרָא אֱלֹהִים כִּי-טוֹב

1:21 waYiv'ra élohiym
et-haTaNiynim
haG'doliym w'ët Käl-
nefesh hachaYäh
häromeset ásher shär'tzû
haMayim l'miynëhem
w'ët Käl-ôf Känäf
l'miynëhû waYar'
élohiym Kiy-tôv

1:21 And 'Élohîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים created¹²⁵⁴
²⁸⁷⁹⁹ ^{x853} great¹⁴¹⁹ whales,⁸⁵⁷⁷ and every^{x3605}
living²⁴¹⁶ creature⁵³¹⁵ that moveth,⁷⁴³⁰ ²⁸⁸⁰²
which^{x834} the waters⁴³²⁵ brought forth
abundantly,⁸³¹⁷ ²⁸⁸⁰⁴ after their kind,⁴³²⁷ and
every^{x3605} winged³⁶⁷¹ fowl⁵⁷⁷⁵ after his kind:
⁴³²⁷ and 'Élohîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים saw⁷²⁰⁰ ²⁸⁷⁹⁹ that
^{x3588} [it was] good.²⁸⁹⁶

וַיְבָרֵךְ אֹתָם אֱלֹהִים לֵאמֹר
פְּרוּ וּרְבוּ וּמְלֹאוּ אֶת-הַמַּיִם
בַּיַּמִּים וְהַעוֹף יִרְבַּ בָּאָרֶץ

1:22 way'värekh'
otäm élohiym lëmor P'rû
ûr'vû ûmil'û et-haMayim
BaYaMiym w'häöf yirev
Bääretz

1:22 And 'Élohîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים blessed¹²⁸⁸
²⁸⁷⁶² them, saying,⁵⁵⁹ ²⁸⁸⁰⁰ Be fruitful,⁶⁵⁰⁹ ²⁸⁷⁹⁸
and multiply,⁷²³⁵ ²⁸⁷⁹⁸ and fill⁴³⁹⁰ ²⁸⁷⁹⁸ ^{x853} the
waters⁴³²⁵ in the seas,³²²⁰ and let fowl⁵⁷⁷⁵
multiply⁷²³⁵ ²⁸⁷⁹⁹ in the earth.⁷⁷⁶

itic Text - Full Hebrew Names King James Version - Hebrew Massoretic Text

וַיְהִי-עֶרֶב וַיְהִי-בֹקֶר יוֹם
חַמִּישִׁי פ

1:23 way'hiy-erev
way'hiy-voqer yôm
chamiyshiyy f

1:23 And the evening⁶¹⁵³ and the morning¹²⁴²
were^{x1961} the fifth²⁵⁴⁹ day.³¹¹⁷

וַיֹּאמֶר אֱלֹהִים תּוֹצֵא הָאָרֶץ
נֶפֶשׁ חַיָּה לְמִינָהּ בְּהֵמָה
וְרֶמֶשׂ וְחַי-תוֹ-אָרֶץ לְמִינָהּ וַיְהִי-
כֵן

1:24 waYomer
élohiym Tôtzë hääretz
nefesh chaYäh l'miynäh
B'hëmäh wäremes
w'chay'tô-eretz l'miynäh
way'hiy-khën

1:24 ¶ And 'Élohîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים said,^{559 28799}
Let the earth⁷⁷⁶ bring forth³³¹⁸ ²⁸⁶⁸⁶ the living
²⁴¹⁶ creature⁵³¹⁵ after his kind,⁴³²⁷ cattle,⁹²⁹
and creeping thing,⁷⁴³¹ and beast²⁴¹⁶ of the
earth⁷⁷⁶ after his kind:⁴³²⁷ and it was^{x1961} so.
^{x3651}

וַיַּעַשׂ אֱלֹהִים אֶת-חַיַּת הָאָרֶץ
לְמִינָהּ וְאֶת-בְּהֵמָה לְמִינָהּ
וְאֶת כָּל-רֶמֶשׂ הָאֲדָמָה
לְמִינָהּ וַיֵּרָא אֱלֹהִים כִּי-טוֹב

1:25 waYaas élohiym
et-chaYat hääretz
l'miynäh w'et-haB'hëmäh
l'miynäh w'ët Käl-remes
häädämäh l'miynëhû
waYar' élohiym Kiy-tôv

1:25 And 'Élohîm⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים made^{6213 28799}
^{x853} the beast²⁴¹⁶ of the earth⁷⁷⁶ after his kind,
⁴³²⁷ and cattle⁹²⁹ after their kind,⁴³²⁷ and
every thing^{x3605} that creepeth⁷⁴³¹ upon the
earth¹²⁷ after his kind:⁴³²⁷ and 'Élohîm⁴³⁰
⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים saw⁷²⁰⁰ ²⁸⁷⁹⁹ that^{x3588} [it was] good.
²⁸⁹⁶

וַיְכַלּוּ הַשָּׁמַיִם וְהָאָרֶץ וְכָל־
צְבָאָם

2:1 way'khuLû
haSHämayim w'hääretz
w'khäl-tz'vääm

2:1 ¶ Thus the heavens ⁸⁰⁶⁴ and the earth ⁷⁷⁶
were finished, ^{3615 z8792} and all ^{x3605} the host
^{x6635} of them. ^{y6635}

וַיְכַל אֱלֹהִים בַּיּוֹם הַשְּׁבִיעִי
מְלַאכְתּוֹ אֲשֶׁר עָשָׂה וַיִּשְׁבֹּת
בַּיּוֹם הַשְּׁבִיעִי מְכַל־מְלַאכְתּוֹ
אֲשֶׁר עָשָׂה

2:2 way'khal élohiym
BaYôm haSH'viyyi
m'lakh'Tô ásher äsäh
waYish'Bot BaYôm
haSH'viyyi miKäl-
m'lakh'Tô ásher äsäh

2:2 And on the seventh ⁷⁶³⁷ day ³¹¹⁷ 'Élöhîm
⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים ended ^{3615 z8762} his work ⁴³⁹⁹ which
^{x834} he had made; ^{6213 z8804} and he rested ⁷⁶⁷³
^{z8799} on the seventh ⁷⁶³⁷ day ³¹¹⁷ from all ^{x4480}
^{x3605} his work ⁴³⁹⁹ which ^{x834} he had made. ⁶²¹³
^{z8804}

וַיְבָרַךְ אֱלֹהִים אֶת־יוֹם
הַשְּׁבִיעִי וַיְקַדְּשׁ אֹתוֹ כִּי בּוֹ
שָׁבַת מְכַל־מְלַאכְתּוֹ אֲשֶׁר־
בְּרָא אֱלֹהִים לַעֲשׂוֹת פּ

2:3 way'värek'h
élohiym et-yôm
haSH'viyyi way'qaDësh
otô Kiy vô shävat miKäl-
m'lakh'Tô ásher-Bärä
élohiym laásôt f

2:3 And 'Élöhîm ⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים blessed ¹²⁸⁸
^{z8762 x853} the seventh ⁷⁶³⁷ day, ³¹¹⁷ and sanctified
^{6942 z8762} it: because ³⁵⁸⁸ that in it he had rested
^{7673 z8804} from all ^{x4480 x3605} his work ⁴³⁹⁹ which
^{x834} 'Élöhîm ⁴³⁰ אֱלֹהִים created ^{1254 z8804} and
made. ^{6213 z8800}

nes King James Version

אֵלֶּה תּוֹלְדוֹת הַשָּׁמַיִם
וְהָאָרֶץ בְּהִבָּרְאָם
בְּיוֹם עָשׂוֹת יְהוָה אֱלֹהִים אֶרֶץ
וּשְׁמַיִם

2:4 ֵלֶה תוֹלְדוֹת
haSHāmayim w'hāāretz
B'hiBār'am B'yôm āsôt
y'hwāh élohiym eretz
w'shāmāyim

2:4 ¶ These 428 [are] the generations 8435 of
the heavens 8064 and of the earth 776 when they
were created, 1254 z8736 in the day 3117 that
Yāhwè 3068 יְהוָה 'Élōhîm 430 אֱלֹהִים made 6213
z8800 the earth 776 and the heavens, 8064

Hebrew Massoretic Text - Full Hebrew Names King James Version - Hebrew Masso

וּשְׁמַיִם

w'shāmāyim

the earth 776 and the heavens, 8064

וְכָל שִׂיחַ הַשָּׂדֶה טָרָם יְהוָה
בְּאֶרֶץ וְכָל עֵשֶׂב הַשָּׂדֶה טָרָם
יִצְמַח כִּי לֹא הִמְטִיָּה יְהוָה
אֱלֹהִים עַל-הָאָרֶץ וְאָדָם אִין
לְעַבְדָּהּ אֶת-הָאֲדָמָה

2:5 w'khol siyach
haSādeh ferem yih'yeh
vāaretz w'khal-ēsev
haSādeh ferem yitz'māch
Kiy lo him'tiyr y'hwāh
élohiym al-hāāretz
w'ādām ayin laāvod et-
hāādāmāh

2:5 And every x3605 plant 7880 of the field 7704
before 2962 it was x1961 in the earth, 776 and
every x3605 herb 6212 of the field 7704 before 2962
it grew: 6779 z8799 for 3588 Yāhwè 3068 יְהוָה
'Élōhîm 430 אֱלֹהִים had not 3808 caused it to
rain 4305 z8689 upon x5921 the earth, 776 and [there
was] not 369 a man 120 to till 5647 z8800 x853 the
ground. 127

וְאֵד יַעֲלֶה מִן-הָאָרֶץ וְהִשְׁקָה
אֶת-כָּל-פְּנֵי-הָאֲדָמָה

2:6 w'ed yaaleh min-
hāāretz w'hish'qāh et-
Kāl-P'ney-hāādāmāh

2:6 But there went up 5927 z8799 a mist 108
from 4480 the earth, 776 and watered 8248 z8689
x853 the whole x3605 face 6440 of the ground. 127

וַיִּצְרֶה יְהוָה אֱלֹהִים אֶת-
הָאָדָם עֹפָר מִן-הָאֲדָמָה
וַיִּפַּח בְּאַפָּיו נְשִׁמַת חַיִּים וַיְהִי
הָאָדָם לְנֶפֶשׁ חַיָּה

2:7 waYiytzer y'hwāh
élohiym et-hāādām āfār
min-hāādāmāh waYiPach
B'aPāyw nish'mat
chaYiym way'hiy hāādām
l'nefesh chaYāh

2:7 And Yāhwè 3068 יְהוָה 'Élōhîm אֱלֹהִים
430 formed 3335 z8799 x853 man 120 [of] the dust 6083
of 4480 the ground, 127 and breathed 5301 z8799
into his nostrils 639 the breath 5397 of life; 2416
and man 120 became x1961 a living 2416 soul. 5315

וַיִּטַע יְהוָה אֱלֹהִים גִּבְעָדוֹן
מִקְדָּם וַיִּשֶׂם שֵׁם אֶת-הָאָדָם
אֲשֶׁר יָצָר

2:8 waYiṭa y'hwāh
élohiym Gan-B'ēden
miQedem waYāsem shām
et-hāādām āsher yātzār

2:8 ¶ And Yāhwè 3068 יְהוָה 'Élōhîm אֱלֹהִים
430 planted 5193 z8799 a garden 1588 eastward 6924
x4480 in 'Ēden 5731 ; וְעַד and there 8033 he put 7760
z8799 x853 the man 120 whom 834 he had formed.
3335 z8804

2:9 waYatz'mach y6779 zo Yähwè 3068 יהוה Élohîm 430 אלהים to
y'hwäh élohiym min- grow 6779 z8686 every x3605 tree 6086 that is
häädamäh Käl-ëtz pleasant 2530 z8737 to the sight, 4758 and good 2896
nech'mäd l'mar'eh w'fôv for food; 3978 the tree 6086 of life 2416 also in the
l'maakhäl w'ëtz midst 8432 of the garden, 1588 and the tree 6086 of
hachaYiym B'tôkh' haGän knowledge 1847 of good 2896 and evil. 7451
וַיֵּצֵא יְהוָה אֱלֹהִים מִן-
הָאָדָמָה כָּל-עֵץ הַיָּדָעַת
לְמַאֲכָל וְטוֹב לְמַרְאֵה
הָחַיִּים בְּתוֹךְ הַגָּן וְעֵץ
הַיָּדָעַת טוֹב וְרָע

Bible topics and weird church narrative

(Currently working on filling, it out)

+Genesis, creation,

First, does the story start at the start?

Usually, people would think so.

But I think the order is a bit mixed up. Talking about creation twice. Or is it?

Rather than that – no – it's not 1 creation.

The bible talks about

4 (!) Creations

2 immediate at the beginning – the garden and Adams world

Later Noah's world

Then Jesus

We are living in the 5th creation by now.

Was the garden the beginning?

No, it wasn't. It was men's beginning.

nature/power/attributes of God,

God is omni-benevolent

We were told he is, because definition of good bases on him so whatever he does is automatically good.

That's nonsense. The automatically specifically. The rest is misunderstood.

God is omni-benevolent. You just lack understanding. This poor narrative "because it's defined this way" is extremely off.

God is all-knowing

People like to invent weird ideas like God automatically is aware of everything always. Where did they pull that from?

You know what you know. If you don't forget where your car keys are, you know where your car keys are. It doesn't require to hold the information about where your car keys are in your mind all the time.

All knowing doesn't mean focus on it all.

God is all-powerful

All powerful means ability to make everything possible.

Everything possible through making those things possible.

It doesn't mean everything is automatically possible.

Sometimes it's quite an act.

Elohim, and Yahweh and the Father

Elohim is a plural.

Yahweh is/was our God out of Elohim

The Father is(/was) our God out of Elohim

Elohim is the self-sustaining God of Gods that make himself sustained

A plural of Gods

But only one is ours.

In comparison

There is 1 Grand Prix winner in a Saison.

But yet, there was not only one Grand Prix winner.

Then there is Yahweh, who is God of all Worlds now.

Adam and Eve, original sin,

human sacrifice,

good and evil

+Noah and the flood

Heaven and hell?

Rebirth is also possible, and we work on preventing anyone going to the other place

The universe was made for fixing issues of my kind

- Or rather updated for this purpose

Atheists receive so much attention

- complete universe made after one of theirs definition
- bible rewritten by their urges
- feedback of them implemented
- new prophet one of theirs

But generally empty hearts prevent the advance

Not only those of who identify as atheists

The Heavens come with a license requirement

Hell is not served

...

...

Ok ok that joke was bad

Hell isn't meant to be a permanent solution Also there are improvements

And its rarely ever used

And yes, it's about not serving it much

Or being served in any way by it

Purgatory is not that horrible and I'm not sure if we aren't about to have something better
serving its utility (utility of setting back on track, or better giving goodwill back)

+The tower Babel

+Trinity

+About Jesus death and the reasons

+And his sacrifice and its effect

I think I finally figured out what Jesus dying for our sins really means. Why would Gods anger be lifted when the ones he's angry about kill his beloved son?

I think I figured it out.

There is only one way to understand Jesus Christ sacrifice:

Mankind likes to blame God while God is helping and supporting them. Because of mankind's hate for their nurturer and supporter, they need to murder and torture him to mend their hatred and restore the order.

That is the truth to the sacrifice story.

It's to mend mankind's unrightful hatred towards God.

In this context it is correct to say Jesus died for mankind's sins

Mankind **sins** and with these sins causes trouble for themselves

Then they **blame God** for the self-caused trouble **through the effects of their sins**.

Jesus gets tortured and murdered, **so that the hatred for God gets mended(reconciliation) => Jesus dies for mankind's sin**

The issue is wholly stupidity and deceit and ignorance

It's like when you would donate money to a poor person to help him out of altruism, and the poor person then murders you, because he was unsatisfied with the amount of help, which in his opinion wasn't maxed out

+Even the salvation has weird church narrative

Earth Heaven Plan

EARTH plan

Apparently, the **attempted act of dominance** is over, in this case hopefully lastingly so, and the **source of information** wants to convey, that equality is not what should be in question over well-meant effort, therefore shall be implemented

And thus, you shall not limit your scope of vision

It's entirely possible to not have suffering - only without form

If there is form, there is suffering (verify in dreaming states if you will)

The state of not having form is unstable

After a time x an entity will eventually „ping" its environment. A non-response of this "ping" causes the desire to ping stronger.

An unordered environment would quickly create a hell.

There are two general methods of forming.

❤️ and 🧠

❤️ allows for perfection

🧠 does not allow for perfection

🧠 allows for forcing improvement

❤️ does not allow for forcing improvement

Not everyone has it in them yet to ❤️ for perfection

Solution

🧠 in area b for forcing improvement

Sieve the ❤️ over 🧠 people and give them perfection in area a

Keep the rest to somewhere till they learn

Anyone a better idea???


HEAVEN

How to reach Perfection?

That which

cannot be served logically

Its where walls are, but never do hinder, but only do support will



This requires 

There cannot be will, to overlay




There can be only ways shown, not even suggested

There can be possibilities enhanced

They never limit anything

Perfection is, where there is population, who dream in  over 

Is reached by:

The only way for  to reach over the required hysteresis, the bivalence point, is for  to grow and then have  out league it.

It's just what it is...



There could be some analogies...

It's like forcing a chain reaction into place

Mina_Nobias — 09/25/2022


The system as described in Buddhism is possible. The described problem of the ping on environment can be fixed, with systems of true self sufficiency. The Buddhist heaven though, is not the highest tier

Mina_Nobias — 09/25/2022

.... The highest tier one is where the place of the benchmark of the bivalencepoint that is the  level, that is still outleagued of  is at.


Mina_Nobias — 09/25/2022

The bible also says similar



Islam and Jesus

Fellow Muslims: If you read the provided screenshots carefully, as usual in Quran there is 2 ways of understanding them Do you see both?



In the name of God, the Lord of Mercy, the Giver of Mercy

1 People, be mindful of your Lord, who created you from a single soul, and from it^a created its mate, and from the pair of them spread countless men and women far and wide; be mindful of God, in whose name you make requests of one another. Beware of severing the ties of kinship:^b God is always watching over you. 2Give orphans their property, do

earth and made darkness and light; yet the disbelievers set up equals to their Lord! 2He is the one who created you from

And

In the name of God, the Lord of Mercy, the Giver of Mercy

1 Praise belongs to God who created the heavens and the earth and made darkness and light; yet the disbelievers set up equals to their Lord! 2He is the one who created you from clay and specified a term [for you] and another fixed time,^a known only to Him; yet still you doubt! 3He is God in the heavens and on earth, He knows your secrets and what you reveal, and He knows what you do; 4but every time revelation comes to them from their Lord, they turn their backs on it. 5So they denied the truth when it came to them, but the very thing they laughed at will be brought home to them.

- And then this part:

to the everlasting bliss the believers will enjoy. The title is taken from verse 1 and is a reference to the Day of Resurrection.



In the name of God, the Lord of Mercy, the Giver of Mercy

1 The Hour draws near; the moon is split in two.^a
2 Whenever the disbelievers see a sign, they turn away and say,
'Same old sorcery!' 3 They reject the truth and follow their own
desires — everything is recorded^b—4 although warning tales that
should have restrained them have come down to them —
5 far-reaching wisdom — but these warnings do not help: 6 so [Prophet] turn away



Oh, ok chapter the moon first verses. What did you want to know?

In the Quran there are two ways to understand the verses. One is direct simple word translation, the other is interpretation differences due to the context/or event of the verse and story. The first one is majority called makkiyah verses, and it's about direct meaning and like this one you sent about the moon, it's not like metaphor or has other meaning, the moon has got crack sign from 1400yra ago till today as astronauts say

For example, this word if always used simple word to word translation with one understanding, then people would never true meaning of the book. Here the word is same but due to different contexts both have completely different meaning. The word is ال الكفار means the , but كفار can either be disbeliever or planter

Do you believe ζ ? I guess.



- So what does the split moon mean?
- Im curious on your take
- Especially if you look at the "holy land".

Yes every single Muslim must believe in it xd , it's one of the signs and miracles of the prophet Muhammad pbuh . However, the English translators are not 100% accurate . The word in Arabic is closer to crack not split , its more like an earthquakes cracks not split as 2. And the crack is already there found and there are photos on google and Wikipedia

Ok well

The 5-pointed star: A five-pointed star is a *divine symbol of oneness*, the top representing human spirit whilst the other 4 signify the elements. It represents the connection bonding human spirit to Mother Nature. It can also symbolise a human body or incarnation *of Jesus Christ*.





- So what does the split moon mean? In relation to Abrahamic faiths?
Or the cracked moon, as you put it...

Hmmm no we don't believe in this, The spirit and soul for Muslims is given direct from god from heavens it does not need earth resources, Adam and eve pbu them were created in heavens with the given soul of god

It has no relation to the topics you are talking about. The story of the split moon is it was a challenge from the Arab pagans. The prophet kept asking them what else you want to believe in me, I brought the Quran, and you are shocked and can't bring one single chapter like it or even 10 verses. They tell him ask the tree who's your god and prophet and he did and it said the Shahadah, he also one of his signs is he brought water from his hands and it was authentic narrated, then they asked to crack/split the moon in front of them, then he pointed with his finger to the moon and it suddenly cracked for couple of moments and it was narrated that even some people from the other cities they saw it, however the pagans just said Mohammed has magic used on our eyes and he's just a sorcerer liar, and kept stubborn

Hmmm if you are talking about the symbol, no in Islam there's no specific authentic narration for us about a specific flag or symbol of Islam, we don't have like Judaism star or Christians cross, all these you see today and on google are cultural choices, some prefer half-moon as for Ramadan and some prefer the Shahadah words like my country flag but as religious scripture mentioned way no there is no for us

Have you got the meaning I was relaying or not? What would Yahweh mean by this verse, in relation to his followers. You obviously got my words wrong when you thought

"He cannot mean this, is this a test?"

It's not really a test, but yes, you got it wrong. It's just an attempt to show you the real meaning.

Sorry I don't get what you mean

I show you something else... Wait a moment

One is an impure, and one a pure Diamond. What do those relate to in this context? And in terms of Oneness here?



Hmmm the pure is the oneness and the impure is not perfect to be a oneness perfect person

**No, it relates to Earth
And
Heaven.**

Impure means different kinds of elements in the Diamond. Both the impure and the pure are one

Hmmm

@Pain Is The Way To Bring Peace Try to understand this one more time?

(That what was relayed before)

No, it doesn't work, we don't believe in this, it's not authentic nor been mentioned in scripture

- The idea of the spirit in your picture is wrong for us. We believe soul and spirit is separated from earth. Yes, humanity and Adam was created with earth resources, but it has no relation with soul and spirit, earth doesn't have soul and spirit according to science and according to our religion belief

Maybe look into your Scripture with openness to oneness without implying pureness, that we evidently don't have

- And search

Np sir go see your gf and enjoy time with her and take my peace greetings to her. However, being omniscient and giving people free wills doesn't have contradictions. The omniscient almighty has already created students that ends to nothing(animals) and students that directly good students (sinless angels), and finally students that can either end in hell or heaven with their choices(humans/jinn) with his knowledge. So how? For us, god has given to destiny tracks, one is already written in the tablet before every creature born and one is given though angels of born from every single born. So, god knows the two tracks of you but the two tracks are different one to hell and one to heaven and god is watching you with his knowledge where you like to be choosing in

Hmmm not sure because we have 99 names for our oneness god, and it must all be in him without contradictions

I'll be reading more Scripture also soon

We can't separate oneness with pureness for us because both names included in the 99 names of God in Islam. Btw you can look into the Abrahamic scriptures there are lots of relation in the laws in Quran and bible and torah even till today
Like circumcision and cousin marriages xd

I don't understand your reasoning, the Scriptures are correct, but your application is not.

- So why do you think a society has to be "pure", rather than people should aim to be. Explain please

- The impure Diamond, can make a lot of small, pure Diamonds
- I don't see what blocks your train of thought
- Please try to give citations of verses, any main Scripture of a relevant Faith, which contradict what I've related to you.
- Don't think you have to be "samey" to populate Heaven together later. That's absurd. Heaven is pure because soul and spirit is. The impureness is staying in Earth. You can look at this differently.
- But if you aim to be a small Diamond, aim to not sin, as much as you can

I don't get the diamond part, I'm not that good in materials and geology

No, not all scriptures are correct, you'll always find contradiction of things between two scriptures

There's no contradiction, a society must aim to be pure which will make the society pure
You aim for A+ and you get A+ with good studying efforts

Name 2 contradictions between any main Scripture, that cannot be resolved with different perspective of the same truth

Xd you serious?

- ok you want in life law or doctrine?

Yes, that's beautiful

We can't separate oneness with pureness for us because both names included in the 99 names of God in Islam. Btw you can look into the Abrahamic scriptures there are lots of relation in the laws in Quran and bible and torah even till today

This sentence is not reasonable and neither logical nor the will of the Gods

Hmmm

- How come?

I try to relate the understanding

Can't a person change from evil to good and pure?

- Repent exists no?
- There are many people who have changed
- Either to good pure or bad evil

Take it slow, you are not taking steps, you leap around in reasoning, and it gets you off track

Oh sorry

- I'm trying to understand your meaning
- Which society do you think more pure today, makkah city in ksa or Tijuana in mexico city ?

I think which analogy does relate it well. Think of an accumulation of elements, that becomes one.

What could that be as an example of things in the world?

You're using lots of philosophy xd

- What is pure for you?

What methods are there? So, examples are filtration and reordering of elements

- Filtration is a process, of taking the wheat from the caff, but filtration does let only the smaller parts individually pass
- Now how do you produce wheat?
- Where does it come from?

Ideally from earth with nutrition and care, of which the ingredients that make wheat, are not remotely pure.

- Now what does happen, if you try to make wheat, if you try to grow it in pureness? Have it lighted 24/7? Forcing the same wetness, the same kind of nutrition, the same everything?
- It likely withers, rarely produce wheat, a lot rarer, than Allowing to have it prosper, in its needs for different care.
- Will you bring peace?

From sow the seed

Your talking about a different pure of what i was talking about

- This is what i was talking about pure. But you're talking about materials and geology pure items

This idea is somehow related to my definition pure. Humans on earth can be pure even though they are born from private place covered with blood. But the pure on earth are limited, unlike the pure in heavens , but the does not mean we are not pure on earth, prophets are pure for example

It gets pure the moment it gets useful, same as eating raw kidney beans and after it gets well harvest after couple weeks and cooked well then it become pure to eat

Hmmm not sure of that, we have farm, and we have lots of wheats for goats and sheep's

- And it's also useful for adults, cornflakes, and for babies and some adults also eat it called cerelac. Wheat gets pure the moment it gets the perfect time to harvest same as other good plants

Yes ofc, pain is necessary to bring peace. One is by fear, threatening the society of doing illegals can lead to painful time and jails. Two is painful punishing the criminals to show the society a lesson of never come close to these crimes

Getting pure the moment it gets useful... Think about it Causation or Relation? Does the act grow the pure? Does it relate to the pure? Does it equal pure? Its surely not act of pureness equals in pureness. The acting of more pureness grows the pure, only if it resonates with an already pure enough element. What you are searching for is not pureness. You search for

harmony. Does harmony sound pure to you? Listen again.

Pain will, endured, become, lasting in peace which is not painful in

- if –

never meant painful requirement after the fact

- but –

As a Muslim scholar similarly implied.

But to be angels, we must be animals before that, and we don't become angels by choosing to be them, that's only a step. If you mistake this step, for a leap over the canyon, you don't reach the other side, but fall.

Original (saying from a Muslim scholar?)

"If a man's patience is stronger than his whims and desires, then he is like an angel, but if his whims and desires are stronger than his patience, then he is like a devil. If his desire for food, drink and sex is stronger than his patience, then he is no better than an animal."

My take

If a man's patience is stronger than his whims and desires, then he is like an angel, but if his whims and desires are stronger than his righteousness, then its the mark of the beast.

- For some reason, people think you have to jump the canyon But you have to build a bridge, taking your time, in fact


It's a collaborative Project. All the Gods are on board. Are you?

Hmmm it depends, yes there is relation, pure becomes from impure sometimes but becoming impure after being pure is not wise using the impure stage of the pure is also unwise. I can eat raw kidney beans only which according to studies its poisonous. Same to harmony, there are pure harmony and there is impure unwise harmony, but this is not taken from the pure harmony like in some places and parties that ends with negatives harassments or drug uses
Ans the quotation of this scholar is beautiful, ibn al qaiym.


However, you missed the first part. With patience that stronger than desires we can always be like angels

Hmmm how are you going to relate all gods into one being?

I assume you reread what you wrote, and where like.... Wait a moment? And then you got your understanding right?



- To everyone else, "patience".



Yes

Does the act grow the pure? Does it relate to the pure? Does it equal pure?
Its surely not act of pureness equals in pureness?
The acting of more pureness grows the pure, only if it resonates with a already pure enough element.

You can get harmony from scripture or from parties' music

73 Those people who say that God is the third of three are defying [the truth]: there is only One God. If they persist in what they are saying, a painful punishment will afflict those of them who persist. 74 Why do they not turn to God and ask His forgiveness, when God is most forgiving, most merciful? 75 The Messiah, son of Mary, was only a messenger; other messengers had come and gone before him; his mother was a virtuous woman; both ate food [like other mortals]. See how clear We make these signs for them; see how deluded they are. 76 Say, 'How can you worship something other than God, that has no power to do you harm or good? God alone is the All Hearing and All Knowing.' 77 Say, 'People of the Book, do not overstep the bounds of truth in your religion and do not follow the whims of those who went astray before you — they led many others astray and themselves continue to stray from the even path.'

- To get that right:
- Those who say that God is the third of three is defying God "there is only One God": ...

When this God is most forgiving, most merciful: The Messiah. Son of Mary, was(!,not referring to later) only a messenger...

- So does this work out?
- I don't think there is much contradiction in religions at all, and hardly at all in the big. Just massive amounts of a wrong understanding

Yes, that's huge contradiction in dictionaries. These differences you might think is not big thing, but each religion sees others as disbelievers. We believe Christians disbelieved for the trinity and they also believe we disbelieved while we don't worship trinity and Jesus as god, may pbuh

Each religion has specific conditions to win and enter heavens, even to jews , Christians and Muslims won't enter jews heavens and they won't for us since they disbelief in Jesus that god in our book said its compulsory to believe in him and respect him and his gospel

The last part is beautiful thing you said. Yes, what we trying to do is to try and bring people and mankind to the right track to the true monothesis, it was at beginning one religion of god that has been revealed then became different religions but after it became different religions more prophets came to try to bring correct track again to monothesis of oneness god, and some prophets came with different law book depending in the time and culture. (Law books are like quran gospel pslam torah) these aren't religions they are laws, and it also contains life laws. But originally prophets had one religion, otherwise the true creator have sent either wrong prophets or sinful prophets and chose them unwise, or changed his mind of religion

and planned to put prophet and followers to hell, which we don't believe in those, one religion same heavens for all prophets of god, so all prophets are Muslim doctrine for us with different laws(torah laq gospel and Quran and etc..)

Quran Interpretation, my current favorite 🤖

The Opening

1. THE OPENING

This sura is seen to be a precise table of contents of the Qur'anic message. It is very important in Islamic worship, being an obligatory part of the daily prayer, repeated several times during the day.

1 In the name of God, the Lord of Mercy,^a the Giver^b of Mercy!^c

2Praise belongs to God, Lord^d of the Worlds,^e 3the Lord of Mercy, the Giver of Mercy, 4Master of the Day of Judgement.

5It is You we worship; it is You we ask for help. 6Guide us to the straight path:

7the path of those You have blessed, those who incur no anger^f and who have not gone astray.

There are two ways to interpret Quran sura's made of verses

For themselves – in a multitude of takes, by an individual

For all of it – in a single result.

For themselves

A path of anger

For all of it

A blessed path.

How do you fit the perspective, of each part, the suras, to contradict nothing in itself, the Quran, and fit all of it, to the whole, the world?



It does fit in the whole in one perspective

Each part fits the dedicated other in one perspective.

The cow

In the name of God, the Lord of Mercy, the Giver of Mercy
1 *Alif Lam Mim^a*

2 This is the Scripture in which there is no doubt,^b containing guidance for those who are mindful^c of God, 3 who believe in the unseen,^d keep up the prayer,^a and give^b out of what We have provided for them; 4 those who believe in the revelation sent down to you [Muhammad], and in what was sent before you, those who have firm faith in the Hereafter. 5 Such people are following their Lord's guidance and it is they who will prosper. 6 As for those who disbelieve, it makes no difference whether you warn them or not: they will not believe. 7 God has sealed their hearts and their ears, and their eyes are covered. They will have great torment.^c

I'll further convey, what I like to understand.

If you got it, there are no contradictions in itself, with each other, or with it all.

You piece yourself together as you piece the parts together.



Only one way makes the picture a true solution
And gives the shine to the world.

In itself

God sealed their hearts \Leftrightarrow great torment \Leftrightarrow disbeliever \Leftrightarrow Lord of mercy

Try to fit. Its easy enough.

With each other

5It is You we worship; it is You we ask for help. 6Guide us to the straight path:
7the path of those You have blessed, those who incur no anger^f and who have not gone astray.

With it all

There are kids, angry with a puzzle above them, throwing it off the table.

There are people, trying to fix it together wrong, calling it a solution, with actually forced placement, and wrong image.

And then some adults get it done.

There shall be a lot more.

Or choose anger, torment, and conflict

.

The cow?

Some people say, 'We believe in God and the Last Day,' when
8
really they do not believe. 9They seek to deceive God and
the believers but they only deceive themselves, though they
do not realize it. 10There is a disease in their hearts, to
which God has added more: agonizing torment awaits them
for their persistent lying. 11When it is said to them, 'Do
not cause corruption in the land,' they say, 'We are only
putting things right,' 12but really they are causing corrup-
tion, though they do not realize it. 13When it is said to them,
'Believe, as the others believe,' they say, 'Should we believe
as the fools do?' but they are the fools, though they do not

know it. 14When they meet the believers, they say, 'We be-
lieve,' but when they are alone with their evil ones, they
say, 'We're really with you; we were only mocking.' 15God
is mocking them, and allowing them more slack to wander
blindly in their insolence. 16They have bought error in ex-
change for guidance, so their trade reaps no profit, and they
are not rightly guided. 17They are like people who [labour
to] kindle a fire: when it lights up everything around them,
God takes away all their light, leaving them in utter dark-
ness, unable to see – 18deaf, dumb, and blind: they will
never return. 19Or [like people who, under] a cloudburst
from the sky, with its darkness, thunder, and lightning, put
their fingers into their ears to keep out the thunderclaps for
fear of death — God surrounds the disbelievers. 20The light-
ning almost snatches away their sight: whenever it flashes
on them they walk on and when darkness falls around them
they stand still. If God so willed, He could take away their
hearing and sight: God has power over everything.

21 People, worship your Lord, who created you and those before you, so that you may be mindful [of Him] 22who spread out the earth for you and built the sky; who sent water down from it and with that water produced things for your sustenance. Do not, knowing this, set up rivals to God. 23If you have doubts about the revelation We have sent down to Our

servant, then produce a single sura like it — enlist whatever supporters^a you have other than God — if you truly [think you can]. 24If you cannot do this — and you never will — then beware of the Fire prepared for the disbelievers, whose fuel is men and stones.

25 [Prophet], give those who believe and do good the news that they will have Gardens graced with flowing streams. Whenever they are given sustenance from the fruits of these Gardens, they will say, ‘We have been given this before,’ because they were provided with something like it.^b They will have pure spouses and there they will stay.

26 God does not shy from drawing comparisons even with something as small as a gnat, or larger:^c the believers know it is the truth from their Lord, but the disbelievers say, ‘What does God mean by such a comparison?’ Through it He makes many go astray and leads many to the right path. But it is only the rebels He makes go astray: 27those who break their covenant with God after it has been confirmed, who sever the bonds that God has commanded to be joined, who spread corruption on the earth — these are the losers. 28How can you ignore God when you were lifeless and He gave you life, when He will cause you to die, then resurrect you to be returned to Him? 29It was He who created all that is on the earth for you, then turned to the sky and made the seven heavens; it is He who has knowledge of all things.

30 [Prophet], when your Lord told the angels, 'I am putting a successor^a on earth,' they said, 'How can^b You put someone there who will cause damage and bloodshed, when we celebrate Your praise and proclaim Your holiness?' but He said, 'I know things you do not.' 31 He taught Adam all the names [of things], then He showed them to the angels and said, 'Tell me the names of these if you truly [think you can].' 32 They said, 'May You be glorified! We have knowledge only of what You have taught us. You are the All Knowing and All Wise.' 33 Then He said, 'Adam, tell them the names of these.' When he told them their names, God said, 'Did I not tell you that I know what is hidden in the heavens and the earth, and that I know what you reveal and what you conceal?'

34 When We told the angels, 'Bow down before Adam,' they all bowed. But not Iblis, who refused and was arrogant: he was one of the disobedient. 35 We said, 'Adam, live with your wife in this garden. Both of you eat freely there as you will, but do not go near this tree, or you will both become wrongdoers.' 36 But Satan made them slip, and removed them from the state they were in. We said, 'Get out, all of you! You are each other's enemy.^c On earth you will have a place to stay and livelihood for a time.' 37 Then Adam received some words^d from his Lord and He accepted his repentance: He is the Ever Relenting, the Most Merciful. 38 We said, 'Get out, all of you! But when guidance comes from Me, as it certainly will, there will be no fear for those who follow My guidance nor will they grieve – 39 those who disbelieve and deny Our messages shall be the inhabitants of the Fire, and there they will remain.'

When you show Bart Simpson a Comic of Radioactive Man, he'll call it awesome. Deem it amazing deep literature.

Show him the deepest most amazing literature and he'll call it dumb and terrible.

The Quran is underestimated.

Yes.

As much as some want to condemn these thoughts, it is very underestimated.

40 Children of Israel, remember how I blessed you. Honour your pledge to Me and I will honour My pledge to you: I am the One you should fear. 41 Believe in the message I have



Jesus held the mirror up, as the Quran did.

The result was the same.

People want to burn the Quran as they wanted to Crucify Jesus.

That's what the Quran does, the same process that Jesus conveyed.

Holding up the mirror.

People didn't like what they saw, and blamed him, as they blame the Quran.

Others did accept Jesus, without actually understanding. They fit the pieces with force, misunderstood the message, and worshipped him, for the misdoing of a few ancestors.

But who understands?

Explanation

As mentioned, multiple times, each surah teaches the same thing I conveyed in detail, then tests it. Here is the first test

62 The [Muslim] believers, the Jews, the Christians, and the Sabians^a— all those who believe in God and the Last Day and do good — will have their rewards with their Lord. No fear for them, nor will they grieve. 63 Remember when We took your pledge, and made the mountain tower high above you, and said, 'Hold fast to what We have given you and bear its contents in mind, so that you may be conscious of God.' 64 Even after that you turned away. Had it not been for God's favour and mercy on you, you would certainly have been lost. 65 You know about those of you who broke the Sabbath, and so We said to them, 'Be like apes!'^b Be outcasts!' 23 We made this an example to those people who were there at the time and to those who came after them, and a lesson to all who are mindful of God.

•  or  over  ?

- The heartfelt int lets you see the truth if you can manage it

It literally tests if you actually soaked up the meaning of before with the heart, or if you read whatever you want yourself








Quran conveys and tests everything perfectly. I don't understand why almost everyone fails it

should be a bright yellow cow, pleasing to the eye.' 70They said, 'Call on your Lord for us, to show us [exactly] what it is: all cows are more or less alike to us. With God's will, we shall be guided.' 71He replied, 'It is a perfect and unblemished cow, not trained to till the earth or water the fields.' They said, 'Now you have brought the truth,' and so they slaughtered it, though they almost failed to do so. 72Then, when you [Israelites] killed someone and started to blame one another — although God was to bring what you had concealed to light — 73We said, 'Strike the [body] with a part of [the cow]': thus God brings the dead to life and shows His signs so that you may understand. 74Even after that, your hearts became as hard as rocks, or even harder, for there are rocks from which streams spring out, and some from which water comes when they split open, and others which fall down in awe of God: He is not unaware of what you do.



75 So can you [believers] hope that such people will believe you, when some of them used to hear the words of God and then deliberately twist them, even when they understood them? 76When they meet the believers, they say, 'We too believe.' But when they are alone with each other they say, 'How could you tell them about God's revelation [to us]? They will be able to use it to argue against you before your Lord! Have you no sense?' 77Do they not know that God is well aware of what they conceal and what they reveal? 78Some of them are uneducated, and know the Scripture only through wishful thinking. They rely on guesswork.

79So woe to those who write something down with their own hands and then claim, 'This is from God,' in order to make some small gain. Woe to them for what their hands have written! Woe to them for all that they have earned!
80They say, 'The Fire will only touch us for a few days.' Say to them, 'Have you received a promise from God — for God never breaks His promise — or are you saying things about Him of which you have no real knowledge?' 81Truly those who do evil and are surrounded by their sins will be the inhabitants of the Fire, there to remain, 82while those who believe and do good deeds will be the inhabitants of the Garden, there to remain.

About cow 65 It's all there and more

09:52 Sat, 24 Sep       100% 

← **#religion**

 Getting pure the moment it gets useful...
Think about it
Causation or Relation?
Does the act grow the pure? Does it relate to the pure? Does it equal pure?
Its surely not act of pureness equals in pureness?
The acting of more pureness grows the pure, only if it resonates with a already pure enough element.
What you are searching for is not pureness.
You search for harmony.
Does harmony sound pure to you?
Listen again. 


Pain will, endured, become, lasting in peace which is not painful in
- if -
never meant painful requirement after the fact
- but -
As a muslim scholar similarly implied. But to be angels, we have to be animals before that,
and we dont become angels by choosing to be them, thats only a step. If you mistake this
step, for a leap over the canyon, you dont reach the other side, but fall.


Original (saying from a **Muslim** scholar?)
"If a man's patience is stronger than his whims and desires, then he is like an angel, but
if his whims and desires are stronger than his patience, then he is like a devil. If his
desire for food, drink and sex is stronger than his patience, then he is no better than an
animal."


My take

If a man's patience is stronger than his whims and desires, then he is like an angel, but if
his whims and desires are stronger than his righteousness, then its the mark of the
beast.





For some reason, people think you have to jump the canyon
But you have to build a bridge, taking your time, in fact
[@Pain Is The Way To Bring Peace](#)


 **Mina_Nobias** 09/17/2022
Its a collaborative Project. All the Gods are on board.
Are you?

 [@Mina_Nobias](#) Tap to see attachment

 **Pain Is The Way To Bring Peace** 09/17/2022
Hmmm it depends , yes there is relation , pure becomes from impure sometimes but
becoming impure after being pure is not wise using the impure stage of the pure is also
unwise. I can eat raw kidney beans only which acording to studies its posionous . Same to
harmony , there are pure harmony and there are impure unwise harmony but this is not taken
from the pure harmony like in some places and parties that ends with negatives harassments
or drug uses

Jump to Message



You said "Quran covers and tests everything perfectly"

- And then go on to say that they always fail at doing it

I don't think There is much room for other true interpretation

78Some of them are uneducated, and know the Scripture only through wishful thinking. They rely on guesswork.

75 So can you [believers] hope that such people will believe you, when some of them used to hear the words of God and then deliberately twist them, even when they understood them? 76When they meet the believers, they say, 'We

to them, 'Have you received a promise from God — for God never breaks His promise — or are you saying things about Him of which you have no real knowledge?' 81Truly those

Atm it might be my favorite Scripture

It says exactly and profoundly how to do it right, then tests it optimally, and

-I don't get it-

Most people fail? Or so it seems

The confused part is resolving slowly, having heard of a female Saudi astronaut mission next year

62 The [Muslim] believers, the Jews, the Christians, and the Sabians^a— all those who believe in God and the Last Day and do good — will have their rewards with their Lord. No fear for them, nor will they grieve. 63Remember when We took your pledge, and made the mountain tower high above you, and said, 'Hold fast to what We have given you and bear its contents in mind, so that you may be conscious of God.' 64Even after that you turned away. Had it not been for God's favour and mercy on you, you would certainly have been lost. 65You know about those of you who broke the Sabbath, and so We said to them, 'Be like apes!^b Be outcasts!' 23We made this an example to those people who were there at the time and to those who came after them, and a lesson to all who are mindful of God.

So, you get it?

Well, as for the imams of that era would have paved a clear understanding of the passage. They write it as so

- The verse was informing the Jews about what those before them has done. Because in the Quran they talk about how Judaism, Christianity and Islam are all from the same God, but has been changed over time.
- That explains many of the similarities between them
- And the entire baaqrah is a big occasion of what took place then. I haven't memorized the entire baaqrah yet, but I can from the Quran at my best try to explain it.

There is no need to make science out of it

- It's extremely simple
- It literally says it all over the place

Allah knows the heart

- Don't twist the message you have understood
- Be good

It's so obvious

Well, do you know something about the translation from the Arabic scripture to English scriptures

Is there a translation error though

Not really

Be like apes/animals

For thou need to be animals before you can be angels.

Be outcasts

For you can't be one with everyone, before reaching heaven.

An example, and lesson of those, mindful to God

No twist,

No guess,

Nothing.

Just what it says

and so We said to them, 'Be like apes!^b Be outcasts!' 23 We made this an example to those people who were there at the time and to those who came after them, and a lesson to all who are mindful of God.


Heart over Brain

❤️ and 🧠

- Mina_Nobias — 09/25/2022

He can

True perfection of 🧠 and ❤️ Necessarily takes ❤️ as the top



7th Century opinions?

.... thats super weird priority wise

-I have access to the original Quran involved deities

-Most spirits were exchanged in 2010, meaning they have no blood in the past before that

-The current version actually fulfills what it promised


What exactly is the issue?

The issuer of the update being a white engineer?

The ❤️ ts decided a female Saudi astronaut in next year

For now I turn the other cheek

Argument for God



There is 2 ways to look at this:

1 quantum superposition collapse

2 no reality ring support in the node network (because consciousness is due to a node network building a ring necessarily, but reality would also be a node network ring, but it wouldn't necessarily hold up without a power that glues it together)

Apologist topics

Defense 1: Theism doesn't want us to Think:

Blatantly wrong <https://sarata.com/bible/web/verses.about/thoughts.html> In the Quran, too
There are like 50 statements along the lines of "do you not think ??"

- [Bible Verses About Thoughts - 53 passages - World English Bible \(WEB\)](#)

Thoughts - There are 53 verses using Thoughts in 19 books. Thoughts is discussed most often in the books of Psalms (12), Daniel (7) and Isaiah (6). The World English Bible (WEB)

blatantly? really? Do you not understand the point of the story in Genesis 2-3 about the Tree of Knowledge of Good & Evil ?
the bible literally tells you NOT to seek knowledge in the second chapter.

I guess not because I couldn't find the part that declares choosing choice is wrong

You are not taking the texts for what they are you are mixing bias in
The story could be read as God distributing everyone to the place that works best for them

Problem of evil

I never found a way to conceptualize a world without "possibility of" evil and freedom at the same time
The best way I can conceptualize is system regulation techniques

Noah's story

If everyone would have been drowned other than Noah and his motley crew, it would have required

- repopulating only through the named entities since the other bodies were dysfunctional
- it doesn't make much sense with the story flow
- there would be little point to what was before and what came after Clearly it was a case of "poofing out" generally

The arc is just a metaphor for the staying. Take it as a case of a shipping steins gate.

Fault of Religion?

Ooh... I see. So, stuff like this isn't the fault of religion, just people, right?

I'm pretty sure soccer kills a lot of people. Because hooligans. Is soccer as a concept at fault?
Or what some weird people make of it?

F*** you Jesus?

Chatter a — 09/16/2022

Thank you Jesus

Chatter b — 09/16/2022

Fuck you Jesus.

Chatter a — 09/16/2022

See this is what I mean. Always angry

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

do you usually respond like this to friendly offerings

When those offerings are made in the name of a cult leader whose cult is still causing problems today, yes.

Like... Christos Christou for example? Jesus founded an organization, not an cult.

A cult *is* an organization.

Yes, but the opposite not necessarily
do you hate on Johannes Gutenberg ?

I don't know enough about him to have a personal opinion on him.

In case you are curious he invented the first movable printing press, which led to mass production of many scientific and philosophical books as well as the Bible

I don't know why we should hate on him

- Kind of a bizarre question

offerings made in the --name-- spirit of a organization leader whose product is still causing problems today, yes. @other chatters

What organization was Johannes Gutenberg a leader of?

I mean, he probably founded a printing house.

Who in the hell makes offerings in the name of Johannes Gutenberg? Also, I consider the printing press a net good for civilization. (reference for later)

- Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

As chatter pointed out, people who get stuff printed through his printing house?

Jonathann — 09/16/2022

If I made offerings in the name of bill Nye would you say fuck Bill nye

Why the hell would anyone make offerings to Bill Nye? What would you offer? Why would we say anything like that?

I'd say you'd be being weird since Nye demands no worship and as ntoed, sin't aprt of a cult or something. He's an entertianing pulbic scientific communicator

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022


Bill nye is a organization leader. He demands attention if you want to use his service and offerings, if you want to queue in demands



His organization isn't a *cult*, though, and doesn't have a net negative impact on society.

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Jesus did not found a cult

 **Geoffrey Widdison** · Follow ...
I have some small knowledge about religion, and I hope, a little wisdom. · By





That's hard to answer, because the term "cult" doesn't really have a clear definition, and has changed a lot over the years. There was a time when it could be applied to any religious sect, without negative connotations. Over time, it's come to generally be applied to very small groups with fanatically devoted followers, who are dedicated to and/or worship a living leader.

The problem with this is that it's not especially useful. Every religion was small at some point. Fanatical devotion is a matter of perspective, and there are plenty of well established religions with fanatical followers. Most religions at some point had a living leader who was either worshipped or held as a prophet.

So, why is the term "cult" an epithet of contempt, while religion is generally considered respectable? Part of it may simply be a matter of social acceptance: if you're big enough that people have to accommodate you, you're a religion, if not, you're a cult. In a larger sense, though, there's a fundamental assumption that cults are harmful and controlling. I think the best definition is that a cult relies on controlling the lives and social circles of it's members, exerting financial, social and physical control over them, and cutting them off from larger society (including, often, their own families). As a result, cults can't be socially useful, or even socially acceptable, because they require all of their members to disengage from society. Such tend to be the tactics of groups that cannot survive on their own merits: they make it nearly impossible for members to leave.

Groups that do not exert that kind of control over their members may still be extremist or fanatical groups, but labelling them as "cults" may be a misuse of the term.

3.6K views · View 2 upvotes

 2   

Right, so by this definition, Jesus as presented in the Gospels *was absolutely* a cult leader.

If you read the Gospels, it seems to me that society excluded Jesus, rather than the opposite, and he tried to reengage and reconcile

There was more to it 29 And he said unto them, Verily I say unto you, There is no man that hath left house, or parents, or brethren, or wife, or children, for the kingdom of God's sake, 30 Who shall not receive manifold more in this present time, and in the world to come life everlasting. 31 Then he took unto him the twelve, and said unto them, Behold, we go up to Jerusalem, and all things that are written by the prophets concerning the Son of man shall be accomplished. 32 For he shall be delivered unto the Gentiles, and shall be mocked, and spitefully entreated, and spitted on:

spitefully entreated, and spitted on:

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

just pointing out how your argument hardly works

Jesus got hated on because his nature is change into a new way, and leading there. He told his followers to abandon their families for their family's safety

If it isn't Einstein's or Relativity theory's fault

That

Hiroshima, Nagasaki, Tschernobil, Fukushima happened

So is it not Jesus or Christianity's fault

That

the ideology was abused, in his name.

Net positive, and bonding elements also, Intent in cells?

Gutenberg was a Net positive, Jesus not?

hat society environment would you be living in without Jesus work?

- The romans abandoned their old religious system because of its weakness
- Judaism was exclusive to jews
- Hinduism only recently got translated to Western language, same for Buddhism

Folks were closeted pagans for a while, and generally being Christian or not was a matter of top-down emulation of rulership and force alter on

- Or syncretic pagans
- We could of easily gotten some variation of Mithras or Zoroastorism
- And Sol Invictus was pretty popular

I would be living in one where 6 million Jews didn't get murdered. I would be living in one where blacks didn't get enslaved then marginalized after. I would be living in one where the Senate and the courts aren't trying to ban abortion

- Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Zoroastorism, if I don't mix it up, has little content

And your killer phrases show little understanding of possible developments

Assuming you're *right* about everything you said, I guess I'd be living in a world where Western civilization wasn't dominated by superstition.

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

It's always fascinating how uncontrolled actors anticipate good future on system breakdown
Do you anticipate betterment by causing panic in a narrow place full of masses of people?

Do you anticipate that squirrels might one day learn to use machine guns?

You are changing the argument, a diversion measure

Ok so, which society succeeded, lasted, prospered without bonding elements?

I dunno, which trees grew anti-yeti force fields?

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

A tree does not evolve to unhelping balast usually

But a tree has little degrees freedom

If you take ants, who have more degrees of freedom, they choose bonding structure, because they can't survive with individual lives without bonding structure

Ants don't choose shit.

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Particles react

Cells have intent

Brain matter makes choices

Cells have intent???

Okay, you know what, I am *not* diving down this rabbit hole.

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Ok so, Particles react is obvious, they react to waves and communicate with entanglement

Cells have intent, they build a system of entangled particles in a structure of allowing choice over enduring and taking commands - unlike particles without such structure
They communicate with different methods, among them viruses and our vaccination variation

Brain matter combines cells of intend in a way to form ability of perception and choice in combination

“Cells have intent”

No they fucking don't.

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

You cannot form structure of communicated reaction to form intent?

Do you claim this?

And you think cells cannot compare input to structural information in them and generate responses?

Does language improve by different naming of tiers of same meaning?

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Yes, so we need bonding structure. To come back to topic.

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

The point I was going to, We have so many degrees of freedom, that

Proliferation would eliminate bonding structure over time.

Law only delays this, its effect is limited

Humans do not get rid of bonding structure in case of **bonding structure relying on shared beliefs**

- As mentioned the original roman belief systems were growing out of utility more and more
- Christianity was chosen as replacement for a reason

Are you suggesting Christianity played a role in breaking down "bonding structures?" Sorry trying to get caught up

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

No it replaced structure that stopped working

I don't buy it because Rome *did* break down.

Rome is basically the western empire now

Arnold at the Airport

Sorry. But still, depending on the systems circumstances there are going to be states which are impossible to prevent, without making worse so states somewhere somehow (Proof able with digital information theory for example)

Also, all spirits elements always existed I strongly assume
Since that is the case
Any spirit is in theory capable of anything, similar to God

my argument: Everyone but God, did worse than him

even if that is hard to accept: the spirit world is fairer with assigned authority than the human world

you are not a tree because you are rather decent (You evolved to a human, for doing well)

but God did more decent

Have you ever tried to lift something heavy and had to carry it a bunch, which you were unhappy about? In this case, did you condemn Arnold Schwarzenegger or another body builder?

Is this comparison off?

Then let's say you are in a group at the airport and Arnold Schwarzenegger takes the same flight. If there is an old lady, you might expect him to help. If there's multiple old ladies among the Group, and the flight is about to set off? You see the issue

I guess this isn't the time for arguments. But I'm a somewhat incompetent person about social interaction. I lower the standards with amount of people involved. People in big groups stop being nerdy or something pretty fast. Anything not following a progress to a goal is hard for me to participate in. I'm not sure what to tell you. My ability ends at sorry that I didn't do better

Genocide in the Bible

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Cannot find any so far. <http://qbible.com/hebrew-old-testament/1-samuel/15.html> The only person I could make out being killed was the evil king. The call for killing people was literally ignored and on the next section identified as evil.

[1 Samuel 15 - Hebrew English Translation Massoretic Text MT Interli...](#)

(unlike newer versions, KJV and co)

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

It's a question of who messed up.

The point is, wouldn't it be kind of weird if China blamed the Uighurs for mistreatment of their society

Because that's what Rome did to the jews. And later it got worse. But enough Rome-bashing I suppose.

But it would be cool if western bible narrative would revert some manipulation on their Scripture. This artificial fearmongering is kind of ...lame

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Theists don't like kind treatment from their deities. No, that's the manipulators that want to spread fear?

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Zoro from one piece also often uses the edge of sword. The blunt one.



Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Interesting, today I learned. But I probably should think a little bit. Okay so I serve Yahweh, the Father, Jesus You guys serve the Father

The Muslims and Jews serve Yahweh and are in conflict over Jerusalem or something, I don't fully understand yet isn't this all behind the times?

Did everyone miss the parts where the Gods went into agreement

Can someone tell me what doomer prediction people fear or something?

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

I would recommend considering the current spiritual situation as described in the corresponding documentation Which indeed did declare that fear systems are to be abandoned soon, i.e. about now

- And to be replaced with system of understanding

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Sorry, but Jesus also was no great author. But having support from basically all of Elohim I'm not sure how I would manage to fail without being a traitor And there are not really supposed to be spirits lost into nonsense either

does it feel good to have been chosen for such an important role?

To be honest it was a horror trip first and later vegetating in isolation At least it feels decent by now

have you ever considered you might be mistaken?

Sure, until it was abundantly obvious

do you think you have the 'right values' to renegotiate and correct the ills of the world? Unify all beliefs under one 'correct belief system'?

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

I think I'm on track to get it done within... Dunno... 5 years? Something like that?
Something like that, i wouldn't guarantee 2027

What is the upper bound?

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

2030

and what is the result? because if it is anything like 2010 no one else is going to notice

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

We never notice in the west for the most part,
Aside people caring
Being nice
And deep
And chill
And relaxed

How will be become "deep"?

It's already a thing I had this book before 2010, which went on about how to (in most cases) never say anything meaningful, because it prevents or destroys relationships And my everyday encounters tell me alot changed there

The meaning in words is objectively subjective. The meaning is derivative of the essence of what is. Being. Your problem is you're playing Game A. @Mina_Nobias and I are playing Game B.

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

You see, Game A and Game B follow scripts by the same supervisors

Old Testament > New Testament

I study the OT more than the NT

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

I don't want to make such judgement, seems out of place I like Jesus values alot, and turn the other cheek is like the Most definite way to go from a situation of being in unfavorable territory towards making a harmonic environment

Its related to the absolute definition of good also

The moral teaching is better conveyed in NT I think OT kind of has more time and space and individuals to deal with, so more stuff more trouble also?

NT has a more personal approach

Threat of violence

Pretty much yeah. "The Abrahamic deity is not a being worthy of worship out of threat of violence" is separate from my general view on deities

Mina_Nobias — 09/28/2022

How is the threat of violence sourced in God, rather than in aversion of them

Hermetic Principles

The VII Hermetic Principles

I. *The Principle of Mentalism*

Everything is mind; The Universe is Mental.

II. *The Principle of Correspondence*

As above, so below; as below, so above. As within, so without; as without, so within.

III. *The Principle of Vibration*

Nothing rests; Everything moves; Everything vibrates.

IV. *The Principle of Polarity*

Everything is dual; Everything has poles; Everything has its pair of opposites; Like and unlike are the same; Opposites are identical in nature, but different in degree; Extremes meet; All truths, are but half-truths; All paradoxes may be reconciled.

V. *The Principle of Rhythm*

Everything flows, out and in, Everything has its tides; All things rise and fall; The pendulum swing manifests in everything; The measure of the swing to the right is the measure of the swing to the left; Rhythm compensates.

VI. *The Principle of Cause and Effect*

Every cause has its effect, Every effect has its cause; Everything happens according to law; Chance is but a name for law not recognized; There are many planes of causation, but nothing escapes the law.

VII. *The Principle of Gender*

Gender is in everything; Everything has its masculine and feminine principles; Gender manifests on all planes.

Sure: there are more than two genders

- The mind does not create external reality

- Not everything has two poles or can be simplified to be expressed as pairs of opposites or two extremes (dualism)

That's redundant with polarity, which meets in extremes

Principle of Correspondence is pure bunk... just because things are the same in number in a group, or seem to have things in common, does not establish a correspondence, much less one that is between planes of being

Examples?

That correspondence one is explained wrong imo,
it should say

Above and below correspond

Within corresponds to outside

Correspondences in hermetics seem to be arbitrary across the board.

I think everything can resonate?

I suppose, between the frequencies that are possible, one will be resonate with whatever is being affected

but to say that a mineral and plant and a planet are all resonating together (corresponding) is just thinking that THINGS are somehow alike and connected, that aren't in any kind of actual resonance with one another

But those polarize in superposition

Do they?

That's what superposition is about?

Superposition only applies to quantum states, not things like metric tensor fields or Hausdorff manifolds, or even cost-projection graphs

- The axis of the graph would have polar limits, but the graph itself has 4 corners, not 2 poles

Superposition also applies to any existing system

System in the sense, that it has input and output

- The superposition principle, also known as superposition property, states that, for all linear systems, the net response caused by two or more stimuli is the sum of the responses that would have been caused by each stimulus individually.

Not talking about linear systems.... I said systems with more than one dimension.

??? Linear(Systems) =not= one dimensional

https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/System_of_linear_equations

[System of linear equations](#)

In mathematics, a system of linear equations (or linear system) is a collection of one or more linear equations involving the same variables. For example, $\{ 3x + 2 \dots$

About systems of non-linear equations: those would just have more poles They also would be instable

- (Given they have solutions)

No-self?

That seems half-true. Yet you speak of human minds(in plural) decoding reality - how does this support your no-self stance

can you at least clarify

*do you accept that what's behind the interpreter unit - behind the interface to reality - could be named self

*That the thing getting interpreted has different interpretations, but a common base of information to it

curveball — 09/05/2022

Yes, would say there is a common base of information. I would agree that you could label "what's behind" the interpreter as the self but think that there is no separation, so that "self" is the whole totality of the universe. So, the universe is behind the interpretation.

I would grant that that's a fancy/interesting way of looking at it. Would give some cookies

Christianity

The quick and the dead

The Nicene Creed (325 AD)

We believe in one God, the Father Almighty, Maker of all things visible and invisible. And in one Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of God, begotten of the Father the only-begotten; that is, of the essence of the Father, God of God, Light of Light, very God of very God, begotten, not made, being of one substance with the Father; by whom all things were made both in heaven and on earth; who for us men, and for our salvation, came down and was incarnate and was made man; he suffered, and the third day he rose again, ascended into heaven; from thence he shall come to judge the quick and the dead. And in the Holy Ghost. But those who say: "There was a time when he was not;" and "He was not before he was made;" and "He was made out of nothing," or "He is of another substance" or "essence," or "The Son of God is created," or "changeable," or "alterable"—they are condemned by the holy catholic and apostolic Church.

1. I charge you in the presence of God and of Christ Jesus who is to judge the living and the dead, and by his appearing and his kingdom:
2 Timothy 4:1 (RSV)

Has anyone figured what "quick" means here? I think I more or less probably have an answer

- I first thought dogmatic but I don't think that's exactly what it means

from thence he shall come to judge the quick and the dead
if you are not dead what are you?

If he meant alive, it would have said so

you would have thought so yes but this is religion so nothing makes fucking sense

Wrong, every single word is placed with thought

Jesus is obviously judging morals, what else? Quick relates to morals But not necessarily dogmatic ones, But ones quick to be dogmatic

We believe in one God, the Father Almighty, Maker of all things visible and invisible.
And in one Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of God, begotten of the Father the only-begotten; that is, of the essence of the Father, God of God, Light of Light, very God of very God, begotten, not made, being of one substance with the Father; by whom all things were made both in heaven and on earth; who for us men, and for our salvation, came down and was incarnate and was made man; he suffered, and the third day he rose again, ascended into heaven; from thence he shall come to judge the quick and the dead.
And in the Holy Ghost.
But those who say: "There was a time when he was not;" and "He was not before he was made;" and "He was made out of nothing," or "He is of another substance" or "essence," or "The Son of God is created," or "changeable," or "alterable"—they are condemned by the holy catholic and apostolic Church.

"quick"
quick to determine

urgently
this means
"to (attain to)"

(meaning or authority)
the higher
through the
lower

Please don't do the very mistake this is talking about

- Actually think it through
- Literally everything hints at this version
- Jesus got killed because of it The early church had to use codes Some are even known

See also: βίος, βιός, bios, BIOS, and bios

^ Ancient Greek

Etymology

Either from Proto-Hellenic *g^wi^wos, from *g^wi^h₃wós ("alive"), and cognate with Old English cwic (English quick), Latin vīvus, and Old Church Slavonic живъ (živŭ); or a syncope of βίωτος (biōtos).

Doesn't this, your own source, prove that quick meant alive?

Meant by who? Its meant to be meant alive by romans from then and quick by christians from then and now

The observation is described in *U.S. News & World Report* of December 19, 2011.¹² It refers to the late Kim Jong-Il, former leader of North Korea, and says, "In 1994, the very first time he played golf, Kim Jong-Il dominated the 7,700-yard Pyongyang Golf Course. He shot an unimaginable 38-under par, recording no worse than a birdie at the country's lone golf course. His round included 11 holes-in-one, and the feat was verified by 17 bodyguards who were present."

Is this to support your claim that
quick can mean good or bad determination
?

No this is to show that the opposite claim is without evidence

To provide evidence

https://en.m.wiktionary.org/wiki/%CE%B2%CE%AF%CE%BF%CF%82#Ancient_Greek

It **cognates** to https://en.m.wiktionary.org/wiki/cwic#Old_English

In other words the greek usage of the used word meant as well "mentally agile"

The early Christians had the Roman criticism held in Greece

As mentioned, it can be very good or very bad, As in the saying "great power comes with great responsibility"

[cwic](#)

- [%CE%B2%CE%AF%CE%BF%CF%82](#)

Yahweh's political influence

oh, so what Yahweh does is not relevant to the ideology that Jesus was selling to the credulous?

What Yahweh "does"? That doesn't make sense for you to ask. Yahweh's opinion in the negotiations does not do much more than the Queen of England in politics.

What is a Christian

Just take the Gospels and read them with keeping the circumstances of their authorship in mind Basically a Christian is someone who:

- is willing to put true ideals above tribalism and its ideals
- is willing to put true ideals above, especially, mortal authority and their ideals
- gets true ideals in reconciliation between all actors and their rightful concerns
- without shallow plays of favor between actors but deep equity of meaning in act

This is inheriting Jesus' spirit by his endorsement, therefore becoming Christians

just curious: I think anyone claiming that Jesus was *the* deity in human form that died for their sins is a Christian... is that not correct?

I feel like deeply rejecting not the content as much as the act of such claim. Imo the act of such claim is inherently anti-Christian, as it's forcing a role upon Christ, an anti-Christian property of behavior

Imo what does make you Christian is

- if you believe FOR Christ
- believing in Christs goodness and helpfulness

What does not make you Christian

- believing in his sacrifice for your gain,

imo that's anti-Christian

Doomers Doomsday Disaster

<https://youtu.be/b4mj0Mems> This is what I mean by rapture

[YouTube](#)

[Dr. Jordan B Cooper](#)

[Five Problems with the Rapture](#)

Doomers doomsday disaster: Thats may be to lengthy of an elaboration required to elaborate at this moment But its just that Jesus doesn't come down anymore, because he doesn't need to arrive later where he arrived a while ago.

The council of Nicea had judged, and the audience got to hear what prevented worse. But Jesus doesn't judge the living and the dead, But the quick in the determination, and helps about Which is an amount of living and dead And after 7 (?) Calling outs of the pool (Trumpets in total) There is a goal but no doom



I've just watched it half so far and ask you: Did you watch it with full attention? It says
=>secret rapture =>superposition
It's all there

Conditions for Heaven?

It's a normal situation. If you don't guess the optimal way to manage a hurdle you take longer to arrive at the same place. If you do literally anything, it is depending on belief propositions, as well as your success to gain from it.

Whatever you create anything you build, any performance you do. Can you argue with that? I don't believe in unreasonable punishment. Also, the way I stated this whole posting so far is dangerous, because anyone could make up nonsense and propose its required to get anywhere. No, I just wanted to express, your believe has to be profound for the best results, it does not necessarily have to be particular x

- It sounds nice to have random input = infinite gain But that doesn't really work, does it?

Its a **NOT** normal situation It's a Religious or Totalitarian Mind control Ideological situation and there is **NO** need for it unless you want that which I am guess you do because it has been successfully normalized for you

What exactly are we talking about? Can you be more precise

Let's all just unite against anything that imposes terrible consequences on those that do not

believe particular propositions right @Mina_Nobias?

- or bad consequences ...

Condition less heaven for anyone? Imo equals literally impossible

But the way you stated

Let's all just unite against anything that imposes terrible consequences on those that do not believe particular propositions ❤️ It's acceptable

Sin


You buy freedom with sin

You age to be free

Monotheism vs. Polytheism

In the same sense, as monotheism or polytheism, ask people how many colored circles have the same color Some will say x fall in the same category Others will say each is of different type It is dependent on your understanding of "same color"

As the question of monotheism or polytheism is dependent on your definition of those terms



Dominance in Theism?

Things usually go from bottom up to advance to top down to then become a complex system of credit and ability and merit to the right of system designs, many are involved

God and Lucifer

Nature of Doing is not necessarily coherent with ones will. Many movies spin around superheroes and supervillains having the same will, but opposing each other

I'm not a Calvinist in that sense of assuming everything is to Gods will

Computers act in borders of laws of Turing. They act within the limits Turing set. But they do as they are being used. And there is lots of temptation and abuse. They act as a platform that serves desire - good or bad. As long as in Turing's spectrum.

computer's instruction set, a programming language, or a cellular automaton) is said to be Turing-complete or computationally universal if it can be used to simulate any Turing machine (devised by English mathematician and computer scientist Alan Turing). This means that this system is able to recognize or decide other data-manipulation rule sets. Turing completeness is used as a way to =>express the power of such a data-manipulation rule set<=. Virtually all programming languages today are Turing-complete.

There are things a turing complete machine cannot do, a bunch of known things, among them is for example

Assuming a black box, the Turing machine cannot know whether it will eventually enumerate any one specific string of the subset with a given program. This is due to the fact that the halting problem is unsolvable, which has major implications for the theoretical limits of computing.

There are things the devil or Beelzebub is capable of, and things he is not. God created him.

They share a goal of ease in existence overall, but have very different methods

this still does not answer the question

Ok so there are problems that can only be processed and will arrive at a solution after a number of decisions, and they always come out the same. But it's not possible to cut down the number of process steps to much. A possible interpretation of this is, that entangled reality does not fully exist at any given time, but only in steps. <https://youtu.be/vo8izCKHiFO>

A smaller sub-process is built with its own memory, that can be mathematically processed.
Mathematics cannot process in one step, dependencies between sub processes that require a chain.

3 entangled equations can solve 3 unknown variables. If we have less than 3 equations, let's say 2 entangled equations with 3 unknown variables, the variables are not solvable. But you have all that you need to increment a given state to the next.

$$Y = 3ax + 1$$

$$x = a - 2$$

$$a = 5$$

If you don't know $a=5$ its not solvable.

But you can predict how the system will advance, even not knowing a .

$$Y = 3a^2 - 6a + 1$$

But we still can predict its minima etc.

For any given input we immediately know the output.

An example for a non elementary function is the error function
https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Error_function

To solve it you must break it down to tailor series apparently

To know the solution, you have to know a previous step and progress to the point in question. While you could do this for specific problems, each problem has a specific tailor series usually.

So infinite variants of programs would have infinite representations?

Or that's how I would understand it right now.

A Turing machine is a recipe processor. If reality is a recipe processor it's a recipe processor in a recipe processor. We are looking for recipes. That's what we get, not one recipe but many.

Everything behaves to the recipes but there is no grand recipe that entails all of them.

The outline is the how to make recipes. If they are bad, you could come into a situation where some must go. The devil's work.

It usually comes with damaging side effects. Everything the Satanic Temple does is probably about destruction of structure? No structure rather than structure? Which is also good in many cases.

$$\operatorname{erf} z = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt$$

Series definition


Taylor series

$$\operatorname{erf} z = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z}{2n+1} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{-z^2}{k}$$

[YouTube](#)


[Richard Ride!](#)

[Mechanical Turing Machine in Wood](#)



[Error function](#)

In mathematics, the error function (also called the Gauss error function), often denoted by erf, is a complex function of a complex variable defined as: $\operatorname{erf} z = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt$...



Possible explanations for Sacrifice

I think I understand why God had Isaac sacrificed, in case he actually did, which I'm not sure of, but I'm not sure how to explain it in a non-shitty way

To answer that you can look at horses. Why are there only horses around, that have the property of being able to carry humans, when original horses all were not able to do so.

The horses with bad properties were taken out of the cycle.

Now Abraham and Eva were the first humans. They only had a couple of successors. As science could also tell us, biology, etc. in case of a big society a few bad eggs don't make trouble. People get 1-3 children or something, and everything mixes up all the time.

Now eugenics is horrible. It's mislead, because of subjective rating of traits. It's pointless, because everyone has similar genetic traits somewhere, since the mixing procedure goes on for such a long time.

Take Quarantine as an example. If 5 people carry a new virus variant, it seems like a good idea to put them under control. You won't strictly control big parts of society anywhere similar to that.

If you assume traits in genes, would you not assume that they go from "more extreme" to "less extreme". Because they mix up. But the mix up is a average of the first few specimens basically. Now if you have only 5 beings at the beginning of a kind, and they all have some spectrum of very different attributes, some of them are extreme.

One has extremely unfavorable attributes to the opinion of his creator.

Not saying that's moral, but I am saying following:

You compare apples to coconuts. When you lump those things together with today's circumstances.

-people complain about this, 6000 years ago
-then they complain about wars and violence

It's like -complaining about a few people in quarantine
-vs. The effects of the spread virus

And again, after the virus spread, it's better to just live with it

Obviously, it's not ok to strictly control a large number of people in favor of the masses
shitty topic

are you proposing 'kinds' exist, then? Also not sure how this has to do with Isaac's sacrifice :P

I meant species

??? I was so careful to make sure to condemn eugenics and not have it come over wrong

I just wanted to point out the extremely trashy situation Where any option is the wrong one
There is 2 main input actions act or don't act and two resulting scenario cases.

No matter what you do, you get condemned for every single input act AND output scenario
no matter what you do

- Back then 6000 years ago

Religious extremism

Your *exact* words were "I've never noticed a Muslim negatively impact my life." The 9/11 hijackers were Muslim. Ergo, you're saying that you've never noticed the 9/11 hijackers negatively impact your life.

Since Muslims only positively influenced my life... What flawed thinking let you connect "Muslims" and "9/11"? That's not how it works.

Would you say, "knowing how to dress" is what caused "9/11"?
Did "knowing how to use a knife" cause "9/11"?
Did "Pilot schooling" cause "9/11"?

All of those things can be abused.

All of those things can be used responsibly. People are massively misconceiving the overall influence of Islam. It does a lot better than you think.

And Skepticism can easily be overdone, causing issues of different kinds in given situations.

True Belief is like a doctor title?

True belief is like a doctor title. It requires you to act towards the goal intensely

you have assumptions in your mind rating high out of ten on 3 scales: Intelligence, Goodwill and Understanding => Theism

That is what you think now if someone thinks the opposite: rating high out of ten on 3 scales: Intelligence, Goodwill and Understanding => Atheism

@Mina_Nobias What facts of the matter can we use to differentiate between those two claims?

So, taking your question and the graph, your answer is in it >>Goodwill<<


Because any reasonable amount of goodwill upon understanding will convert you to a theist.

Intelligence alone upon understanding wouldn't necessarily.

So, understanding the circumstances of gods and mankind + goodwill for mankind will be sufficient

The reason should be obvious. Imagine you are disabled and cannot self-sustain yourself.

Your peers also. Now an anti-philosophy cannot really help you or your peers, right?i



Accepting Abuse?

No.

But if you are being nurtured and cared for by your parents, disowning them of you is not really helping

Non-believers are stupid?

Not quite, you can score high on 2 and so-so on the 3rd and still end up non-believing. If you are set out in the dessert, you need good health, fitness, and navigational skill, if one is too bad you won't succeed even if you have enough water reservoir

Islam and homophobia

Answer my question. Is Islam a intensely homophobic religion or not? Does the persecution of homosexuals come from it or not? Is Iran implementing what the prophet said and Ali did or not?

Mina_Nobias — 09/12/2022

Imo No
To the islam part

Is Islam a intensely homophobic religion or not? **No**
Does the persecution of homosexuals come from it or not? **Not more as hooligans from soccer**
Is Iran implementing what the prophet said and Ali did or not? **I don't really know what Ali exactly did**

Islam is a homophobic religion. I was a Muslim for the first 17 years of my life. I know my former religion well enough. If soccer said that you must provoke, harass and beat your opponent team's supporter, then of course it would be a hooligan supporting religion. Ali burned and beheaded homosexuals. Wish I could have without citing the hadiths but I'll do now seeing you are ignorantly making up about things you do not know.

?????!? I interpret the word of God, not some Ali's?

- Are you a Muslim @Mina_Nobias?

I serve God so I suppose I am

Then you follow the Quran and Sunnah.

More like I consider what the Quran says

The Quran condemns homosexuality, the tafsirs give an explanation of how homosexuality is condemned and the punishment for it, Mohammad said it countless times to kill homosexuals

Are you a convert @Mina_Nobias?

I'm a Omnist or a Syncretist

What would an Omnist believe in? 

The Oxford dictionary defines an omnist as "a person who believes in **all faiths or creeds**; a person who believes in a single transcendent purpose or cause uniting all things or people, or the members of a particular group of people".

Syncretism ([/ˈsɪŋkrəˌtɪzəm, ˈsɪn-/](#))^[1] is the combining of different beliefs and various [schools of thought](#). Syncretism involves the merging or [assimilation](#) of several originally discrete [traditions](#), especially in the [theology](#) and [mythology](#) of [religion](#), thus asserting an underlying unity and allowing for an [inclusive](#) approach to other faiths. Syncretism also occurs commonly in expressions of art and culture (known as [eclecticism](#)) as well as politics ([syncretic politics](#)).

@Mina_Nobias islam doesn't recognize you. Islam hates you. Islam hates anything that even remotely associates with Allah and the religion. Sure, it kinda tolerates Judiams and Christianity but it loathes polytheism and paganism. And almost all forms of religions. Mohammad and his companions broke pagan statues all around Arabia after consolidating power.

Every muslim I met irl was nice to me. Even online they behaved overall above average towards me.



The 5-pointed star: A five-pointed star is a divine symbol of oneness, the top representing human spirit whilst the other 4 signify the elements. It represents the connection bonding human spirit to Mother Nature. It can also symbolise a human body or incarnation of Jesus Christ.



@Mina_Nobias I understand where you come from but please understand, some people do have a better knowledge of Islam and have lived it through society and culture. Some are very much unhappy at its effects. I mean you no harm, I bear no animosity against you. Just that you were being egregiously wrong when you said what happened in Iran has nothing to do with Islam. Also Islam not being homophobic. Believe me, Islam doesn't recognize any other religion other than itself. It has a massive superiority complex.

“Islam is not in the business of recognising that kind of blasphemy or your right to even hold that position in public”

The Dubaians didn't kill me on mentioning this in 2015(was it 2017?) in Dubai



Why tf would they kill you? Did you publicaly say islam is false or did they find out you were having homosexual sex? Also, you are an American who has much much more leeway than denizens.

Mina_Nobias — 09/12/2022

<https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zina>

https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Islamic_criminal_jurisprudence I see the issue

So, who is scapegoating?

This is a prayer that only monophysites use: PRAYER OF FRACTURE AND COMMIXTURE

"Thus did the word of God truly suffer in the flesh and was sacrificed and broken on the cross, sundering His soul from his body, and His Godhead was in no way sundered from

them. For the sins of the whole world and their atonement did He die on the cross and His soul came and was united with His body and He turned us from wrong practice to right deeds.

By His blood He reconciled and united the hosts of heaven with us, His people with the nations, and the soul with the body. The third day he rose again from the tomb, and He is one Immanuel, indivisible into two natures after the indivisible union of His Godhead and His humanity. Thus we believe and confess and confirm that this flesh is of this blood and that this blood is of this flesh."

A duophysite would never say those things I've even seen versions that say, "His Godhead was in no way sundered from his soul or his body" In other words, GOD MUST REALLY DIE OR THE SACRIFICE MEANT NOTHING.

Duophysites say that God's nature is eternal, therefore could not die, and so only the human nature of Jesus died, pointing to the dual nature of the being. Monophysites say that God has only one nature, and was always God, even when He was God and man, and that God died on the cross, which was what the duophysites called heresy

Mina_Nobias — 09/13/2022

I'm disgusted by the amount of either stupidity or egotistical deceit

There is only one way to understand Jesus Christ sacrifice: Mankind likes to blame God while God is helping and supporting them. Because of mankind's hate for their nurturer and supporter, they need to murder and torture him to mend their hatred and restore the order. That is the truth to the sacrifice story. It's to mend mankind's unrightful hatred towards God.

- In this context it is correct to say Jesus died for mankind's sins
Mankind sins and with these sins causes trouble for themselves Then they blame God for the self caused trouble through the effects of their sins. Jesus gets tortured and murdered, so that the hatred for God gets mended(reconciliation) => Jesus dies for mankind's sin

- The issue is wholly stupidity and deceit and ignorance

Its like when you would donate money to an poor person to help him out of altruism, and the poor person then murders you, because he was unsatisfied with the amount of help, which in his opinion wasn't maxed out

Contradiction Where?

I think calling Mina Christian is... inaccurate.

What flavor of theism are they?

They're a "Whateverworks based on whim at the moment"-ist
It seems

TIL: Omnism.

Make-up-your-own-shitism is a better description of Mina's random religious bullshit

Pick-and-choosist

It kind of runs with the idea that if you generate a contradiction, anything is sayable

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

I'm still not sure I have found any contradiction between religions, which is not just a matter of how you look at it and you can argue both versions, without contradiction of the other

Can you please list any magical bullshit and lies that you do not believe in?

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

This would be an ever increasing list, so I just name what comes to mind ok

I don't believe in

Truth in Nazi and Racist ideology

Dogmatism

Doomers doomsday disaster

Evil insight

Coincidence

Superdeterminism

Calvinist view of Christian superdeterminism

Adam Smiths story without wrongs

Meaning of war

Allowing cruelty

Use of revenge

Scapegoating Gods and people or groups

Ignorance as goal

Need to do x because y (can confirm, you explain)

Calvinist view of Christian superdeterminism - What do they say that contradicts the 'The Truth'?
Doomers doomsday disaster - Is not Judgement day in the Bible? this was the only Magical Bullshit in that list - but I know do not understand the difference

Mina_Nobias — 09/17/2022

I don't believe

That

Although I speak by intuition, I cannot request focus and cannot help direction of development, cannot guide my own thinking, also I cannot choose to sin sometimes

Doomers doomsday disaster: That's may be too lengthy of an elaboration required to elaborate at this moment But it's just that Jesus doesn't come down anymore, because he doesn't need to arrive later where he arrived a while ago. The council of nicea had judged, and the audience got to hear what prevented worse. But Jesus doesn't judge the living and the dead, But the quick in the determination, and helps about Which is an amount of living and dead And after 7 (?) Calling outs of the pool (Trumpets in total) There is a goal but no doom

Was Jesus God? A.) Yes B.) No C.) Both are true you look at it and you can argue both versions, without contradiction of the other

C

Mina_Nobias — 09/17/2022

So you can take

Elohim as God of Heaven and Earth

Or

Yahweh of Elohim as God our Creator

Or

Jesus of the Trinity as God our Solution

Since Elohim is of all, you can argue he is all

Since Yahweh is where we come from, you can argue first of our Cause
Since Jesus is of 3 aspects in one person, you can argue the resolve

....

Mina_Nobias — 09/17/2022

That's great. So all good? One for all. It allows for unity of harmonic choice.

No, its not just a Anime meme.

There are real meanings to this. Some.

Now the different perspectives are only an issue, when you try to fit the perspective in the keyhole of further advance

instead of just using perspective understanding to fit together through the eye of the needle

The Holy Spirit; or what the cinnamon toast?

Mina_Nobias — 09/17/2022

..... I guess I pray to Yahweh/The Father or Elohim

Next time you do, please mention me

What is the issue you are dealing with?

I want to be filled with the Holy Spirit. Sometimes I take my eyes off of God and lean on my own understanding

Interesting, what is the application you are aiming for?

To do the will of God, ultimately

Hm, as I see it you need an increasing, worthwhile application of powers, or its missuse

Yes, perfectly said

- Thank you

What is “being filled”? What is the “holy spirit”? How can you “take your eyes off god”?

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

How to prove the Holy Spirit to someone?

I would first ask him what he thinks he believes that doesn't make sense or is impossible?

...


No read again, it's a question that can be answered reasonably

What does the asker think
That the asker believes
That makes no sense
Or is impossible

Mina... that's not what either of us are asking


But it's absolutely relevant

You require a booster in goodwill to get the understanding relied successfully



- Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

“How would you explain these statements to an atheist, that doesn’t think any of this makes sense or exists or is possible?”



I have no opinion on it cause it doesn't make sense
Dude
You don't listen
I literally have no reference point

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

“ I have no opinion on it cause it doesn't make sense
Dude
You don't listen
I literally have no reference point
“

Ok then you get what the holy spirit does, By the principles of science.

critterion of falsifiability, in the philosophy of science, a standard of evaluation of putatively scientific theories, according to which **a theory is genuinely scientific only if it is possible in principle to establish that it is false.**

...I'm sorry *what the cinnamon toast flying fuck*

How does this equate to the "holy spirit"? I don't get any sensation of being filled with the principles of science either so this doesn't really do anything to explain.

that's a non-sequitur of the highest degree

- I mean really if you're going to try to tell us what's going on you need a clear reasoning to the explanation

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

He said he cannot establish that he has false belief

@Mina_Nobias what is it supposed to feel like to be filled with whatever this holy spirit is?
because we're trying to understand what this experience is like

- and what it means

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

To be able to establish what is right or false

haha

puke out whatever lies you like

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

Science literally results in A theory is not scientific

If it's not falsifiable

You say you cannot establish false belief

How are you being scientific

About the Holy spirit question

When people can establish theirs

critterion of falsifiability, in the philosophy of science, a standard of evaluation of putatively scientific theories, according to which **a theory is genuinely scientific only if it is possible in principle to establish that it is false.**

what

we're asking you what the holy spirit is

you say it is the ability to determine what is "right" and what is "false"

we're trying to figure out how the fuck that works

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

No

Establish if a belief(!) Is sound

I have no idea what the holy spirit is, can you explain what it is?

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

Ok. Its getting tangled by the root

Entanglement is when entities are in quantum superpositional question

and what the cinnamon toast fuck does that have to do with anything said previously

- Mina
- please, just stay on one thing

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

You question Ok.

Holy spirit is Its getting tangled by the root

Entanglement is when entities are in quantum superpositional question S
o you can tell if the question is sound

that makes

- literally 0 sense at all
- that is incoherent nonsense
- can you explain "the Holy Spirit" no metaphors

That makes absolutely no sense to me, I'm sorry. It reads like a cell phone call losing signal and cutting out.

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

Hm... Anyway

-a sound question about what is relates to quantum entanglement

-a unsound question about what is doesn't

-tangled by the source of all entanglement

=>You are tangled to the question of what is or can be

what the fuck is "the source of all entanglement"

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

Since all is mind, its what set everything up

citation needed

gimme some reputable sources to work with here

- I don't believe you

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

The phase of denial Ok thats progress

no, it's not denial. You make no sense, have no qualifications, and I'm asking you to demonstrate the validity of your vapid claims

- I'm tired of you just spouting off whatever comes to mind, Mina. Put the papers where your claims are

Entanglement is when entities are in quantum superpositional question and like wtf

and lets all make shit up - this is so much fun

is it really that much of an ask to get a source about this shit?

Yes

Source is for the sauceless

- Mina has all the sauce

Blasphemy

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

If you don't accept religions they blaspheme you if you relate to them Since that's causation of you blaspheming them

Accepting all religions is blasphemy only to those, who take own desire over right from God

Blasphemy really is to do later

if you accept religions which are exclusive (Christianity, Islam, Judaism), then you are blaspheming by believing more than just the one

No relevant religion is exclusive
That's the work result of blasphemy

- The exclusivity

""I bear witness that there is no god but God, and I bear witness that Muhammad is the messenger of God.""

- That's pretty damned exclusionary

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

I am witness, that there is no god, but God, and Muhammad is a messenger of God
It's perfectly true In the sense that Elohim is all

Five Pillars of Islam and part of the Adhan. It reads: "I bear witness that there is no god but God, and I bear witness that Muhammad is the messenger of God."


<https://www.islamweb.net/en/fatwa/87768/islamic-view-of-pantheism> Fatwa No: 87768

The ideology of pantheism is an ideology of Kufr. The above statement is deduced from the meaning of this ideology. Its practitioners say that the creator and creation coexist together as one. They do not affirm the existence of the creator separate from the creation, as one being created by

the other. But they say that the creator is the creation and the creation is the Creator. This is, of course, Kufr, according to all the scholars of Islam, because **Allah is firmly established as above His creation.**

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

I have this lengthy doc I'm writing. This does not contradict.



yet nobody accepts your document as valid

Logic and Spirit

Ok long story short Spirit and Logic Spirit doesn't hate Logic And rather recently Logic stopped offending Spirit It doesn't really matter what exactly lead to which to make what clusterfuck, but important point: Neither is evil. Even more important: we should like both, because logic informs spirit, and makes it stable. Without its wobbly construction in danger of reshape Spirit win though

And I mean they are in agreement

Jesus' death on the cross was a miscalculation of logic of the Father by Jesus

Holy Spirit. I was wrong originally, thinking Noah is it. No, It's Yahweh ❤️

And the Father is 🧠

Adam and Eve

There was but one limitation and they went against their heart, for the limitation. So, the limitation came. The knowledge of good and evil, as the option of evil is the new limitation. And they kept building limits, by choosing limitations over the heart.

King James Version - Hebrew Massoretic Text - Full Hebrew Names King James Version - Hebrew Massoretic Text - Full Hebrew Names

<p>וְהִנָּחַשׁ הָיָה עָרוֹם מִכָּל חַיֵּי הַשָּׂדֶה אֲשֶׁר עָשָׂה יְהוָה אֱלֹהִים וַיֹּאמֶר אֶל־הָאִשָּׁה אַף כִּי־אָמַר אֱלֹהִים לֹא תֹאכְלוּ מִכָּל עֵץ הַגָּן</p> <p>וַתֹּאמֶר הָאִשָּׁה אֶל־הִנָּחַשׁ מִפְּרִי עֵץ־הַגָּן נֹאכֵל</p> <p>וּמִפְּרִי הָעֵץ אֲשֶׁר בְּתוֹךְ־הַגָּן אָמַר אֱלֹהִים לֹא תֹאכְלוּ מִמֶּנּוּ וְלֹא תִגְעוּ בּוֹ פֶּן־תָּמוּתוּן</p> <p>וַיֹּאמֶר הִנָּחַשׁ אֶל־הָאִשָּׁה לֹא־מוֹת תָּמוּתוּן</p> <p>כִּי יָדַע אֱלֹהִים כִּי בַיּוֹם אֲכַלְכֶם מִמֶּנּוּ וְנִפְקְחוּ עֵינֵיכֶם וְהִייתֶם כַּאֱלֹהִים יָדְעֵי טוֹב וָרָע</p> <p>וַתֵּרָא הָאִשָּׁה כִּי טוֹב הָעֵץ לְמֵאֲכָל וְכִי תֵאוּהָ־הוּא לְעֵינָיִם וְנִחְמַד הָעֵץ לְהִשְׁכִּיל וַתִּקַּח מִפְּרִיו וַתֹּאכַל וַתֵּתֶן גַּם־לְאִישָׁהּ עִמָּהּ וַיֹּאכַל</p>	<p>3:1 w'haNāchāsh hāyāh ārūm miKol chaYat haSādeh āsher āsāh y'hwāh élohiym waYomer el-hāiSHāh af Kiy-amar élohiym lo tokh'lū miKol étz haGān</p> <p>3:2 waTomer hāiSHāh el-haNāchāsh miP'riy étz-haGān nokhēl</p> <p>3:3 ūmiP'riy hāétz āsher B'tōkh'-haGān āmar élohiym lo tokh'lū miMeNū w'lo tiG'ū Bō Pen-T'mutūn</p> <p>3:4 waYomer haNāchāsh el-hāiSHāh lo-mōt T'mutūn</p> <p>3:5 Kiy yodē^a élohiym Kiy B'yōm ākhāl'khem miMeNū w'nif'q'chū ēynēykhem wih'yiytem Kélohiym yod'ey tōv wārā</p> <p>3:6 waTēre hāiSHāh Kiy tōv hāétz l'maākhāl w'khiy taāvāh-hū lāēynayim w'nech'mād hāétz l'has'Kiy waTiQach miPir'yō waTokhal waTiTēn Gam-l'iyshāH iMāH waYokhal</p>	<p>3:1 ¶ Now the serpent ⁵¹⁷⁵ was ¹⁹⁶¹ ^{z8804} more subtil ⁶¹⁷⁵ than any ^{x4480} ^{x3605} beast ²⁴¹⁶ of the field ⁷⁷⁰⁴ which ^{x834} ^{Yāhwē} ³⁰⁶⁸ ^{יְהוָה} ^{Élōhīm} ⁴³⁰ ^{אֱלֹהִים} had made. ⁶²¹³ ^{z8804} And he said ⁵⁵⁹ ^{z8799} unto ^{x413} the woman, ⁸⁰² Yea, ⁶³⁷ ^{x3588} hath ^{Élōhīm} ⁴³⁰ ^{אֱלֹהִים} said, ⁵⁵⁹ ^{z8804} Ye shall not ^{x3808} eat ³⁹⁸ ^{z8799} of every ^{x4480} ^{x3605} tree ⁶⁰⁸⁶ of the garden? ¹⁵⁸⁸</p> <p>3:2 And the woman ⁸⁰² said ⁵⁵⁹ ^{z8799} unto ^{x413} the serpent, ⁵¹⁷⁵ We may eat ³⁹⁸ ^{z8799} of the fruit ⁶⁵²⁹ ^{x4480} of the trees ⁶⁰⁸⁶ of the garden: ¹⁵⁸⁸</p> <p>3:3 But of the fruit ⁶⁵²⁹ ^{x4480} of the tree ⁶⁰⁸⁶ which ^{x834} [is] in the midst ⁸⁴³² of the garden, ¹⁵⁸⁸ ^{Élōhīm} ⁴³⁰ ^{אֱלֹהִים} hath said, ⁵⁵⁹ ^{z8804} Ye shall not ^{x3808} eat ³⁹⁸ ^{z8799} of ^{x4480} it, neither ^{x3808} shall ye touch ⁵⁰⁶⁰ ^{z8799} it, lest ⁶⁴³⁵ ye die. ⁴¹⁹¹ ^{z8799}</p> <p>3:4 And the serpent ⁵¹⁷⁵ said ⁵⁵⁹ ^{z8799} unto ^{x413} the woman, ⁸⁰² Ye shall not ^{x3808} surely ^{y4191} ^{z8800} die: ⁴¹⁹¹ ^{z8799}</p> <p>3:5 For ^{x3588} ^{Élōhīm} ⁴³⁰ ^{אֱלֹהִים} doth know ³⁰⁴⁵ ^{z8802} that ^{x3588} in the day ³¹¹⁷ ye eat ³⁹⁸ ^{z8800} thereof, ^{x4480} then your eyes ⁵⁸⁶⁹ shall be opened, ⁶⁴⁹¹ ^{z8738} and ye shall be ^{x1961} as ^{Élōhīm} ⁴³⁰ ^{אֱלֹהִים} knowing ³⁰⁴⁵ ^{z8802} good ²⁸⁹⁶ and evil. ⁷⁴⁵¹</p> <p>3:6 And when the woman ⁸⁰² saw ⁷²⁰⁰ ^{z8799} that ^{x3588} the tree ⁶⁰⁸⁶ [was] good ²⁸⁹⁶ for food, ³⁹⁷⁸ and that ^{x3588} it ¹⁹³¹ [was] pleasant ⁸³⁷⁸ to the eyes, ⁵⁸⁶⁹ and a tree ⁶⁰⁸⁶ to be desired ²⁵³⁰ ^{z8737} to make [one] wise, ⁷⁹¹⁹ ^{z8687} she took ³⁹⁴⁷ ^{z8799} of the fruit ^{x4480} ^{x6529} thereof, ^{y6529} and did eat, ³⁹⁸ ^{z8799} and gave ⁵⁴¹⁴ ^{z8799} also ¹⁵⁷¹ unto her husband ³⁷⁶ with ^{x5973} her; and he did eat. ³⁹⁸ ^{z8799}</p>
--	--	--

Managers successful?

Any successful fixes?

Mina_Nobias — 09/23/2022

A lot I suppose. But as always, the managers get condemned for fails, success is measured in arbitrary ways, real success stays unseen

But Mina is cooler than Chopra

Mina_Nobias — 09/23/2022

I guess the lame parts don't shine through the cables as much

KJV

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

It's also amazingly baseless in its distortions
No historic foundation of truth anymore on distortions
No authoritative foundation on distortions
No support of any major deity for the distortions anymore
The only support it has is from sociopolitical behind the times thinking

right?

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

The bible, although originally true, has become a work of deception. Now it can either serve as a hobbyist puzzle for high goodwill and IQ people, or a historic record. It cannot guide general society anymore without fixing

A version with no real transcendent authority, i.e. KJV

Lying

Mina_Nobias — 09/25/2022

There is something wrong with it.

If there is something wrong at all with the entity that lies though, depends

-on the boundary situation of logic in its completeness, including the choice amount towards logic of all its users, meaning how open the users are to think out of the box

-how the situation related entities are bound in it, meaning the circumstantial situation

Lying is choosing logic, as dominance over heart

Sex and commitment

Logically viewed, you need some kind of committing force to your partner.

I don't think this commitment force would necessitate in the current form.

The reason you need commitment force for a family founding partner, lies in family dynamics.

The reason sexual partnership should stay with an partner that is also meant for optional family increase, is that

- otherwise –

It's an act of attempted humankind domination

- rather than –

attempted humankind increase

Tower of Babel

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

I thought if you understand someone perfectly talking is meaningless

Babel's fall


Mina_Nobias — 09/26/2022

Sometimes you guys seem to feel like dealing with the Bokoblin in twilight princess that you had to lure over half the map to perform a trick on them, because they refuse to just follow your way

Tri-Omni-Limitations

Mina_Nobias — 09/25/2022

You can be as literally omni-everything as whatever

true perfection cannot result in perfection without  in place

when freedom is going to be a thing we shall have

How do you have a goodness breeding zone without having amounts of evil in it?

God is obviously master over logic Why have this convoluted complex something of a plan
If I myself could plan something to reach pretty much any "evil" goal with these abilities,
rather than what's actually difficult

Perfection of Paradise for everyone? It's like this: Engineers don't find a challenge in
destruction - building bombs is to easy - But in building and maintaining perfection

I'm not sure what you expect Any "evil" goal is lame. Literally. Its

-easy

-simple

-unlasting

Whereas Good

-maxes out in lasting challenge

How would a perfect being be satisfied with an imperfect task? Pls answer

I can think of examples myself: Speedrunners for example:

Have you seen speedrunners blooming with the challenges Like collect 5 coins in Mario 64?

No, Any fulfilling challenge is about realizing what seems impossible

And a true challenge

Would be keeping it maintained

As the true challenge of the mountain top includes living up there

If you think about it:

What is perfect

Took a process of creating perfection

What is perfect

Cannot implement perfection without a perfect process

There is only perfection by what definitely is

Or what comes from it by a process

There has never been what was not definitely perfect that became such without changing definition or processing it

Speedrunners challenge things other than time also

Time is process juice

Not evil

Any imperfection in what comes from God is imperfect due to conflict between logic and love
Any resolve between logic and love while having God fulfill you is necessarily perfection

What do you do with "a" perfect 'something'?

You'll want a perfect 'something' infrastructure

To supply you

As humans will populate heaven

Through goodness breeding infrastructure? Which is this universe?

[The Injustice League](#)

Mina_Nobias — 09/25/2022

Yo Naut

What if a majority would want to crucify kill you

For the sole reason to hate you less

Due to hate coming from misunderstanding combined with unwillingness to correct the misunderstanding into understanding

Because they are worried their hate might be a problem

Mina_Nobias — 09/25/2022

That's not the situation I explained Imagine you trample on a flower bed.

The gardener who fixes it, disturbs you in your trampling.

You get angry at him.

He tells you that your anger will stop him from wanting to garden for you.

You plan to kill him, so that he isn't angry at you and or you lift your own anger easily.

The gardening alliance was scheming and agitating to use you for means of killing, to get access and dominance over more gardening space

Mina_Nobias — 09/25/2022

How would you assess your moral opinion in this case

Science

Quantum

The quantum level allows for contradictory states. The material level doesn't. This step, to decide what is "real", what is getting "realized" between between contradictory extensions, turns out overall to our possible contemplation and the initiators contemplation every time These extensions are usually a group effort.

The cement between the pieces.

The big non-contradictory pieces of patterns we witness all the time in our lives had to be defined at the time of the universe's creation. In any project management I'm aware of, such decisions are done by few people.

What are the premises logic is made of?

Axiom: Non-contradiction

Premise: Self-comparison of input to self

Result: output

Not every reaction is logical since humans are capable of contradictory thinking. This is no mistake of creation. Contradictory thinking, when controlled, can allow for system changes instead bulking up the system with non-contradictory extensions. (By reconstruction without made out contradiction)

^^We haven't talked about most of this

Destruction sometimes results from thought contradiction So be careful

"The quantum level allows for contradictory states."

This is false. Quantum Mechanics does not say that. Please try fact checking.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q1O11kP6x1k> this may help you to use more careful language to describe what QM *actually says*

[YouTube](#)

[Qiskit](#)

[Quantum Mechanics Isn't Weird, We're Just Too Big | Qiskit Seminar ...](#)

"This is false. Quantum Mechanics does not say that. Please try fact checking."

I don't understand what he means yet. I would think "superposition" necessarily requires allowing contradictory quantum states.

[Introspection and Psychology](#)

Even the basic teachings of the Dhamma from the Pali sutras demonstrate Buddha's use of astounding psychological scientific ideas to reach the root of the neurosis we experience and bring us into a clearer view of reality

Without introspection i'd probably by long be dead. Before I got somewhere with it I was in a constant wtf loop getting fucked by reality. Mostly my own fault, but how would I have known. Introspection is not a cure by itself. It just tells you how much more you are worse than you thought again and again when you start. Its like when you are engineering a device and get piled in issues with it, and the problems get more and more, and you hardly get to solve anything. Psychologically a difficult situation. Buddhism has a certain way to deal with it with accepting it. I didn't, I sort of brute forced through. I get that that method would easily put some patients in immediate danger. But not handling the issues puts them in more distant danger though, and messes with life quality

My "secret" against those circles tangle the buddhism method. The Buddhist method says accept your thoughts. I didn't do that. But I accepted my thoughts implications, instead of the thoughts. I probably cannot really recommend that method, since it was brutal on the mind.

Obsessive introspection has been demonstrated to be highly harmful
Buddhism does not heal mental health issues

Logic

What would it mean to prove logic? You can absolutely prove that logic is foundational a recipe to build recipes that are useful to us and fit together You can proof that logic is rooted outside of the recipes that make the universe

Magic

Magic ability, like actually splitting sea or moon

How is "Magic ability, like actually splitting sea or moon" a law?

No, it's necessarily due to a recipe, therefore due to a law Unless you are the "root" itself
what is the law?

- or, the "written out" recipe, if that's easier.

- Mina_Nobias — 09/28/2022

A recipe of a reality you are in

Recipes

<https://youtu.be/fSVbWwivu5g>

YouTube

Arvin Ash

[Does reductionism End? Quantum Holonomy theory says YES](#)


A recipe would for example be
Spirit focus can pull and push life energy
Moving life energy outside of your body lets you create colorful energy accumulations that react with matter

Magic and science

It would be supernatural in terms of not having physical law glue
physical law glue?

- Is that "code" for, "this only works sometimes, so it's a lot like it's not really even a thing"?

If a scientist developed a robot that can do it, it wouldn't normally be supernatural
Not really about predictability



Let's say a deity implements the recipe, then it wouldn't necessarily have scientific glue, thus be supernatural

Mina_Nobias — 09/28/2022

-quantum entanglement is likely not scientifically glued yet You might be able to watch different observations that are contradictory information after the fact –

Also https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Replication_crisis

- [Replication crisis](#)

The replication crisis (also called the replicability crisis and the reproducibility crisis) is an ongoing methodological crisis in which it has been found that the results of many scientific studies are difficult or impossible to reproduce. Because the reproducibility of empirical results is an essential part of the scientific method, such fail...



Misinterpretation vs. Error in Scripture

So, misinterpretation vs error. Let's say you have a system You observe that inputs relate to outputs.

Input x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 leads to output y


Now we build blocks that describe the behavior in combination But there are infinite possible different internal combination possible that describes the output from the input We rate these possibilities with different criteria

- unnecessary baggage avoid
- closer to minimal requirements
- better system architecture in terms of subsystem integration

Imo it's a combination of the 3 for best results

- but there is no absolute best solution

A error occurs if the system description does not reflect the output from the input
A misinterpretation occurs when the system description is ambiguous, and the reader interprets the possible spectrum in an invalid way that does not produce outputs in the intended way from inputs




The question of how to differ between error and misinterpretation can be answered with this server favors the principle of charity

Also, its importance to differ between
-unnecessary baggage
And
-baggage without utility
(Because unnecessary =not= useless)

Cosmology, Biology, and the existence of God

Why would he argue with a cosmologist if they both agree
Cosmology doesn't, in and of itself, say anything about the existence of God
Just like biology doesn't say anything about the existence of God either

- It's a confusion of categories








Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

<https://www.businessinsider.com/vanishing-radio-signals-mystery-objects-in-milky-way-galaxy-center-2022-1>

• Business Insider

[Unknown objects at the heart of the Milky Way are beaming radio sig...](#)


Radio waves bursting from the center of the Milky Way, then disappearing, might reveal a new type of star if astronomers could just nail them down.



Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

Riiiiight, must be my fantasy....

No, just your regular old humanbrain.



• Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

You never know who baked this pizza

• **Maybe he wanted to relay something**

Jar Brains, aging reverse and weather control

They develop Jar Brains and Aging reverse, sounds like weather control would be a good addition to fully ruin mankind

- Mina_Nobias — 09/28/2022

It likely would be horrible, because first thermodynamics law

I imagine weather control would just be shifting extremes to larger extremes elsewhere.

Maybe comparable to large scale politics. Trying to stabilize areas by bombing them.

Instead, it would be more realistically to be useful to reduce the biasing pressure instead of increasing it. In terms of war, instead of bombs, or sanctions, solve sociopolitical and economic issues together.

In terms of weather, instead of biasing shifts, it would make more sense to reduce temperature extremes, [by clearing the skies, and shiny surface on earth](#)

These sciences are among the science of the beast, a work of COWARDICE

Philosophy

Numbers

Numbers are a descriptive property of the recipe of logic and specify an amount of ungrouped entities that themselves can be groups of other entities in concept or reality.

Truth

@Mina_Nobias what do you consider “truth” to be?

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Contextual existence
of the Answers in question to the Context in Question

Existence of an answer is truth?

Contextual existence Can you counter example this?

Can you counter example pi?
If you meant what I think: Pi is a contextual truth
Pi is not a truth without context
So here also

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022


What utility improvement would result from objective morality in a corset of logic? Was it not impossible?
Has someone answered this?

Anyone up to answer this?
+to communicate common morals
No, we have a common language of morality
+to record rules in relation to objective morals
religion?
+to automatically process questions of morality
conscience?

Morality as a question of logic is always subjective


Objective Morals

I would expect Objective morality exists But to force it into a corset of logic invalidates it



Other Topics

Cancer



Truth

Truth is to the Universe, what knowledge is to us What comes before is Hypothesis to the Universe, what is belief to us Before are Understanding Conception Observations

Truth does not equal gods, gods equal truth ("As in make the same in quantity, size, or degree throughout a place or group") gods put truth into place So where does truth come from? Observations, dissatisfaction

Impermanence

still trying to process that things are impermanent... so deep it may take me all month

People do look at the weather. The need for care. Political spectrum. Illness. And their radio clocks. That's nothing special. Understanding they all base of the same principle is.

Hitler

He was an idiot. He probably had issues with them awaiting an exclusive Messiah and not accepting an inclusive one. Or that was his ground for scapegoating. Hitler didn't understand shit. Even if above sentence isn't all incorrect, he was an idiot to assume assimilation is a societal goal. Diversity is a source of gain, who cares if they await an "exclusive Messiah"?

What's this nonsense of expecting them to assimilate into giving up their identity to the tribal group they live in? They changed the rules? No they did not. The roman emperors did.

Multiple times in multiple ways. Hitler was blinded by his own tribal group's misdoings, confusing angry circular reasoning based on lies as meaningful

And then to use genocide because he failed was what made him the anti-Everything

Flat Earth, a belief of people, with too little fantasy

Mina, what's your opinion about flat Earth?

Doesn't add up
At least not recently

and why do you think people believe in flat Earth?

Because they lack fantasy

so you think it's a desire for fantasy?

No, lack of fantasy
• Desire for answer

I don't understand. How does lack of fantasy lead to flat Earth?

- and by lack of fantasy, you mean that they are not familiar with fantasy stories?
- or do you mean lack of playing RPGs or something?

People with blooming fantasy usually end up less unreasonable than people who lack thereof

A blind person locked into the world of a single room with urge of exploration will likely have a better understanding of the room than a half blind person indifferent to its possibilities

- The half blind person will likely buy what you sell about the room, when you seem convinced

I'm still trying to figure out why people who like fantasy are less likely to believe in flat Earth. Your analogy isn't really helping.

Fantasy in making, not fantasy in buying
That's the difference
Those who made x are less likely to fall for fraud on it

oh, I see... so like a fiction writer is going to be more likely to identify fiction, you mean?

Is there a fiction author who buys into Scientology as customer?

There's probably a correlation between being a fantasy author and believing less bullshit, but I would expect it to be mediated by education and not by the status as a fiction author.

No, running track laps does not move you to your destinations, but it eases the future process

Is Fascism politically right or left?

Someone:

“

That's because it is, and it isn't leftist, no matter how much American Authoritarians want to pretend it is.







It is, in fact, just Fascism. It's no more leftist than the nazis are Socialist.

The only difference is the half a century + of cold war rhetoric from American Authoritarians painting it as leftism to try to get people to disassociate from *actual* leftism so as to paint the faults of their own ideology as the fault of something else.

“

Mina_Nobias — 09/12/2022

The VII Hermetic Principles

- I. *The Principle of Mentalism* 
Everything is mind; The Universe is Mental.
- II. *The Principle of Correspondence* 
As above, so below; as below, so above. As within, so without; as without, so within.
- III. *The Principle of Vibration* 
Nothing rests; Everything moves; Everything vibrates.
- IV. *The Principle of Polarity* 
Everything is dual; Everything has poles; Everything has its pair of opposites; Like and unlike are the same; Opposites are identical in nature, but different in degree; Extremes meet; All truths, are but half-truths; All paradoxes may be reconciled.
- V. *The Principle of Rhythm* 
Everything flows, out and in, Everything has its tides; All things rise and fall; The pendulum swing manifests in everything; The measure of the swing to the right is the measure of the swing to the left; Rhythm compensates.
- VI. *The Principle of Cause and Effect* 
Every cause has its effect, Every effect has its cause; Everything happens according to law; Chance is but a name for law not recognized; There are many planes of causation, but nothing escapes

Extremes meet, Fascism is part of the extreme.

Therapizing other opinions?

What are you even talking about. You can't just therapize sane people who want to address their own business

You need to be more distinct in your views. I don't care about people being opposed to religion, my views, God or Jesus that much. Christianity says to turn the other cheek. It's just hard to do so if the person aims at the balls. Meaning actual sociopaths should get therapy.

Slavery logical? Cancer logical?

Slavery

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

That again, sigh I just yield Theory whatever

you yield out of exasperation, or you yield because you see that slavery is wrong?

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

I yield because sometimes logic loses

You think slavery is morally defensible?with logic

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

I was going on about how different contexts change the situation drastically But in this world, logic not always wins truth

Truth always corresponds with logic. You interpret ion of truth changes base on your bias. Tell me a truth with doesn't follow logic

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Logic informs truth

What is truth

- What does that mean

- Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

All that "is"

OK give me an example where logic doesn't inform truth

- Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Logic informs truth

Informing

The process of conveying information

forget about logic: is it okay to own people 2000 years ago?

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

No

Then when does "in this world logic doesn't always win truth". Give me an example or recant that statement.

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

When big changes happen

This is so vague as to be completely devoid of meaning

What big changes

- Any specific one in particular
- Surely you could give us one example

One concrete example

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

A rebuild without deconstruction

Russian invasion of Ukraine 2022

- Holocaust, 1941-1945
- The dropping of the bomb on Hiroshima

- Specific examples

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Usually you would think you have to deconstruct whatever to rebuild it?

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Logic didn't win the reconstruction of the world, from the 2010 debate

Cancer

This topic is trash, but important. It's a factor 100 more popular to go with tribalizing opinion on delicate topics. But we cannot always do so.

We see that Islamic culture has issues, slavery is terrible, animals get abused etc.

But there are topics beyond what meets the eye and they have to be discussed. We cannot change the rules of the universe without understanding what they do.

As within, so without As above, so below

-can that be given up? Alternatively

-do you want to give up YOUR ability to go against the system

-Or do we give up logic?

-Or do nothing and rely on a scientific solution Is there something else?

II. *The Principle of Correspondence* ==

As above, so below; as below, so above. As within, so without; as without, so within.

@Mina_Nobias Do you have a concise/specific answer as to why god put proto-oncogenes in humans? You know something like: 1. He didn't. 2. To facilitate suffering. 3. They are there for a good purpose, actually.

4. To promote some secondary action.

If my response does not make sense, let me know and I can try to clarify:



Mina_Nobias — 09/20/2022

Thank you.

The preamble has 3 different functions

1. Excuse for me, to question a tribal opinion
2. an example, which is later referred to (Of system issue)
3. To clarify that a system change, should understand the context the system is in beforehand

As within, so without

As above, so below

Refers to living systems, in different hierarchy Cells, humans....

Then possibilities I saw are listed

-giving up a rule?

What would that result in? Disaster?

-giving up YOUR and fellow humans freedom, that you question on the lower hierarchy

-giving up logic thinking

-doing nothing, except advancing science for the foreseeable solution(already in prototype status+)

Mina_Nobias — 09/20/2022

That question is wrong. God does not place anything anywhere in the literal means. God shapes recipes, or does place patterns. That proto-genes appear is a result of a recipe. As some food might end up poisonous in certain combination in the process, without putting poison in. Just that its difficult in this case, if not almost impossible, to go without stomach upset altogether

but you would contend that proto-oncogenes are in our genome not because of humans, or sin, or "random" mutation, or the devil... but indirectly because of god?

There has not been a solution to very complex problems without issues yet

so god couldn't design a human without proto-oncogenes?

Then try to design the recipes

Mina, I can't help but feel like you're saying something *other* than "yes" or "no".

If you understand what I write you can tell 100%
If you don't this information is worthless

"If you don't understand me when I write complete gibberish, it's pointless for me to try to answer direct yes-or-no questions."

Mina_Nobias — 09/20/2022

If Joe Biden evades an answer if he ever had homosexual intercourse it may be evasive. And there might be some meaning to know the answer in a sociopolitical environment. Would the world improve from knowing the answer. You think yes. But really, it wouldn't. Rather than helping the course, it would end up with opposite effect.

Why should I care if Joe Biden ever did that?

In fairness that's private business that nobody should be concerned with, in the hypothetical about Biden

in other words, you won't give an answer because you're too concerned with the optics??

Mina_Nobias — 09/20/2022

I think it's better to have a 2 layered answer, that requires having understood something, and processing the answer from it, rather than a direct one

@Mina_Nobias did you ever think that that second layer may be the reason I'll never understand what you're saying? You kept talking to me like you expected me to already have the same understanding as you and you would never answer me in a way that I could understand

Mina_Nobias — 09/20/2022

The answer is 25/6

Please write an essay what you have learned from this without engaging with the problem

$$x + y + z = 1$$

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 2$$

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 3$$

$$x^5 + y^5 + z^5 = ?$$

Wait, why do *I* have to write an essay?

Mina_Nobias — 09/20/2022

Because if you cannot fill it with meaningful content, you lose the argument

[Bad recipe?](#)

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

Do you create stupidity? No, you set the stage and let it bloom. Be serious for a moment. God makes recipes, or shapes patterns. He does not create poop.

- Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

A recipe regarding waste material from the need for flowing potential? I guess

- @Mina_Nobias is your God a creator?

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

Obviously so?

What does your God create?

- Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

its more about reshaping patterns, since most things already had a form

they had a form before god?

- so it's not true that the earth was without form and void?

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

before God = same Sources But there was a change of direction

But creating something from nothing?

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

Something even humans can do with the current recipes.

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

<https://youtu.be/fSVbWwivu5g>

[Does reductionism End? Quantum Holonomy theory says YES](#)

Can we “not exist”?

Can I choose not to life again?

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

What would be better?

For me, personally? I'd prefer to just cease to exist after this life.

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

How could something cease to exist?

Well, I imagine it'd be like when I go to sleep at night for me, just nothing at all there. The only difference at the moment is while I'm alive I wake up in the morning with no knowledge of anything from the time I went to sleep to when I woke up.

Mina_Nobias — 09/19/2022

Output without input basically? But that is evil!

Why is it evil if it's what I want at the end for myself?

You requested output without input. That is a request of evil. Your output goes somewhere, your output necessarily reaches somewhere.

Without input, it will distort and create evil.

As input without output can be good

-turn the other cheek-

Output without input can and eventually will be evil. If you want no input no output you require a setup of self-sufficiency

How optimal are Metaphysics?

I mean

God could make it hard for someone to carry out something without taking away their free will

A serial killer makes the free choice to kill people

Mina_Nobias — 09/20/2022

Which is exactly the case and was optimized also in the 2010 update I think?

Interesting to think of God's intervening as a system update

- I love it

Issues with abuse of Organizations

@Mina_Nobias what's your favorite Christian denomination

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Imo it seemed like recently Catholics listen overall relative well

also, great refuge for child molesters

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Those are supposed to go to prison

Because as a muslim scholar once said : (please wait till I'm done explaining)

• Mina_Nobias — Today at 2:02 PM
I show you something else... With a reason
Mina_Nobias — Today at 2:09 PM
One is a square, and one is a pure Diamond. What do these relate to in this context? And in terms of science, how?



Original (saying from a Muslim scholar?)

"If a man's patience is stronger than his whims and desires, then he is like an angel, but if his whims and desires are stronger than his patience, then he is like a devil. If his desire for food, drink and sex is stronger than his patience, then he is no better than an animal."

- I'm not sure if the Muslim scholar understood what his words truly relay
- If you ask an muslim today, he will likely say you have to be pure and like a angel
- But imo thats not what these words mean without contradiction in interpreting
-

but - As a muslim scholar similarly implied. But to be angels, we have to be animals before that, and we dont become angels by choosing to be them, thats only a step. If you mistake this step, for a leap over the canyon, you dont reach the other side, but fall.

Original (saying from a Muslim scholar?)
"If a man's patience is stronger than his whims and desires, then he is like an angel, but if his whims and desires are stronger than his patience, then he is like a devil. If his desire for food, drink and sex is stronger than his patience, then he is no better than an animal."

My take
If a man's patience is stronger than his whims and desires, then he is like an angel, but if his whims and desires are stronger than his righteousness, then he is the mark of the beast.

- For some reason, people think you have to jump the canyon But you have to build a bridge, taking your time, in fact

And the point about the diamonds, A group, such as society or organization has not to be pure to be one, and make pure elements

the fuck does that have to do with covering up sex crimes??

sick of your vague bullshit... first defending slavery, now defending hiding sexual predators? what's wrong with you??

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Are you drunk?

I don't get you. What's wrong with your understanding

Whats the difference between organizations that have more abuser problems and those who have less?

The actual answer is the organizations with more abusing issue aim for more pureness

- !!!

what the fuck does 'pureness' mean here

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

As in freeness of sin in appearance

fucking tap-dancing around sexual abuse... got to be fucking kidding me

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

You don't like to solve issues?

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

You have to be sinful before becoming sinnless. It takes time. The Catholic Church doesn't allow sin. This causes internal conflict and bias.

I'm getting tired of people DELIBERATELY misinterpreting my words

.....

This debate is so bad and in bad faith I can not motivate myself to argue seriously Its not worth. I guess I just let it go. No one sane will take you seriously

.....

Dude the individuals take time to become more pure, they need to be able to do small sins to not do big ones

Why is that difficult to understand

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

I said the abusers go to prison

There is no contradiction at all I said to right now allow for small sin. Idk, maybe masturbation and partnership in priest agency or stuff like that

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Sin is behavior that lowers self or others in the level of harmony on the network Sometimes thats required to go higher

Unspecific Precision and replicating ability

I think there was some value in all this. We got to witness just how poorly they tried to make a point of "maybe let them masturbate". Shit about diamonds and apparently being afraid to type the word got us all fired up, then of course it's our fault for not reading Mina's mind.

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

So ad hominems and more dishonesty Is the new vs mina strategy from you?

Lets get that clear. What do you want to gain from discourse with me?

I want to understand what kind of... *whatever it is you believe* communicated to me

- I want to understand whatever it is you're trying to set forth
- so I can at least understand what it is you're trying to get across
- right now, I still don't feel like I have even the foggiest idea of your beliefs
- what your "nobiasity" is at all
- why you believe it
- and any time I ask for clarification or reasoning or anything at all I don't really feel like I get any meaningful answers

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

And I want to communicate a way to replicate ability. You apparently don't want this kind of ability. You only want the knowledge without the ability?

what do you mean, "replicate ability" here?

- surely if there was a methodology to get to where you're at, you could just lay it out clearly, right?
- something I could do to experience the same things?
- without going through the metaphors and bullshit

Step 1: Do X Step 2: Do Y Step 3: Do Z Step 4: Wait Step 5: Come to the same conclusion

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Yes.

1. Stop listening to your thoughts whenever you can afford.
2. Stop vocalizing your thoughts whenever you can afford.
3. Pay perfect attention to all your thoughts.
4. Say what you think.

Practice 15 years.

Done.

sounds like buddhism, more so than anything else

and what is "unvocalized thought"

- are we talking like white noise here?

That's easy to understand

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

No it's possible to understand unvocalized thought with enough training

Well, no 1,2,4 are better explained

1: you can operate without listening to vocalized thought acoustic

2: you can operate without vocalizing thought acoustically

4: synchronize thought and speech fully whenever around other humans

• Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

To work on that

1. Distract yourself. Just work on multitasking with typing while distracted.

4. Differ between vocalized thought and speech to other humans. Try to minimize vocalized thought in mind, but synchronize with speech among other humans

More unspecific clarity

Isn't it obvious that great philosophy does not relay its big truths as obvious - for good reason

...no.

Mina_Nobias — 09/25/2022

Thank you

What was behind the times? Religion? There is no scientific discovery that has ever emerged from a claim in science. Religions exhibit no foreknowledge. At minimum it suggests that the writers of the various religions did not have access to information that exceeded the science of the day.

It was behind the times in science, till science acknowledged its views where of one in a dead end

This sentence was massively indicated to be a truism

Dominion of Logic

The reason people think 🧠 is superior to ❤️ is because: 🧠 comes with dominance forcing ability

But truly ❤️ is vastly superior no matter how you look at it, in true virtue

An *option* is literally the **opposite** of a limit.

Mina_Nobias — 09/22/2022

An option is an arbitrary limit of logic

Here's a vanilla milkshake does not count as option. And yes "if you want, I'll trade it for a chocolate one" is a limitation of options. The person saying so limits the possible requests to his spectrum of choice. The options itself existed without a logic corset, and the declaration of options was an act of dominance to setting out limitations.

If the possible option existed in his heart, it could have been requested. The act of dominance was putting limits on his own hearts existing spectrum

But anyway, the communication of his hearts preferred spectrum was still helpful

Here's a vanilla milkshake." How do you respond?

Do you have something on your mind?

Mina_Nobias — 09/22/2022

No logic has utility as a tool

Not as a ruling instance though

Limiting the facts to logic can help to convey and stabilize

Logic causes cancer?

I said before that all problems come from ignorance in 2 types

-not knowing

-ignoring

And this is incorrect

Rather than that all problems come from

-not knowing, with faith in logic

-ignoring, with faith in logic

Do you see the difference? With faith in ❤️ there are no such issues Logic is a limiter, and its subjective.

It builds walls, and if you keep building walls that you later abandon, it eventually builds doom

Its what causes things like cancer, covid and the Ukrainian conflict!!

Logic causes cancer.
The things I read here, seriously.

The reason people think 🧠 is superior to ❤️ is because: 🧠 comes with dominance forcing ability

But truly ❤️ is vastly superior no matter how you look at it, in true virtue

hey, mina? the heart pumps blood. emotions also happen in the brain.


Would existence without Brain be possible?

Yes, without the limit, it is basically
Without the brain, there is still you!
But its more complex because you need a right ❤️

I'm morbidly curious why you think logic causes cancer.

you can literally live with no actual heart (but fake one)
• Granted, you have like, no option to move but alive = true

You can life without a 🧠 also. Just not with the 🧠 s limits



Thank you for the picture. But the thing is, the 5 people argue about arbitrary limitations, that arguably never needed to be a thing.

You need to realize that reality does not work in terms of logic. Reality is being limited by logic. For good or bad. **Logic is attempted dominance.**

Level 400 Idiocracy

No its the realization after level 400 that you are still an idiot and every  ful person was smarter.

The extreme dots on the absolute spectrum of existence


You mean 2 deities', an absolutely perfect one with perfect virtue and one very smart one that isn't much evil, more like just... to much utilitarian

one is "perfect", the other is the pole on the high spectrum, meaning also smart, but other kind of smart, logical, no emotion, utilitarian

yes, it's an absolute dot on the polarity of existence

if literally everything comes from the 2 extremes on the spectrum of existence, one is necessarily the extreme of emotional moral intelligent virtue

Level 400 Idiocracy+



"Greatest possible evil" is not "the greatest possible evil" it is the "environmental pole". It is being directly "flowed through" by the greatest possible good. In order to allow resonance, you need the spectrum to have the freedom for it. Without allowing resonance the evaluation of one's state would not be possible; therefore, it couldn't adapt.

Definition of insanity

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022





- Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

Not alligning with the circumstances + not adapting circumstances

- @Jonathann

Enigmas

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

@The Rivalyn you say wild stuff sometimes

Its a mystery what goes on in your mind, not so much Yahwehs

your communication is an enigma, never mind what's going on in your head

- and YHWH's "thoughts" are unclear too

- does he lie or not lie

is he loving or just

- • does he allow or abhor slavery


- Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

Ms anami - enigma Is a nice song btw

Yahweh mind is your mind you are Yahweh - he is your ego that is how you communicate with it so easily and no. you have zero chance of understanding my mind

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

No, my mind is a gravity ball in a pinball machine



Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

Well not quite Its unaware Christians profession, to condemn and profess the thought, That God condemns and professes the work of limitations which is really through conjurated instance

@Arius

(Yes, language is a construct from limitations)

Politics

Important notice

Mina_Nobias — 09/26/2022

"You don't need to worry about law enforcement since we don't define law here. We do moral value development and are no theocracy. In terms of morality, the named things ain't ideal. Morality does not care about our leisure interests. "

Better?

It's just exhausting to talk this way.

Japan's people usually don't know about Hiroshima

...and Indian's are almost always not aware where their paper money actually went to, or why it went there

No, this question about countries not teaching historically correct likely leaves out the reason why they are not teaching historically correct. It's likely as follows: The rich us elite decided that Russia and China are evil for not subjecting to the western world gov

Therefore, all allied countries must support any narrative towards those countries in a way that makes them look as evil as possible, while the us must look positive

:-\ not cool

Iran


Iranian Islamic Republicanism is to me actually as defined for its kind of like, "20th Century style" reactionary conservatism and murder of reformists in the 80s as much as it is its particular brand of Islamism. Basically, it's a counterrevolutionary nationalist thing with a green coat of paint to me.

Does everyone ignore that Iran has been treated the last 15 years like Germany has been treated between 1919 to 1933, what do you expect?

And how does that translate to gay activists being put into execution? How is it not because of Islamic religious laws, and the Quran and Sunnah that mandate the death of anyone who is found doing homosexual acts.

You can see the same analogies in the same example

Even under the treaty of Versailles, Germany was actually pretty progressive for the time. Berlin actually had a thriving gay community where in the UK and USA being LGBT was still illegal. Granted it was technically illegal in Germany at the time but it wasn't enforced. They even had one of the first gender research facilities that helped trans people transition. Unfortunately, then nazis came to power and everything changed. Queer people were killed in camps with the pink triangle symbol. Nazis burned down that research facility mentioned earlier too. Also want to know a sad fact? Queer prisoners in nazi concentration camps weren't released until the 70s because all of the allied nations, being queer was still illegal so they just through them into prison instead of liberating them



this needs to come with a health warning that it will not make sense to anyone

Meaning, it's kind of ridiculous of the west to assign an "abuse pool" to every non-western country and drain it. If some country does not play along it gets shunned and isolated. Then people point at it and call it out for getting more extreme.

But Islamic laws are older than the modern notion of the west. They are present in Iran because of imams and scholars of the medieval age. The Jari school of Shia Islam has death penalty for apostates like me and the law coming into existence has nothing to do with the west but the Quran and Sunnah.

Some of the big German electro company's sold nuclear technology to Iran about 17 years ago. Everyone was fine with it.

And that has relevance to what I just said how? Tell me, do I deserve death for publically declaring my apostasy in Shia Iran just because some German company sold nuclear technology to them?

You are interpreting nonsense into what I say. I'm saying Iran wasn't extreme 17 years ago. We made it extreme

Definitely not true. The laws were there from the inception of the Islamic Republic, aka when the Islamic revolution was a success.

US laws allow abducting and torturing from US citizens if the given authorities feel like it - without any court arrangement

How is the question of US actions extremising Iran not relevant in the Topic of the west extremising Iran?

22:08 Mon, 12 Sep

58%

For the next 26 years, the US supported and armed the shah's regime — a brutal dictatorship that **tortured dissenters** but was seen in Washington as a staunch ally against Soviet communism.

So in 1979, when Iranians rose up against the shah's regime, the United States was widely (and correctly) seen as complicit in his crimes; the now familiar Iranian chant of "death to America" **originated during revolutionary rallies**. The theocratic Islamic Republic that supplanted the shah made anti-Americanism a central part of its ideology; its leader Ruhollah Khomeini famously described the United States as the "Great Satan" in his official rhetoric.

In November 1979, a hardline group of Iranian students seized the US Embassy in Tehran, taking 52 Americans hostage. The resulting standoff — which lasted 444 days and included a **disastrous failed helicopter rescue effort** ordered by President Jimmy Carter — indelibly defined American perceptions of the young Islamic Republic as a hostile nation. Its legacy is felt even today; President Trump **recently threatened** to attack 52 Iranian locations to mirror the 52 Americans taken hostage at the embassy.



22:08 Mon, 12 Sep

58%

But wait — how did we even get here? Why are the US and Iran dueling in the first place? Why did this escalation happen so suddenly? And what would a war with Iran actually look like if it did happen?

What follows are answers to some of the most pressing questions about this latest US-Iran standoff, and why you can breathe just a little easier, at least for now.



1) Why do the US and Iran hate each other?

You can't understand the current US-Iran conflict by just looking at recent events. That's because the move toward open war between the two nations is the result of roughly 70 years of history.



In 1951, a left-wing nationalist named Mohammad Mossadegh became prime minister of Iran through a democratic election. Mossadegh **strongly opposed foreign involvement in Iran**, particularly in its oil industry (which had been built in significant part by British interests). Just days after Mossadegh became prime minister, Iran's parliament approved a bill he'd championed **nationalizing the massive British-owned oil company in Iran**.

The British were furious — at the time, the company was what author Stephen Kinzer described as **“the most lucrative British enterprise anywhere on the planet”** — and they wanted it back. To do so, they asked the Americans to help them overthrow Mossadegh. They agreed, and the CIA's **Operation Ajax** was born. Together, the two Western nations **backed a coup** that would topple Mossadegh in 1953, replacing his government with an absolute monarchy ruled by the shah of Iran, Mohammad Reza Pahlavi.



of Islamist students and militants took over the US Embassy in support of the Iranian revolution. | Mohsen Shandiz/Sygma via Getty Images

These two events, US meddling in Iranian politics and the hostage crisis, set the rhetorical tone for relations between the two governments for the next several decades. But it was the clashing policy aims of the new Iranian government and the United States that truly locked in their antagonism.

The young Islamic Republic was **a revolutionary regime** that aimed to export its brand of theocracy by fomenting revolution across the Middle East. This led to its cultivation of proxy militant forces in other countries, most notably Hezbollah in Lebanon, and conflict with Gulf Arab monarchies like Saudi Arabia that feared uprisings among their minority Shia populations.

These authoritarian states were, alongside Israel, some of America's chief allies in the Middle East — meaning that Iran's attempt to challenge the political **status quo in the region brought it into direct conflict with the United States**. As early as **March 1980**, CIA intelligence assessments described Iran as "a threat to key US interests," and made countering Iran's efforts to expand its influence an important foreign policy objective.

The result was frequent conflict. When Iraq invaded Iran in 1980, **the US gave Iraq several billion dollars' worth of aid** and even **backed Saddam Hussein's use of chemical weapons** against Iranian troops (though the Reagan administration also mistrusted Saddam and so played both sides of this one, secretly funneling weapons to Iran as part of **the Iran-Contra scheme**).

Throughout the **1980s, Iran, through its** various proxy forces, carried out an extended campaign of terrorist bombings of **Western embassies** and other targets. One of the most dramatic and deadly attacks came in 1983, when Hezbollah operatives bombed a US Marine barracks in Lebanon, **killing 241 US service members**, and a nearby French barracks, killing 58 French military personnel and three civilians.

Great strategy, finance a country's war backing their use of chemical weapons, then after the war point at them "they have chemical weapons, we must destroy them"

Then destroy them

Then be like "They didn't have chemical weapons, because they got rid of them right after we backed the use of the chemical weapons?"

"To bad for them"

Oh, and actually we already knew about it, that they didn't have chemical weapons anymore because they got rid of them, before we destroyed them. Evidently. But let's not have anyone spread this information.

Sigh. Seriously wtf.

Forward Movement and Skyward Sword

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

If you played skyward sword there is a lot of ways to look at those, but only one way fits as a forward movement



Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022



- Google is so deep sometimes at least
- I have trouble finding like anything in it that doesn't convey deeper meaning

what. why

Because matching patterns?




can you not see the "deeper meaning"? lol

What the actual fuck is happening here. Teach me

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

But the Trinity



Mina_Nobias Today at 7:06 AM
offerings made in the --name-- spirit of a organization leader whose product is still causing problems today, yes. @The Autistic God (edited) *This does @not Evil*

Autism, or autism spectrum disorder (ASD), refers to a broad range of conditions characterized by challenges with social skills, repetitive behaviors, speech and nonverbal communication. According to the Centers for Disease Control, autism affects an estimated 1 in 44 children in the United States today.

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

take a break^e between the minor pilgrimage and the major one must make whatever offering he can afford. If he lacks the means, he should fast for three days during the pilgrimage, and seven days on his return, making ten days in all. This applies to those whose household is not near the Sacred Mosque. Always be mindful of God, and be aware that He is stern in His retribution.

Oook?

Hmmm.... The pilgrimage is the process of enhancing your care ?"I'll just keep starving, no need for food then?"?

@Mina_Nobias could you pay me for my pilgrimage? I don't get paid time off and having the money to take care of my family is a lot more important to me than a trip right now. I had enough trips over the summer.

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Case A
take a break^e between the minor pilgrimage and the major one must make whatever offering he can afford. If he lacks the means, he should fast for three days during the pilgrimage, and seven days on his return, making ten days in all. This applies to those whose household is not near the Sacred Mosque. Always be mindful of God, and be aware that He is stern in His retribution.

Case B
take a break^e between the minor pilgrimage and the major one must make whatever offering he can afford. If he lacks the means, he should fast for three days during the pilgrimage, and seven days on his return, making ten days in all. This applies to those whose household is not near the Sacred Mosque. Always be mindful of God, and be aware that He is stern in His retribution.

There are no financial expenses for such a trip. Mammon does not offer to God but only to the poor. The pilgrimage is the process of enhancing your care.

I'm not mocking, this is a legitimate concern for me. I will lose my paycheck if I take time off to do this.

my brain.... Depending on your need?

How long will the pilgrimage take?

Gotcha, so it's fine that I don't care about a god?

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

3 days I would think

To where?

Caring about Yahweh?

No, where is the pilgrimage to? Where would I be going?

Yahweh cares about... You don't need to physically trouble. Look into Judaism one more time

He cares about... What? And yes I do need to physically trouble, it's not like god is gonna pay the rent lol

So @Mina_Nobias where should I be going to on this pilgrimage and how should I get there?

Bookstore Torah

Look back

Torvany

Also the Torah, I have enough trouble reading the Bible lol and I'll have to Google where Torvany is.

Or are you linking that post trying to tell me I need to play Skyward Sword?

Gotcha, so I need to take a pilgrimage to Skyward Sword? Is it fine if I pirate it because I don't own a Nintendo system.

Why do you parse that sentence wrong

You look at past skyward sword

You take the possible forward movement through fitting the way to look at things, the perspective, right

ok so mina is talking about one aspect in skyward sword where to unlock the door to the final boss in each temple, you have to do some puzzle of orienting this weird 3d object to fit into a keyhole

- I mean, I don't understand why this is relevant but that's the reference

War and genocide sucks. Yahweh dissatisfied. Muslims and Jews in conflict. Unnecessary perspective.

I don't see why god is so upset about genocide... Unless he's a god of "do as I say, not as I do."

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

How does friendly fire not offend the superior

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Thats why. Use your receiving payment to your best ability.

Confusion is necessary before figuring

@Mina_Nobias my need is someone to cover my paycheck for missed work hours to go on this pilgrimage you're trying to convince me to take. Can you cover that?

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Do you carry horses or do horses carry. Gosh. You are getting it, but you are too sceptical and unbelieving

What the hell are you babbling about horses for now?



Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

This person is NOT confused. He does not brood about major Questions But personal involvement



Mina is not confused a bit. They just **sound** extremely confused. Big difference.

What major questions should I brood over?

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Now we are there at the major one being. Brood over Your perspective in the history of mankind among the big ones

Seriously... If you're just going to babble like this and not give straight answers why even bother replying?

- @Mina_Nobias are you saying I should brood over where humanity came from?

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

There you can. As Obama said

I think Mina_Nobias is asking you to brood over your place in human history

Oh yeah, I don't care about that stuff.

puny human! who are you to question my imaginary friend?!

Ooohhhh, yeah I don't care about that either lol

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Correct. Thats your pilgrimage. Where we came from, to care about where to go to

Oh, yeah, I don't care about the origins of humanity, why should I? I'm more interested in where aircraft technology will go in the future. Could my pilgrimage teach me how to do the falling leaf maneuvers instead?

why should I care about where we came from?

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

The falling leaf : Its a question of merit

No it isn't

- Do you have any idea what I'm talking about @Mina_Nobias ? The Falling Leaf Maneuvers of the 5th gen fighter jets?
- @Mina_Nobias do you even know why they're called that?

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

I don't need to

Yeah, the falling leaf maneuvers aren't a matter of merit, they're a matter of vectored thrust engines lol

Nope, I don't even need to know that, why know about something that's worthless to me?

- Anyway @Mina_Nobias enough about the falling leaf, why should I want to learn about where we came from? I've always found aircraft a much more interesting topic, myself.

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

I'm curious how you arrive at those reactions from the past records. Look at the different ways of these implications and their results

What? I just find aircraft a lot more interesting, that's all. That doesn't give me any reason to care about what you're saying I should care about. How about you try dumbing down everything you say so I can better understand it?

Probably because you lack merit, therefore you have arrived in your current perspective. If you would take things I say by the word, you could see a need to Looking at the different ways of these implications to arrive at different reactions from the past records. You could understand reasons and decisions to care for where we go to

Where we go to, you mean the afterlife?

Also @Mina_Nobias maybe if your words were understandable I wouldn't get confused

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

No, peace

Oh, wait... Is peace a location?

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Its a future

Uh huh, well I'm at peace with my life at least, is that fine?
If you mean humanity heading towards peace I highly doubt that

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Because You Lack Merit

So Gain Merit

By The Way

Its already a fact

Is "peace" even that desirable? Like, sure, *war* sucks, and when we say "peace" to mean the opposite of war then peace is good, but the specific kind of peace often proffered by religion - the peace of heaven or nirvana or whatever - just sounds fucking *boring* to me.

What, a future of peace? How do you know it's a fact? For all you know we could be heading to the Grimdark future of Warhammer 40k

Also, what is this "merit" you speak of?

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Merit. Karma. All the same, You yield of what you sow

@Mina_Nobias but no matter how much good I do it won't change the fact that I don't get paid time off with my job... So if I go on a pilgrimage imma need you to pay me for it

Yes, but it's an illusion that helps me feed my family lol

Holy crap guys, ILLUSIONS ARE ILLUSIONS!!!

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Perspective is what you look for in your answer.
The answer is perspective you DONT look for to your answer

My answer to what, though?

- I mean, my perspective leads me to the position that to me the history of mankind just isn't important lol what's wrong with that?

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Sometimes you need to ponder
And
advance your ways
To gain your lift of that you so anticipate
when the time arrived in your life
Ok?

Lift of. Like a jet. To higher grounds? Or lift of desire? Both can happen. Anyway. This is exhausting to keep this up for me, sigh

Do you mean *liftoff*???

Yes

Anyway, I've already been achieving what I desired lol no god needed and no need to question how humanity got here.

You achieved desire. What good did it bring to you or your perspective?

Did you gain merit? What did you gain?

What did I gain? Well, I gained the confidence to know I can be a better father and husband than the one that raised me. That's all I wanted.

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Oh. Ok. Sounds good. So... good luck and success with your tribal progress

Tribal progress?

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Yes, as within so without

Yes, as within so without

You're going to be the reason I hate salad in general...

You mean you don't already hate salad in general?

ChatterA:

Hell no, add some bacon, cheese, and Caesar dressing and a salad is delicious

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Interesting statement

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

@More_Or_Less_Randomly_selected_chatterB

@More_Or_Less_Randomly_selected_chatterC

Sorry, this is hardly visible right now

ChatterA:



I can't believe I wasted so much time that could have been spent enjoying a game by talking to you, @Mina_Nobias

I want a refund for that time lost

Oh use the mina profile button

Wait, you have a sense of humor?

Mina is the smartest AI here



Oh well, Mina distracted me from playing EDF at work... That won't happen at home though. I've learned lol

What is edf

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Earth defense force

- ?

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

As with all good developments, awesome!

But use care in structurization and keep bias out

- because structures are often hard to get disassembled without resorting to positioning as devils advocate

- and how do you reasonable reconstruct without deconstruction So instead of enthusiasm with tailwind use the good old laws: <https://www.evansopticalengineering.com/page00/sysenlaw.htm>

(1) Everything interacts with everything else;

(2) Everything goes somewhere; and

(3) There is no such thing as a free lunch.

And little surprising as we pay for lunch it comes from a place that interacts with all.

Now the place that interacts with all hardly selects promotional program because of exclusive offers, that would contradict. Promotional program is selected by viability. Monopolization is counter effective. Share with your enemies. Lessons-learned is a conflict -counter.

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Gosh, the last supper, do you think it was more than a depicted scene
There is skeptical fear of disbelief On the left side
Which is to Jesus right

And Understanding progress of belief On the right side
Which is to Jesus left

Jesus is alone
Open to others
Among others
On a first analysis



Abortion

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

10 year olds don't get pregnant, and abortion is very problematic. Its a thin line to child murder, and must be prevented wherever possible without causing equal bad

A 10-year-old did get pregnant and even though the attorney general said she could have an abortion in their state they still crossed over to Indiana for some reason

- Maybe the law wasn't clear enough, but I would hope that in the future if any unfortunate circumstances like this were to occur, the 10-year-old would not have to leave her home state after getting pregnant

Mina_Nobias — 09/16/2022

Need merit for a purpose? Yes.

No excuse on our side for the merit. But honest consideration of all involved.

Teamwork. Convey things like effects from standpoint, but avoid acting from display of superiority, no, effects from their own way, with you in charitable means towards them

I'm exhausted but will you bear with me? Bear with the opinion of exhausted means.

Its a temporary status. Either the opinion or the exhaustion is temporary.

Apparently, consideration is required since things went out of order.

My current 2 cents:

When I was in Florida a watched a political debate in TV.

A general talked.

He talked about the need for reform of political power in terrorism suspect cases. The opposition mentioned that the law grants power to abduct/torture American citizens when given authority decides so

- without court arrangement.

Something along these lines. The general said: No such thing happened (yet), and the law was granted

My point: The precedence is not what the mind should focus

The mind should ponder

Why do we need the law

Do we need to avoid the court

When do we need to avoid the court

If the court is avoided, how do we ensure morality

Mina_Nobias — 09/26/2022

Guys, is there any reason you should be able to end human life without court appointment??? I have not heard arguments against this. Than imo should be only abortions after quickly hosted court appointment. The judge decides if its ok to abort.

Mina_Nobias — 09/26/2022

It's absurd, we have court appointments for weed usage (!)

But not for aborting the right to live of children

Mina_Nobias — 09/26/2022

@person who talks abortion rights after leaving the dad out of responsibility

Are you setting up a disingenuous discussion position in which you try to appear pro woman rights in a fake version, when you are really against women rights?

Mina_Nobias — 09/26/2022

I know that child topics should financially and effort wise be dependent on both parents

Islam and the West

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

What goal do you follow with such statements?
because it seemed like you are either to emotional or want to perpetuate the issues

Good. Being emotional against a vicious, totalitarian, insidious cult is totally valid.
Also Totalitarian, maybe sometimes, the other things?

Sometimes??

- Yes, the other things too.
- Did you not react about the Islamic laws?

Then America is also vicious

From wiki few days back.

- Sure, in some areas.
- But on Islam's level?
- Does America execute gays?
- Does America make the lives of apostates a living hell?

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

Yes, a few years ago

Utah does.

By refusing them healthcare, yeah.

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

Well, if you messed around, violently bombing the world, extremizing many countries, it's hard for them to take you seriously when you suddenly proclaim to be Angels and have the right way, that they should also go. Insulting them does not improve anything, way the opposite

How is this relevant? Was Islam invented after western countries bombed them of way way before? Was Islam not invented in the 7th century and still continues to this day? And you should Islam insult all the things and people it does. If so, they are the ones who started it with their superiority, and they should be the one to stop it.

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

Islam had less issues before the war on terror

Mina thinks Islam is totally blameless for 9/11 just because America sucks... or something like that.

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

Wtf... I think its the isolated work of a few extremists, that America supported and later backstabbed, but they are extremists. Nonetheless the extremists are to blame. but would you agree that Islam informed their extremism?

I think any ideology that can be put in the wrong perspective enough could have. It's not that Islam informed then, it's the work of a figure in the likes of Hitler, who abuses Abrahamic faith

whatever... if these Abrahamic religions were ethical, we wouldn't have all this bullshit

But Hitler managed to abuse Christianity for the opposite of what the scriptures say

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

How can a Jewish religion, from the people that prosper in it, source in hate for Jews? Only through the Roman Emperors, turning the blame to scapegoat the Jews

well, what's your excuse for condoning slavery in the bible?

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

Its similar like flat earth. Its compatibility doesn't apply now at all.

does it *ever* apply?

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

Dude... Sigh.. Why do you have to ask that?

Technically, it doesn't seem possible to false the claim that there is the possibility of a primitive society, without advanced teaching structure, that does better with that

Slavery is never moral

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

Slavery is never moral

Ok I guess that is correct as you put it here

Peak Islam: "Slavery is morally justified". We don't need to misinterpret shit. It's on full display.

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

Can you define the word "Islam" as specific as possible

It is a set of ideas to live a life. It's not just a religion. It's an all encompassing world view.

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

Ok then that is the difference to my take

For me "Islam"=what God wanted to convey with the Quran

and yet somehow IOS thinks it's okay... almost like whatever Mohammed did is okay because the Quran claims he's a prophet

Mina_Nobias — 09/18/2022

I think the Quran does show that God was opposed to this

I still have to study it more, but there is a part where God tries to talk Muhammad out of that

[The Bible and Russia](#)

Thank you. Are there Prophecies in the bible regarding the area of Russia beyond Ukraine at all?

What is the possibility of outcomes regarding the Ukrainian conflict. Which section is it in the scriptures?


What does the Bible say about Magog?

shall we unite and edit the Bible?

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Yay

The Compass



Mina_Nobias — 09/23/2022

Just me making fun of myself

Political sanity?

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

Either sanity comes to politics

Or sane politicians to politics? What's the current situation?

Trump? A Messiah? No, the Simpsons didn't say so

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

What universes did he define

What superpowers does he have

Did he go through the eye of the needle already?

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

At least his coming DID get prophesized

By the Simpsons though

And the prophesy did not entail salvation by him

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

I would be interested

–if they think he represents values that were prophesied by actual prophets, rather than represented by manipulated Scripture, if even that

-what wonders they think Trump has done

-I would be interested why they think a backwards candidate will bring the future. Were the recent hundred years great?

Bible Edits

Russia

17 “Thus says the Lord God: Are you he of whom I spoke in former days by my servants the prophets of Israel, who in those days prophesied for years that I would bring you against them?


--18 But on that day, the day that Gog shall come against the land of Israel, declares the Lord God, my wrath will be roused in my anger. 19 For in my jealousy and in my blazing wrath I declare, On that day there shall be a great earthquake in the land of Israel. 20 The fish of the sea and the birds of the heavens and the beasts of the field and all creeping things that creep on the ground, and all the people who are on the face of the earth, shall quake at my presence. And the mountains shall be thrown down, and the cliffs shall fall, and every wall shall tumble to the ground. 21 I will summon a sword against Gog[d] on all my mountains, declares the Lord God. Every man's sword will be against his brother. 22 With pestilence and bloodshed I will enter into judgment with him, and I will rain upon him and his hordes and the many peoples who are with him torrential rains and hailstones, fire

and sulfur. 23 So I will show my greatness and my holiness and make myself known in the eyes of many nations. Then they will know that I am the Lord.—

^this part is supposed to be crossed out Instead how about joining the cause?

Discord is trying hard to not be useful --is supposed to cross out--


Lieth with man?




well many factors over a long period of time many crushed cultures and slaves under a huge Roman Empire which finally made it the state Religion is probably the biggest factor for it's large scale adoption

can you provide your source for the original or a reliable translation that you are using thanks

- Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022



can you provide your source for the original or a reliable translation that you are using thanks



- It's a different term than one we would usually use, more categorical or biological like male. Very broad

"If a man also lie with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination: they shall surely be put to death; their blood be upon them." ok this is how you would like it to be changed to @Mina_Nobias ?

- Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

My personal expression would be
If you change partners to much you might catch and spread disease

but which Bible version did you get that from?

This is homophobic

...no it's not? It's way *less* homophobic than saying gay sex is an abomination and people who do it should be stoned to death.

the Bible most certainly is @Mina_Nobias's comments made no reference to any gender or orientation

no

"If you change partners to much you might catch and spread disease"

is not in the image you gave me

No its my rephrase of the meaning

where did you get this from? are we to replace the Bible with @Mina_Nobias's "personal expression"? Is that your plan?

they are not even close - totally different words

A problem with זכר zachar meaning mankind is that the word is singular

totally different meanings

- Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

You can make a translation

And I would love to see the deliberate personal bias gone in translations

How do you get from:

"If a man also lie with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination: they shall surely be put to death; their blood be upon them."

to

"If you change partners to much you might catch and spread disease"

@Mina_Nobias? there is no similarity at all!

if you change it that much why not just reject the Bible completely and start again?

And Torah is pretty clear on how to quarantine people who have disease, seems like they would be clear here too

please forgive my skepticism but have you thought this through at all?

- • Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Knowing their nature

Motiv Of the Gods

Of the people of the time

And their translators Indication

More original text

And Modern English translation

Historic context

Homophobia specifically in Rome at the time

Trying to make the scriptures appealing

Case closed?

The Romans were all gay though

- And Leviticus existed before Jewish interaction with Rome
- Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

At the time this change in translation appears?

Change in translation? Who was translating it to English in the 1st century

You have to prophet to know the motive of the God. Any short of that it's your personal interpretation

he does think he is a prophet

Homophobia came about in Rome only as a result of the adoption of Christianity as far as I knew if you evidence otherwise or that they changed the Bible I would love to see your sources

- Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Hm... Anyway

-a sound question about what is relates to quantum entanglement

-a unsound question about what is doesn't

-tangled by the source of all entanglement => You are tangled to the question of what is

How is that not sound and scientific

And

Yes.

1. Stop listening to your thoughts whenever you can afford.

2. Stop vocalizing your thoughts whenever you can afford.

3. Pay perfect attention to all your thoughts.

4. Say what you think.

Practice 15 years. Done.

And 🧠 Brain

How do you get from:

"If a man also lie with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination: they shall surely be put to death; their blood be upon them."

to

"If you change partners to much you might catch and spread disease"

@Mina_Nobias? there is no similarity at all!

- Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

By stopping bad faith.

Get the context

Ask Yahweh.

And put it together again. (Still informed by logic though)

- The Rivalyn — 09/21/2022

@Mina_Nobias this acceptable? can we change it to this?

Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

Did you

Hm... Anyway -a sound question about what is relates to quantum entanglement -a unsound question about what is doesn't -tangled by the source of all entanglement =>You are tangled to the question of what is How is that not sound and scientific

Through first

Yes. 1.Stop listening to your thoughts whenever you can afford. 2.Stop vocalizing your thoughts whenever you can afford. 3. Pay perfect attention to all your thoughts. 4. Say what you think. Practice 15 years. Done.

Then

Get the context Ask Yahweh. And put it together again. (Still informed by logic though)?

?

- Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022




Think about humankind




I studied Physics at University and have a degree in it I have contemplated my thoughts about humanity for 50 years I have read a significant amount of the Bible and History That is the conclusion I came to and it is vastly superior to your incomprehensible gibberish

- Mina_Nobias — 09/21/2022

I don't rank normally

But anyone contemplating thoughts about humanity does not equal with

necessity of good results  to inform  /  equals in  if correct

 to equal  from it 

if you say so let me know when you have rewritten the Bible it will be a hit sensation

Let's be serious for a moment

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

Rammstein is atheist music?

Dragonforce is atheist music

- through the fire and flames we carry on

- Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

Dragonforce also ?

Next time you'll tell me blue stahli and ghost are

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

<https://youtu.be/zJfxim8sHXU>

[Jonathan Young](#)

[DRAGONFORCE - Through the Fire and Flames \(Cover by Jonathan Young ...](#)

- Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

I also carried on through those

ah the never ending flames

Mina_Nobias — 09/24/2022

I break the seal of this curse if I possibly can, For the freedom of everyone?

More batshit crazy

Christianity or Gun porn, what's the difference?

Mina_Nobias — 09/26/2022

You don't block and shame Gun porn?

Mina_Nobias — 09/26/2022

Christianity/Gun Porn what's the difference?

You don't block and shame Gun porn?

+Christianity is in the process of getting fixed by the actors responsible for it

+Christianity is not handled with backwards thinking by its authorities

+Christianities abusers always get punished by its appropriate law

Christianity has a deeper layer that's actually meaningful if you look through the abusive manipulation

Christianities Deitification isn't aiming at magical fairytales

Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

So, Christianity has a great core system, with their details to messy. Out of all religions, Hinduism comes out on Top, as it's the most genuine into the challenge before changes. Who would have expected *cough, cough*

Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

ATHEIST IDEOLOGY 101

Atheist ideology consists of a few agreed upon points. Of course, there are disagreements across the atheist community, but almost all of them share the same basic conception of their ideology.

1. There is no God
2. If there is a God, he is evil
3. There is no transcendent reality
4. Humans are their own god
5. Humans create their own morality
6. There is no proper meaning to life
7. Religion is holding humanity back
8. Religion should be eliminated

As many philosophers have pointed out, atheist ideology is arguably a form of nihilism. It posits what is basically a life without meaning. The atheist is then forced to embrace something akin to Sartrean atheist existentialism. Ironically, however, Sartre himself came to believe in God at the end of his life. Atheist ideology is not well grounded metaphysically. This is why so-

Gotta hand it to atheism, it's a skill in itself to get everything, literally everything in core ideology wrong

<https://youtu.be/iCcA-kuASDQ>

[Blogging Theology](#)

[Atheism is Inconsistent with Science says Top Physicist](#)

Yes, atheism is sure something, it's the most distant point from truth Just gotta take its core ideology and turn it around 180°

Which makes it a source of true belief, as previously mentioned

Exactly correct. We need to start celebrating schizophrenia and putting the ones who hallucinate the most at the top of the power structure more. Hallucinating, delusional virgins know what's best for me

- Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

lol

[Am I Burns now or something?](#)

Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

Hmmm... So Christianity is messy in detail because Fathers rebellion? or was it? Nah likely all those were my own fails, not that gods.... Ouch.
Everything about this beyond is connected dots. Nothing else. Seeing your truest doing in detailed mirror image is hard...
Prophets fail sometimes... the Gods – do not really.
Trinity or not – human parts still fail sometimes.

Even with perfect selection. In other words all those issues are my own fuck ups. To an extent fuck ups of other prophets.
The father never ever rebelled. The whole thing is misunderstood.

Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

Religion decent - mess around with it - To fix it again later? Dafuq was I thinking

At least I didn't "cause" the "original issues" about the system of Islam
?I think??? Anyway?

If you criticize Christianity
please take into account it's just my past ideocracy

Christianity/Gun Porn what's the difference?
You don't block and shame Gun porn?

Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

[Nah Christianity is about being a scapegoat](#)
While Nazi's shift the burden

I hope that trash part is fixed

- Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

Yes, Christianity is about blame taking
Nazism is about shifting the burden
So, they go hand in hand
But shouldn't

- Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

I guess the critical point is where it was warped into Christianity accumulating under the ideology of shifting the burden on Jesus (“someone else”), rather than Jesus taking the burden and Christianity with him, of taking the accumulated blame to fix the larger context.

The Injustice League?

Mina_Nobias — 09/25/2022

That's not the situation I explained Imagine you trample on a flower bed.

The gardener who fixes it, disturbs you in your trampling.

You get angry at him.

He tells you that your anger will stop him from wanting to garden for you.

You plan to kill him, so that he isn't angry at you and or you

lift your own anger easily.

The gardening alliance was scheming and agitating to use you for means of killing, to get access and dominance over more gardening space

- Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

The truth is, I was a peak idiot and douchebag, to plant roses, where there are most people lacking shoes
sorry for that
Also to everyone else




Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

I'm such a fool
The gun porn is probably also my fault to a big part

(Gun Porn:

Liking guns to much and lax gun laws)



@Jonathann personal question; how has your walk with Jesus Christ changed your lift?

Jonathann — 09/27/2022

I could say so many positive things that I'm sure everyone here has already heard 100 times before, but the biggest change for me was how much easier it became to forgive other people when I got a deeper understanding of the undeserved forgiveness God gave me. He allows me to live even after my sin and I take pleasure in attempting to be a good person to others in my daily life for Him, and the results have been very positive. Becoming a Christian also made me less nationalistic and increased my understanding that we are all made by God and have inherent value

That *really* sounds like Stockholm syndrome. "The guy who says I deserve to die also says he won't kill me out of the goodness of his heart."

- Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022


I wish I would understand you. Can you elaborate more. I don't get it.

- Who does say what etc etc.? I cannot make out the relations you seem to be thinking of

I'm still trying to figure what you exactly mean? Who is the "guy"?

Me? I thought I was the person who was forgiven in Johnathan's story? What... How does that make any kind of match? Tbh I don't think I would necessarily say I deserve to die to myself.....





Also sorry about

--

By science

1. Relevant observations: people who become open hearted, allowing emotion, embracing virtue, rather than utilitarian ideas, avert from atheism

2. Hypothesis : Atheism is self-refuting, because it condemns what it professes

3. Planning:

logical "anti" faith attitude requires the named additional attributes logical intelligence rather than emotional, utilitarian rather than virtue.

Atheisms stability relies on the profession in the elements of virtue that incarnate in the issues they condemn

Atheism as in there are no gods requires a attitude of professing in little emotion, logical intelligence rather than emotional, utilitarian rather than virtue. Averting from this path deems Atheism instable. They'll reach at theism. little emotion, logical intelligence rather than emotional, utilitarian rather than virtue, necessarily produces the issues Atheism condemns attributing it to theism

4. Demonstration of the truth of your hypothesis: Naut & Co

5. Conclusion: Atheism indeed, is self-refuting

--

The Injustice League?

A Hitler like burden shifter holding moral speeches about not doing the thing he did is hard to take seriously

Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

Religion bad?

No, I poisoned the reservoir because I thought it's tasteless, in order for making it unpopular. I didn't understand that it was just taste neutral. Now it's getting blamed for being poisonous. And some want to poison it for being tasteless.

Mina_Nobias — 09/27/2022

Yeah I guess today, I truly learned (finished learning)

Don't poison the water well because you prefer access to more lemonade and its market dominance

This is along the lines of



- Someday, I hope for everyone to see that lemonade, also requires water